



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary: Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again
Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again.
(The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



[There was no reportable action as a result of the Board 1 of Supervisors' closed session held today. (Page 85)] 2 3 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. THE JUNE 7TH, 2006 MEETING OF 6 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL BEGIN WITH A 7 8 PRAYER BY PASTOR MATTHEW SMUTS OF THE BETHLEHEM LUTHERAN CHURCH IN GRENADA HILLS AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE LED BY AND 9 ANDRE BRINNEY, WHO IS A MEMBER OF THE POST NUMBER 17 OF THE 10 LONG BEACH DISABLED AMERICAN VETERANS FROM THE 4TH 11 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT. SO IF THE AUDIENCE WOULD PLEASE RISE 12 AND PASTOR. 13 14 REVEREND MATTHEW A. SMUTS: LET US PRAY. GOOD AND GRACIOUS GOD, 15 16 WE GIVE YOU THANKS FOR ALL OF THE GOOD THINGS THAT YOU BRING INTO OUR LIVES AND INTO THIS COMMUNITY. WE GIVE YOU THANKS FOR 17 18 THE ELECTION YESTERDAY, WHETHER WE GOT THE RESULTS WE WANTED 19 OR NOT, THAT YOUR PRESENCE WAS FELT AND THAT YOUR WILL WOULD BE DONE. WE GIVE YOU THANKS FOR ALL OF THOSE WHO SERVE, THOSE 20 WHO RISK THEIR LIVES AND THOSE WHO SERVE IN EVEN THE SMALLEST 21 22 TASKS, THAT YOU WOULD WATCH OVER THEM AND BLESS THEIR WORK. WE 23 ASK FOR YOUR PRESENCE HERE TODAY AS VOICES ARE HEARD AND DECISIONS ARE MADE. WE ASK FOR ALL THESE THINGS, TRUSTING IN 24 25 YOUR GRACE. AMEN.



1 ANDRE BRINNEY: COULD YOU PLEASE PUT YOUR RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR 2 3 HEART AND JOIN ME IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE] 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: PASTOR SMUTS HAS RECEIVED HIS 6 BACHELOR'S IN BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION FROM CONCORDIA LUTHERAN 7 8 UNIVERSITY AND, IN 1996, WAS ORDAINED WITH HIS MASTER'S DIVINITY DEGREE FROM TRINITY LUTHERAN SEMINARY IN COLUMBUS, 9 OHIO. HE SERVES THE CONGREGATIONS IN THE PAST IN MINNESOTA AND 10 ALEXANDRIA AND IS NOW AT BETHLEHEM IN GRANADA HILLS. HE'S 11 MARRIED WITH THREE CHILDREN AND GRANADA HILLS WAS THE HOME 12 TOWN OF TWO OF THE LOS ANGELES ANGELS' GREAT BASEBALL PLAYERS, 13 GARRETT ANDERSON AND BRIAN KENNEDY, WHO HAVE DONE THEIR WELL 14 15 TO HELP THE ANGELS DO WELL. SO, PASTOR, THANK YOU FOR COMING 16 DOWN AND WE'RE GOING TO GIVE YOU THIS CERTIFICATE. [APPLAUSE] 17 18 19

SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR KNABE.

- 21 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, SIR. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, LADIES AND
- 22 GENTLEMEN, IT'S MY PRIVILEGE TO TAKE A MOMENT TO GIVE A
- CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION TO ANDRE BRINNEY, WHO IS A MEMBER 23
- OF THE DISABLED AMERICAN VETERANS, POST NUMBER 17 IN LONG 24
- BEACH. HE SERVED THE UNITED STATES ARMY FROM 1982 TO 1984 AS A 25



- 1 CORPORAL IN THE 194TH UNIT INFANTRY DIVISION. HE RECEIVED THE
- 2 UNITED STATES ARMY GOOD CONDUCT MEDAL, THE NATIONAL DEFENSE
- 3 SERVICE MEDAL, AND HE WORKS FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY IN THE
- 4 D.P.S.S. OFFICE OUT IN RANCHO DOMINGUEZ BUT ALSO HE HELPS US
- 5 OUT WITH COUNSELING AND WORKS WITH OUR VETERANS COUNTYWIDE BUT
- 6 ALSO DOES A LOT OF WORK DOWN IN THE VILLAGES. SO ANDRE HAS
- 7 LIVED IN THE FOURTH DISTRICT FOR SOME NINE YEARS. HE IS
- 8 MARRIED AND HAS A COUPLE OF CHILDREN. SO, ON BEHALF OF THE
- 9 BOARD AND MY COLLEAGUES HERE AND OUR CITIZENS, WE JUST WANT TO
- 10 THANK YOU, ANDRE, FOR TAKING THE TIME TO COME DOWN AND LEAD US
- 11 IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. [APPLAUSE]

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. WE'LL BEGIN THE AGENDA.

14

- 15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GOOD MORNING, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE
- 16 BOARD. WE'LL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 3, NOTICE OF CLOSED
- 17 SESSION. AS NOTED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET, ITEM CS-1,
- 18 COUNTY COUNSEL REQUESTS THAT THIS CLOSED SESSION ITEM BE
- 19 CONTINUED TO JUNE 13TH, 2006.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.
- 22 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON PAGE 5, AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE
- 2 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
- 3 ITEM 1-D.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 6 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

7

- 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE HOUSING
- 9 AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, ITEMS 1-H THROUGH 3-H.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 12 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE REGIONAL PARK
- 15 AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, AS NOTED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL
- 16 SHEET, ITEM 1-P.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO MOVED. SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT
- 19 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

20

- 21 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 1 THROUGH 7. ON
- 22 ITEM 1, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. AND ITEM 5,
- 23 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. THE
- 24 REST ARE BEFORE YOU.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 2 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, ITEM 8.
- 5 SUPERVISOR KNABE REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. AUDIT
- 6 COMMITTEE, ITEM 9.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.
- 9 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

10

11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, ITEM 10.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 14 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15

- 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, ITEMS 11
- 17 THROUGH 13. ON ITEM 11, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 20 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

21

- 22 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEM 14
- 23 AND 15.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 2 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ITEM 16.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.
- 7 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

- 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: HEALTH SERVICES, ITEMS 17 THROUGH 23. AND,
- 10 ON ITEM 21, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. THE REST ARE
- 11 BEFORE YOU.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 14 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15

16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MENTAL HEALTH, ITEMS 24 AND 25.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 19 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

20

21 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MILITARY AND VETERANS AFFAIRS, ITEM 26.

22

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 24 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PARKS AND RECREATION, ITEM 27.

2

- 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.
- 4 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

5

6 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PROBATION, ITEM 28.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 9 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

10

11 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES, ITEM 29.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 14 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15

- 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 30 THROUGH 55. ON ITEM
- 17 32, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON
- 18 ITEMS 35 THROUGH 55, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. SO ITEMS
- 19 30, 31, 33 AND 34 ARE BEFORE YOU.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON PAGE 24, SHERIFF, ITEM 56.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.
- 2 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR, ITEM 57.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 7 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATION, ITEM 58.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 12 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION, ITEM 59 AND
- 15 I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD. AN ORDINANCE
- 16 AMENDING TITLE 3, ADVISORY COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES OF THE
- 17 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CODE TO ADOPT AND CONTINUE CHAPTER 3.47,
- 18 INFORMATION SYSTEMS COMMISSION AND EXTEND THE EXPIRATION DATE
- 19 THEREOF.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ORDINANCES FOR ADOPTION, ITEMS 60 THROUGH
- 25 62.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.
- 3 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

4

- 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SEPARATE MATTERS, ITEMS 63 AND 66. ON ITEM
- 6 63, I'LL READ THIS INTO THE RECORD. IT'S THE TREASURER AND TAX
- 7 COLLECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE
- 8 LEASING OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT FROM THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 9 CAPITAL ASSET LEASING CORPORATION AND THE EXECUTION OF A LEASE
- 10 AGREEMENT AND CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE IN CONNECTION
- 11 WITH THE ISSUANCE OF NOT-TO-EXCEED 35 MILLION AGGREGATE
- 12 PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF LEASE REVENUE BONDS AND APPROVE THE
- 13 PUBLICATION OF THE OFFICIAL NOTICE INVITING BIDS IN THE DAILY
- 14 COMMERCE OR SUCH OTHER NEWSPAPER OF GENERAL CIRCULATION WITHIN
- 15 THE COUNTY.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 18 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

19

- 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 64, THE DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND
- 21 RECREATION IS REQUESTING THAT THIS MATTER BE TERMINATED AND
- 22 REFERRED BACK TO THE DEPARTMENT.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 25 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SUP. KNABE: WHICH ITEM WAS THAT? 3 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 64. 4 5 SUP. KNABE: OKAY. 6 7 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 65, THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED UNTIL JUNE 20, 2006. 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT 11 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 12 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM 66. 14 15 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 17 18 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC HEARINGS, ITEMS 67 AND 68. WE WILL HOLD THESE ITEMS FOR THE PUBLIC HEARINGS. AND ON ITEM 68, AS 20 NOTED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THIS IS SCHEDULED FOR 21 22 1:00 TODAY. MISCELLANEOUS, ITEM 69, ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA 23 REQUESTED BY BOARD MEMBERS AND THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE

OFFICER, WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF



- 1 THE MEETING, AS INDICATED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA.
- 2 69-A.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 5 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

- 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON 69-B, SUPERVISOR KNABE REQUESTS A COUPLE
- 8 REVISIONS TO THIS ITEM; SPECIFICALLY, HE WOULD LIKE TO ADD, ON
- 9 THE FIRST SECTION, REQUEST THE POLICY ROUNDTABLE FOR CHILDCARE
- 10 TO WORK WITH THE C.A.O. AND COUNTY COUNSEL AND REPORT BACK TO
- 11 THE BOARD WITHIN 60 DAYS. AND, ON THE SECOND CHANGE, IT'S ON
- 12 ITEM NUMBER 2, POTENTIAL PARTNERS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED
- 13 TO THE FIRST FIVE L.A.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 16 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

17

- 18 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 69-C, SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND SUPERVISOR
- 19 KNABE REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. 69-D.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO MOVED. SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT
- 22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON PAGE 29, AGENDA ITEM-- ITEMS CONTINUED
- 2 FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY
- 3 THE BOARD. ON A-3, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY.

6

- 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA.
- 8 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL
- 9 DISTRICT NO. 2.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME FIRST INTRODUCE THE NEW CONSUL-
- 12 GENERAL.

13

14 SUP. BURKE: WE HAVE NO PRESENTATIONS.

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE HAVE A CONSUL-GENERAL.

17

18 SUP. BURKE: YEAH.

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THIS MORNING, WE'RE GOING TO WELCOME A
- 21 NEW CONSUL-GENERAL AND THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THAT HIS
- 22 GOVERNMENT, AZERBAIJAN, HAS BECOME A OFFICIAL PART OF OUR
- 23 COUNTY'S PROTOCOL DIPLOMATIC CORPS, IN WHICH THEY OPENED THEIR
- 24 FIRST OFFICE IN OUR COUNTY. WITH US THIS MORNING IS THE
- 25 HONORABLE ELIN SULEYMANOV WHO CAME TO LOS ANGELES JUST



- 1 RECENTLY. HE'S A NATIVE OF AZERBAIJAN, A GRADUATE OF THE
- 2 POLITICAL GEOGRAPHY DEPARTMENT OF MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY IN
- 3 RUSSIA, AS WELL AS THE FLETCHER SCHOOL OF LAW AND DIPLOMACY IN
- 4 MASSACHUSETTS AND THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO IN OHIO. PRIOR TO
- 5 COMING TO OUR COUNTY, HE WAS SENIOR COUNSELOR AT THE OFFICE OF
- 6 THE PRESIDENT OF AZERBAIJAN FOREIGN RELATIONS DEPARTMENT AS
- 7 WELL AS THE PRESS OFFICER FOR THE EMBASSY IN WASHINGTON, D.C.
- 8 BEFORE JOINING THE DIPLOMATIC SERVICE, HE WORKED WITH UNITED
- 9 NATIONS HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR REFUGEES IN AZERBAIJAN, ALONG
- 10 WITH THE OPEN MEDIA RESEARCH INSTITUTE IN PRAGUE, CZECH
- 11 REPUBLIC. SO WE'RE VERY PLEASED TO WELCOME YOU TO OUR COUNTY.
- 12 WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU AS YOU WORK WITH YOUR
- 13 COLLEAGUES IN OUR DIPLOMATIC CORPS AND, AGAIN, WELCOME. [
- 14 APPLAUSE]

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND HE'S GOING TO INTRODUCE HIS WIFE
- 17 AS WELL.

- 19 THE HONORABLE ELIN SULEYMANOV: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR.
- 20 ANTONOVICH. THIS IS MY WIFE, LAWA, SUPERVISORS. THANK YOU VERY
- 21 MUCH. IT'S A GREAT HONOR TO BE HERE. I AM VERY PROUD TO BE A
- 22 MEMBER OF THE DISTINGUISHED CONSULAR CORPS OF LOS ANGELES. I
- 23 LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING TOGETHER WITH YOU. MY COUNTRY STANDS
- 24 SHOULDER TO SHOULDER WITH THE UNITED STATES INTER NATION AND



- 1 WILL CONTINUE TO DO SO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IT'S A GREAT
- 2 HONOR. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

3

- 4 THE HONORABLE ELIN SULEYMANOV: MAYOR ANTONOVICH, THIS IS FROM
- 5 AZERBAIJAN FOR YOU.

6

7 SUP. BURKE: GLORIA, I DIDN'T CONGRATULATE YOU.

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR KNABE. DON? YOU'RE UP.

- 11 SUP. KNABE: I HAVE UP HERE, JUST WALKED UP, ANGI WONG AND IT'S
- 12 REALLY FITTING THAT WE HAVE ANGI HERE TODAY BECAUSE, AS YOU
- 13 SAW IN THE NEWS LAST NIGHT, THERE WAS PURPORTED SIGHTING OF
- 14 REGGIE, THE ALLIGATOR. AND ANGI IS NOT ONLY A TWO-TIME CANCER
- 15 SURVIVOR BUT ALSO AN AWARD-WINNING ENTREPRENEUR AND BEST-
- 16 SELLING AUTHOR OF SOME 25 TITLES. ALTHOUGH THE MAJORITY OF HER
- 17 BOOKS DEAL WITH THE CHINESE ART OF FENG SHUI, SHE'S AN
- 18 ACCOMPLISHED WRITER OF CHILDREN'S BOOKS AS WELL. SHE FEELS
- 19 THAT HER CHILDREN'S BOOKS GIVE HER THE OPPORTUNITY TO EMPOWER
- 20 YOUNG PEOPLE TO FIND THEIR OWN VALUE AND DISCOVER THEIR INNER
- 21 STRENGTHS AND ABILITIES. HER NEWEST BOOK, WHICH SHE HAS
- 22 WRITTEN AND ILLUSTRATED, IS ENTITLED, "REGGIE: THE L.A.
- 23 GATOR." THE STORY IS ABOUT REGGIE THE ALLIGATOR, WHICH WE'VE
- 24 ALL WATCHED ON T.V., WHO WAS ILLEGALLY RELEASED IN THE MACHADO
- 25 LAKE IN HARBOR CITY AND BECAME AN INTERNATIONAL NEWS EVENT FOR



- 1 QUITE AWHILE, FOR A NUMBER OF WEEKS. TO DATE, REGGIE HAS NOT
- 2 BEEN CAPTURED. REGGIE FASCINATED ANGI BECAUSE OF THE COMMON
- 3 EXPERIENCES THAT THEY SHARED. AS A DAUGHTER OF A CHINESE
- 4 DIPLOMAT, ANGI LIVED IN SIX DIFFERENT CITIES GROWING UP AND
- 5 HAD TO ADJUST TO NEW ENVIRONMENTS AND FELT THE PAIN OF LEAVING
- 6 OLD FRIENDS AND THE HARDSHIPS OF MAKING NEW ONES. BECAUSE OF
- 7 THIS, HER NEW BOOK IS DEDICATED TO ALL THOSE WHO HAVE EVER
- 8 BEEN LEFT BEHIND. SO, ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY COLLEAGUES,
- 9 WE'RE HONORED TO COMMEMORATE HER NEW BOOK, "REGGIE: THE L.A.
- 10 GATOR." THANK HER FOR HER NUMEROUS CONTRIBUTIONS, NOT ONLY TO
- 11 THE WORLD OF LITERACY AND HER SUPPORT OF LITERACY, BUT ALSO
- 12 THE WORLD OF CHILDREN'S BOOKS. SO, WE'RE PROUD TO HAVE YOU
- 13 HERE. WE WANT TO SAY GOOD LUCK IN THE BOOK AND WE'LL SAY THAT
- 14 TO ALL 10 MILLION RESIDENTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, BUY
- 15 "REGGIE: THE L.A. GATOR." [APPLAUSE]

16

- 17 ANGI WONG: THANK YOU. IT IS A HONOR TO BE HERE TODAY AND,
- 18 SUPERVISOR KNABE, I'D LIKE TO PRESENT YOU WITH AN AUTOGRAPHED
- 19 COPY OF "REGGIE: THE L.A. GATOR."

20

21 SUP. KNABE: ALL RIGHT! FOR MY GRANDDAUGHTER.

- 23 ANGIE WONG: AND I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN BEAR TO GIVE THAT ONE
- 24 UP TO YOUR GRANDDAUGHTER. YOU CAN KEEP IT. MAKE YOUR DAY. AND
- 25 ALSO FOR-- I'D LIKE TO PRESENT THESE ALSO TO THE OTHER



- 1 SUPERVISORS HERE. I KNOW THAT SEVERAL OF THEM HAVE BEEN
- 2 INVOLVED IN EDUCATION AND LIKE TO SHARE THAT WITH THEM.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. I'LL
- 5 KEEP THIS ONE AND YOU TAKE THAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH AGAIN FOR
- 6 COMING DOWN. BEST OF LUCK.

7

8 ANGI WONG: THANK YOU.

- 10 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU. I TRIED TO LOOK AT THE END, AND SHE
- 11 WOULDN'T LET ME, TO WHETHER THE GATOR WAS CAPTURED BUT SHE
- 12 SAID, NO, IT'S A CHILDREN'S BOOK, SO SHE HAS A VERY HAPPY
- 13 ENDING. MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, AT THIS TIME, I
- 14 BELIEVE THEY'RE UP HERE, I'M GOING TO CALL UP THE SOUTHERN
- 15 CALIFORNIA SCLERODERMA FOUNDATION. JOSEPHINE BATTENY AND
- 16 SANDRA MATTHEWS, SUSAN PEARSON, WHO ACTUALLY WORKS FOR OUR
- 17 PARKS AND REC EMPLOYEES -- PARKS AND REC DEPARTMENT, JIM
- 18 CLAUSETERMAN FROM THE DOWNEY COMMUNITY, AND BRIAN ADAMS FROM
- 19 WESTCOM CREDIT UNION, WHO IS A PRINCIPLE SPONSOR OF THE
- 20 SUNDAY'S SCLERODERMA WALK, YESSANI RAMIREZ, YVETTE COOK AND
- 21 DEBBIE FLANNIGAN ARE ALL JOINING ME UP HERE, I BELIEVE. PRETTY
- 22 CLOSE. ALL RIGHT. YOU KNOW, LAST YEAR, WE TOOK THE TIME TO
- 23 RECOGNIZE THESE FOLKS AS WELL BUT ALSO TO ONCE AGAIN LET THE
- 24 WORLD KNOW JUST WHAT THIS DISEASE IS. AS YOU-- SOME OF YOU MAY
- 25 OR MAY NOT KNOW, SCLERODERMA, WHICH LITERALLY MEANS HARD SKIN,



- 1 IS A DISEASE THAT AFFECTS APPROXIMATELY 300,000 AMERICANS AND
- 2 THE DISEASE CAN TAKE SEVERAL DIFFERENT FORMS, AND THERE'S A
- 3 LOT OF VARIABILITY BETWEEN PATIENTS. THE EXACT CAUSES ARE
- 4 STILL UNKNOWN BUT DOCTORS AND SCIENTISTS ARE WORKING HARD TO
- 5 MAKE THOSE DETERMINATIONS AND SO THERE'S A LOT OF FUNDRAISING
- 6 GOING ON, LIKE THE WALK THIS WEEKEND. ABOUT 80% OF THOSE
- 7 AFFLICTED WITH THE DISEASE ARE WOMEN. BOTH SCLERODERMA ALSO
- 8 STRIKES MEN AND CHILDREN ACROSS ALL AGES AND ETHNIC
- 9 BOUNDARIES. SADLY, THE DISEASE TYPICALLY STRIKES IN THE PRIME
- 10 OF THE PATIENT'S LIVES, IN THAT 30 TO 50 BRACKET. AS MANY AS
- 10,000 PATIENTS DIE EVERY YEAR FROM THE MOST SERIOUS FORM OF
- 12 THIS DISEASE. EVEN WITH THESE STARTLING FACTS IN MIND,
- 13 SCLERODERMA IS STILL SORELY LACKING THE ATTENTION THAT IT SO
- 14 URGENTLY REQUIRES. THIS SUNDAY, THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
- 15 SCLERODERMA FOUNDATION WILL BE HOSTING THEIR ANNUAL WALK AND
- 16 THEIR SPONSOR, WESTCOM CREDIT UNION, TO HELP RAISE MONEY AND
- 17 AWARENESS FOR THIS VERY TRAGIC DISEASE. SO, ON BEHALF OF MY
- 18 COLLEAGUES ON THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, WE'RE GOING TO DECLARE
- 19 JUNE 2006 AS SCLERODERMA AWARENESS MONTH HERE IN THE COUNTY OF
- 20 LOS ANGELES. [APPLAUSE]

- 22 SPEAKER: I WANT TO THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR DON KNABE AND ALL THE
- 23 SUPERVISORS FOR NAMING THE MONTH OF JUNE SCLERODERMA
- 24 AWARENESS. ALL OF US ARE SO CONCERNED WITH THIS DISEASE. WE
- 25 ARE PART OF THE SCLERODERMA FOUNDATION, IT'S A NATIONAL



- 1 FOUNDATION. WE ARE 26 CHAPTERS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY AND WE
- 2 ARE ONE OF THE LARGEST. LET ME JUST OUTLINE FOR YOU THE THREE
- 3 MISSION STATEMENTS THAT WE HAVE, WHICH REALLY SPELL OUT OUR
- 4 ACTIVITY THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY. FIRST IS TO HELP PATIENTS AND
- 5 THEIR FAMILIES COPE WITH SCLERODERMA THROUGH MUTUAL SUPPORT
- 6 PROGRAMS, PEER COUNSELING, PHYSICAL REFERRAL AND EDUCATIONAL
- 7 INFORMATION. THE SECOND ONE, TO PROMOTE PUBLIC AWARENESS AND
- 8 EDUCATION THROUGH PATIENT AND HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL
- 9 SEMINARS, LITERATURE, PUBLICITY AND CAMPAIGNS. AND NUMBER
- 10 THREE, TO STIMULATE AND SUPPORT RESEARCH TO IMPROVE TREATMENT
- 11 AND ULTIMATELY FIND THE CAUSE AND THE CURE OF SCLERODERMA AND
- 12 RELATED DISEASES. WE, AT THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CHAPTER, DO
- 13 ALL OF THESE THREE THINGS AND DO THEM VERY WELL BUT, OVER AND
- 14 ABOVE THAT, WE HAVE STARTED A SPECIAL FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM
- 15 BECAUSE WE'RE REALLY BLESSED IN THIS COMMUNITY OF HAVING
- 16 RESEARCH FOUNDATIONS, RESEARCH MEDICAL INSTITUTIONS, SUCH AS
- 17 U.C.L.A. AND CEDARS SINAI AND SO WE'VE STARTED A SPECIAL
- 18 FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM AND ARE FUNDING IT SO THAT THERE CAN BE
- 19 FURTHER RESEARCH INTO THE CAUSE OF THIS DISEASE. YOU KNOW,
- 20 THERE ARE MANY REASONS WHY WE, AS BOARD MEMBERS, BELONG TO
- 21 THIS FOUNDATION AND IT'S BECAUSE OF A FAMILY, A FRIEND OR A
- 22 CAUSE. I THINK I HAVE A VERY UNIQUE SITUATION. I'M FROM A VERY
- 23 LARGE FAMILY, AS NUMBER 15 OF 16 CHILDREN, AND WE ALL HAD A
- 24 VERY HEALTHY UPBRINGING. WE HAD MEASLES AND MUMPS AND, YOU
- 25 KNOW, SUNBURNS AND THAT. BUT IT WASN'T UNTIL MY SISTER, ADDIE,



- 1 TURNS ABOUT 47 YEARS OLD, AND SHE WAS DIAGNOSED WITH
- 2 SCLERODERMA. FIVE YEARS LATER, SHE DIED. SEVEN YEARS LATER, MY
- 3 SISTER, RUTH, WAS DIAGNOSED WITH SCLERODERMA AND, IN A SHORT
- 4 TIME, SHE DIED. MY SISTER, PATTY, WHO WAS JUST TWO YEARS OLDER
- 5 THAN ME, WAS DIAGNOSED WITH IT. LUCKILY, SHE HAS A VERY LIGHT
- 6 CASE. SHE HAS SEVEN CHILDREN AND 25 GRANDCHILDREN. AND SHE
- 7 PLAYS TENNIS TWICE A WEEK. SO SHE'S KIND OF OVERCOME THIS.
- 8 JUST THREE YEARS AGO, MY SISTER-IN-LAW, CAROL CLAWSTERMAN, WAS
- 9 DIAGNOSED WITH SCLERODERMA. SHE HAD JUST RETIRED FROM SAN
- 10 CLEMENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, AFTER 25 YEARS OF TEACHING, AND WAS
- 11 SO EXCITED THAT SHE WOULD TRAVEL. SHE GOT SCLERODERMA. THIS
- 12 PAST WEEK, SHE WAS TAKEN TO THE SADDLEBACK HOSPITAL. THIS
- 13 MORNING, I GOT A PHONE CALL AT 4:00, SHE HAD PASSED AWAY. SO
- 14 THIS IS A VERY PERSONAL THING FOR ME ... (VOICE WAVERING)...
- 15 AND SHE WAS LOOKING SO MUCH FORWARD TO THE WALK THIS WEEKEND.
- 16 SHE HAD ORGANIZED FAMILY AND FRIENDS AND SHE WAS REALLY
- 17 LOOKING FORWARD TO IT. SO PLEASE REMEMBER HER IN YOUR PRAYERS.
- 18 I GUESS YOU CAN SEE WHY I AM REALLY EXCITED ABOUT THIS
- 19 FOUNDATION AND WANT TO DO AS MUCH AS I CAN AS THEIR PRESIDENT.
- 20 WE ARE NOW GOING TO HAVE JOSEPHINE COME UP. SHE IS A PERSON
- 21 WITH A HEART OF GOLD AND GIVES GREAT SERVICE TO OUR
- 22 ORGANIZATION. JOSEPHINE. [APPLAUSE]

23

24 JOSEPHINE: THANK YOU. FIRST OF ALL, THANKS, ALL OF YOU, FOR

25 HAVING US HERE TODAY AND CONGRATULATIONS, MS. MOLINA, ON YOUR



- 1 REELECTION. AND I WILL TELL YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT MYSELF. I
- 2 GOT SICK IN THE LATE-- THE BEGINNING OF THE 1980S AND I WAS
- 3 SICK FOR ABOUT THREE YEARS BEFORE FINALLY DR. FURST SAID THAT
- 4 I HAD SCLERODERMA BUT, IN THE MEANTIME, I HAD GONE TO A LOT OF
- 5 DOCTORS. THEY DIDN'T KNOW WHAT WAS WRONG WITH ME, SO THEY-- I
- 6 WENT TO U.C.L.A., WHERE I WAS HOSPITALIZED FOR 17 DAYS AND
- 7 GIVEN ALL KINDS OF TESTING AND I WAS CONFIRMED THAT I HAD
- 8 SCLERODERMA. RIGHT AWAY, I WAS INVOLVED IN RESEARCH PROJECTS.
- 9 I THINK I HAVE PARTICIPATED IN ABOUT SIX OR SEVEN RESEARCH
- 10 PROJECTS, WHICH NONE OF THEM HAVE WORKED BUT THAT MIGHT BE THE
- 11 REASON THAT I'M STILL HERE. I DON'T KNOW. AT THE TIME, I WAS
- 12 ASKED TO VOLUNTEER WITH THE SCLERODERMA FOUNDATION, WHICH I
- 13 DID, AND IT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE FOR ONE YEAR. 26 YEARS LATER,
- 14 I'M STILL HERE. SO DON'T EVER BELIEVE WHEN THEY ASK YOU TO
- 15 VOLUNTEER. [LAUGHTER]

- 17 JOSEPHINE: SO, IN THE MEANTIME, I HAVE HAD MY UPS AND DOWNS.
- 18 IN THE THIRD YEAR OF ONSET OF SCLERODERMA, I HAD KIDNEY
- 19 FAILURE WHICH WAS, AT THAT TIME, A DEATH SENTENCE BUT, AGAIN,
- 20 I PARTICIPATED IN A RESEARCH PROJECT WHICH HELPED US OVERCOME
- 21 THAT. NOW WE KNOW WHAT TO DO IN CASE SOMEBODY HAS SEVERE
- 22 KIDNEY PROBLEMS. NOW WE'RE WORKING ON PROJECTS FOR LUNG
- 23 INVOLVEMENT. SO FAR, I HAVE BEEN LUCKY THAT MINE IS NOT THAT
- 24 SEVERE YET BUT, AS MOST OF YOU SEE A SCLERODERMA PATIENT
- 25 WEARING GLOVES. FOR US, IT'S VERY COLD IN HERE, WHICH FOR YOU



- 1 IS COMFORTABLE. WE HAVE TROUBLE EATING. THAT'S WHY YOU SEE A
- 2 LOT OF US VERY THIN, BECAUSE WE CANNOT EAT TOO MUCH, WE HAVE
- 3 TROUBLE SWALLOWING OUR FOOD. I HAVE A LOT OF PROBLEMS WITH
- 4 THAT. AND, IN THE MEANTIME, THE ONE THING THAT WE HAVE TO DO
- 5 IS KEEP OUR HOPE UP. WE CANNOT GIVE UP. WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO
- 6 SEE IF, IN OUR LIFETIME, A DISCOVERY CAN BE MADE FOR A CURE
- 7 FOR SCLERODERMA. THANKS TO ALL OF YOU FOR BEING HERE. [
- 8 APPLAUSE]

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE: WE WANT TO TELL EVERYONE THE WALK IS THIS SUNDAY,
- 11 WHERE IT IS AND WHAT TIME.

12

- 13 JOSEPHINE: OH, SURE. OH, THAT'S RIGHT. EVERYBODY, COME TO THE
- 14 WALK, HUNTINGTON BEACH CENTRAL PARK IN HUNTINGTON BEACH. WE'RE
- 15 GOING TO START THE REGISTRATION AT 8:30. FOR \$20, YOU GET A T-
- 16 SHIRT, YOU GET FOOD, FREE FOOD, FREE FOOD AND WATER AND SODA
- 17 AND YOU CAN WALK 1-K, 3-K OR 5-K. EVEN IF YOU CAN'T WALK, COME
- 18 AND JOIN US, WE WILL STILL FEED YOU. AND WE'D LOVE TO SEE YOU
- 19 THERE BECAUSE WE NEED TO GET A LOT OF FUNDING. THANK YOU. [
- 20 APPLAUSE]

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH FOR COMING DOWN. [
- 23 APPLAUSE]

24

25 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THIS MORNING, WE WANT TO RECOGNIZE AN
- 3 INDIVIDUAL WHO IS RETIRING FROM THE CITY OF DUARTE AS THEIR
- 4 DIRECTOR OF SAFETY COORDINATION. HE FORMERLY WAS A LOS ANGELES
- 5 COUNTY SHERIFF, WHERE HE SERVED AS A REGULAR SHERIFF FROM '72
- 6 TO '83 AND CURRENTLY SERVES AS A RESERVE DEPUTY SHERIFF BUT
- 7 DON ANDERSON WAS A VETERAN OF THE UNITED STATES ARMY, GRADUATE
- 8 OF MOUNT SAN ANTONIO COLLEGE AND CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
- 9 AT FULLERTON. AS DIRECTOR OF SAFETY COORDINATION, HE'S BEEN
- 10 RESPONSIBLE FOR ESTABLISHING SEVERAL INNOVATIVE AND EFFECTIVE
- 11 PROGRAMS AND WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO WORK WITH THE DUARTE AND OUR
- 12 TOWN COUNCIL THAT SERVICES THAT AREA OF DUARTE, ARCADIA AND
- 13 MONROVIA. THE DUARTE RESIDENTS AGAINST NEIGHBORHOOD
- 14 ENHANCEMENT TEAM, WHICH INCLUDES DRAGNET AND G.A.P.P., THE
- 15 GANG ALTERNATIVE PREVENTION PROGRAM. HE'S ALSO CREATED
- 16 D.A.M.A.G.E., WHICH DUARTE AND MONROVIA ACTIVE GANG
- 17 ENFORCEMENT JOINING SHERIFF DEPUTIES FROM DUARTE AND OFFICERS
- 18 FROM MONROVIA TO INCREASE COOPERATION AND COMMUNICATION AND
- 19 EXCHANGING INFORMATION ABOUT GANG MEMBERS THAT PLAGUE BOTH
- 20 COMMUNITIES IN OUR COUNTY. SO WE APPRECIATE DON'S SERVICE AND
- 21 DEDICATION TO GOOD PUBLIC SAFETY AND GOOD REPRESENTATION SO
- 22 THANK YOU FOR A GOOD JOB WELL DONE. [APPLAUSE]

- 24 DON ANDERSON: FIRST OF ALL, I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE MY WIFE,
- 25 JANICE, OF 36 YEARS, WHO STOOD BY ME ALL THIS TIME. I'D ALSO



- 1 LIKE TO INTRODUCE SOME SPECIAL GUESTS IN THE AUDIENCE, SOME
- 2 SPECIAL FRIENDS WITH ME TODAY. MIKE YELTIN, THE ASSISTANT CITY
- 3 MANAGER FOR THE CITY OF DUARTE, ED COX, THE DIRECTOR OF
- 4 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FOR THE CITY OF DUARTE. MY REPLACEMENT,
- 5 BRIAN VILLALOBOS, NOW THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES
- 6 WITH THE CITY OF DUARTE AND SERGEANT DAVE BLIGH FROM THE
- 7 DUARTE SATELLITE STATION, SO THANK YOU FOR COMING. I'D LIKE TO
- 8 THANK SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH FOR THIS HONOR. I TRULY APPRECIATE
- 9 IT AND ALL OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, THANK YOU VERY MUCH
- 10 FOR GIVING ME THIS TIME. [APPLAUSE]

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NOW WE WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE TWO OF
- 13 OUR COUNTY EMPLOYEES FROM THE DEPARTMENTS OF PARK AND
- 14 RECREATION, WHO HAVE BEEN RECENTLY HONORED BY THE CALIFORNIA
- 15 PARKS RECREATION SOCIETY FOR THEIR OUTSTANDING WORK AND I'VE
- 16 HAD THE OPPORTUNITY AND PLEASURE OF WORKING WITH BOTH OF THESE
- 17 INDIVIDUALS IN REPRESENTING OUR AREAS AND EACH DOING A SUPERB
- 18 JOB BECAUSE THEY'RE DEDICATED IN SERVING THE NEEDS OF OUR
- 19 COMMUNITY. FIRST, WE WERE GOING TO HAVE RUSS GUINEY, WHO IS
- 20 OUR DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION, WHO IS GOING TO HELP
- 21 WITH THIS PRESENTATION. OUR FIRST HONOREE IS SUE GOODWIN, WHO
- 22 RECEIVED THE CITATION AWARD FOR HER ACHIEVEMENT IN
- 23 ESTABLISHING AND PROMOTING THE AWARD WINNING COUNTYWIDE
- 24 HEALTHY PARKS PROGRAM. AS COORDINATOR FOR THE COUNTY'S HEALTHY
- 25 PARKS PROGRAM, SHE'S FORGED PARTNERSHIPS WITH HEALTH PROVIDERS



- 1 AND BROUGHT ABOUT DIRECT DELIVERY OF THEIR SERVICES TO SOME
- 2 HIGHLY MARGINALIZED AREAS OF OUR COUNTY. SHE'S ALSO
- 3 ESTABLISHED HEALTHY PARKS COMMUNITY FORUMS, WHICH ALLOW
- 4 CITIZENS IN SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES TO VOICE THEIR NEEDS AND
- 5 CONCERNS ABOUT THEIR LOCAL PARK PROGRAMS. SHE JOINED THE
- 6 DEPARTMENT BACK IN 1990 AS A RECREATION SERVICES SUPERVISOR,
- 7 SERVES AS REGIONAL RECREATION DIRECTOR FOR THE NORTH COUNTY
- 8 COMMUNITY SERVICES AND AT ALL OF THE TOWN HALL MEETINGS THAT
- 9 WE DO, SHE'S ALWAYS THERE AND, WHEN WE DO EVENTS THROUGHOUT
- 10 THE COUNTY WITH PARKS AND RECREATION, SUE IS ALSO THERE AS
- 11 WELL, SO THANK YOU FOR A JOB WELL DONE. [APPLAUSE]

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: INDIVIDUAL THAT HAS REALLY HELPED A
- 14 GREAT DEAL WITH OUR TRAILS AND OUR EQUESTRIAN RIDES THAT WE DO
- 15 TWICE A YEAR AND WE'LL BE DOING ANOTHER ONE THIS MONTH AND JIM
- 16 WILL BE THERE, ALONG WITH HIS WIFE, LORRAINE, AND HE IS THE
- 17 CHAMPION -- RECEIVING THE CHAMPION OF COMMUNITY AWARD IN HONOR
- 18 OF THOSE EFFORTS TO DEVELOP AND PRESERVE PARKS AND OPEN SPACE
- 19 AND PROTECT ANIMALS AND THE ENVIRONMENT. HE ESTABLISHED IN
- 20 ASSISTING THE OPENING OF A STATE PARK THAT WAS NAMED AFTER ME
- 21 AT THE OPEN SPACE PRESERVE EXPANDING THE RIM OF THE VALLEY
- 22 CORRIDOR AND PRESERVING SANTA CLARITA WOODLANDS. JIM STARTED
- 23 WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION IN 1985 AS A
- 24 MANAGEMENT TRAINEE AT BONELLI REGIONAL PARK. HE CURRENTLY IS
- 25 THE PARK PROJECT COORDINATOR FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF NORTH



- 1 AGENCY. HE'S BEEN HONORED AS THE DEPARTMENT'S EMPLOYEE OF THE
- 2 MONTH, AS WELL AS THE NORTH AGENCY EMPLOYEE OF THE YEAR, SO WE
- 3 CONGRATULATE AGAIN JIM AND SUE ON THEIR AWARDS AND LET ME GIVE
- 4 JIM THIS PROCLAMATION.

5

- 6 SUE GOODWIN: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THIS AWARD. IT'S A
- 7 WONDERFUL FEELING TO BE RECOGNIZED BY THE COUNTY. I LOVE MY
- 8 JOB WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION AND, WITHOUT
- 9 THEIR LEADERSHIP, WE WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO MOVE THE PARKS
- 10 FORWARD IN THIS DIRECTION OF HEALTH AND WELLNESS IN
- 11 COMMUNITIES. AND CONGRATULATIONS TO THE COUNTY.

12

- 13 JIM MCCARTHY: AS IN ANYTHING WE DO, NOBODY DOES IT BY
- 14 THEMSELVES. THERE ARE HUNDREDS AND HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE IN THE
- 15 COMMUNITY AND IN THE DEPARTMENT THAT HAVE HELPED ME TO
- 16 ACCOMPLISH SOMETHING THAT I COULDN'T HAVE ACCOMPLISHED ON MY
- 17 OWN. I WANT TO THANK THEM ALL. I WANT TO THANK THE BOARD OF
- 18 SUPERVISORS AND HOPE THAT I CAN DO MORE FOR EVERYBODY. THANK
- 19 YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

- 21 RUSS GUINEY: THANK YOU, MAYOR ANTONOVICH, AND WE'RE VERY, VERY
- 22 PROUD OF JIM AND SUE, THEY JUST DO A TREMENDOUS JOB, DAY IN
- 23 AND DAY OUT. THEY'VE DONE JUST ABOUT EVERYTHING IN THE
- 24 DEPARTMENT. JIM HAS BEEN A TRAILS COORDINATOR, A PLANNER. SUE
- 25 HAS RECENTLY RECEIVED THE OUTSTANDING PROFESSIONAL AWARD OF



- 1 THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY PARK AND RECREATION
- 2 DIRECTORS. SO THEY'RE JUST DOING A TREMENDOUS JOB AND THEY
- 3 HAVE WITH THEM TODAY, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR KATHLEEN RITNER AND
- 4 REGIONAL OPERATIONS MANAGER, ROY WILLIAMS AND THEY'RE REALLY
- 5 REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COMMITMENT OF THE EMPLOYEES OF THIS
- 6 DEPARTMENT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NOW OUR DIRECTOR IS GOING TO MAKE A
- 9 PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD REGARDING THE COUNTY'S LEADERSHIP IN
- 10 THE CONSERVATION AWARDS. SO, RUSS?

- 12 RUSS GUINEY: THANK YOU AGAIN, MAYOR ANTONOVICH. IF I COULD
- 13 HAVE THE REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT STAFF COME UP
- 14 PLEASE. WE WERE EXTREMELY HONORED THIS YEAR IN THAT THE LOS
- 15 ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ACTING AS THE BOARD OF
- 16 DIRECTORS OF THE REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, WAS
- 17 HONORED ON THE NATIONAL LEVEL FOR AN AWARD BY THE NATIONAL
- 18 ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES. THIS AWARD WAS PRESENTED RECENTLY
- 19 WASHINGTON, D.C., AND SENIOR DEPUTY BOB HOWDER FROM THE FIFTH
- 20 DISTRICT REPRESENTED THE MAYOR IN ACCEPTING THAT AWARD BUT WE
- 21 WANTED TO BRING THIS AWARD HOME TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND TO
- 22 EACH OF THE SUPERVISORS HERE BECAUSE YOU REALLY ARE THE PEOPLE
- 23 WHO HAVE WON THIS AWARD. THE OPEN SPACE DISTRICT WAS CREATED
- 24 IN 1992 AND AUGMENTED IN 1996 AND, OVER THE YEARS, 800 MILLION
- 25 DOLLARS HAS BEEN SET ASIDE FOR PRESERVATION. 33,000 ACRES HAVE



- 1 BEEN PRESERVED AND THIS BOARD, ACTING AS THE BOARD OF
- 2 DIRECTORS OF OUR REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, COMES
- 3 UP WITH NUMEROUS IDEAS. THOSE IDEAS, THEN, ARE TRANSLATED BY
- 4 THE STAFF THAT YOU SEE HERE AND BROUGHT BACK TO YOU AS THE
- 5 PROJECTS OR THE GRANT PROGRAMS THAT YOU WISH TO TAKE OUT TO
- 6 THE COMMUNITY. SO THIS IS A VERY PRESTIGIOUS AWARD. WE'RE
- 7 EXTREMELY PROUD OF YOU AND I'M VERY HONORED TO WORK FOR YOU,
- 8 OUR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND TO BE RECOGNIZED AND HONORED ON
- 9 THE NATIONAL LEVEL. THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF PARK &
- 10 RECREATION COMMISSIONERS AND BOARD MEMBERS ALSO RECOGNIZED YOU
- 11 AND-- FOR THIS SAME WORK IN THE AREA OF PRESERVATION OF OPEN
- 12 SPACE. SO I WOULD LIKE FOR OUR STAFF FIRST TO COME FORWARD AND
- 13 PRESENT EACH OF YOU MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WITH
- 14 THIS AWARD FROM THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES AND THEN
- 15 THEY WILL GIVE YOU A PLAQUE FROM THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF
- 16 PARK AND RECREATION COMMISSIONERS AND BOARD MEMBERS
- 17 RECOGNIZING THE COMMITMENT THAT YOU'VE DONE. SO, STAFF, IF YOU
- 18 COULD GO AHEAD AND PRESENT EACH SUPERVISOR WITH THEIR AWARD
- 19 AND THESE AWARDS REPRESENT... [APPLAUSE]

- 21 RUSS GUINEY: ...THESE AWARDS REPRESENT YOUR IDEAS AND YOUR
- 22 STAFF IN EACH OF THE BOARD OFFICES ON SOME OF THE MOST
- 23 IMPORTANT PROJECTS TO YOU SUCH AS FUNDING THE LOS ANGELES
- 24 RIVER IN THE FIRST DISTRICT, PRESERVATION OF LAND IN THE
- 25 BALDWIN HILLS IN THE SECOND DISTRICT, THE KING GILLETTE RANCH



- 1 PRESERVATION IN THE THIRD DISTRICT, THE PORTUGUESE BEND
- 2 PRESERVATION IN THE FOURTH AND MARSHALL CANYON LAND
- 3 PRESERVATION IN THE FIFTH DISTRICT, SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
- 4 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]

5

- 6 RUSS GUINEY: IF YOU COULD STEP UP FOR THE PICTURE. I WANT TO
- 7 RECOGNIZE THE STAFF THAT IS HERE TODAY, LINDA BELLOS, SUSAN
- 8 WILSON-MADISON, RUTH RAYS, LITRINA PERRY, WARREN ONTONTAVEROS,
- 9 PHYLLIS CUNNINGHAM, AGGIE JORDAN, PERALTA FLORES AND ALDO DE
- 10 LA PAWS, TAMMY LAN AND ALICE YING. WE ALSO HAVE OUR
- 11 ADMINISTRATOR OF THE OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, ELONA VOLKMANN, AND
- 12 I THANK THE BOARD MEMBERS. YOU ALL RECOGNIZE OUR FIRST
- 13 ADMINISTRATOR OF THE OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, WE BROUGHT HIM BACK
- 14 OUT OF RETIREMENT TODAY TO JOIN US, KURT ROBERTSON. THESE ARE
- 15 THE PEOPLE THAT MAKE IT HAPPEN. [APPLAUSE]

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NOW WE HAVE A LITTLE 12-WEEK-OLD MALE
- 18 NEUTERED DOMESTIC LONG HAIR NAMED GARY. GARY IS LOOKING FOR A
- 19 HOME. ANYBODY'D LIKE TO ADOPT LITTLE GARY, YOU CAN CALL THE--
- 20 DO YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING? [MEOWING]

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YOU CAN CALL (562) 728-4644 AND LITTLE
- 23 GARY WILL BE YOURS.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: I'D LIKE TO MOVE TODAY THAT WHEN WE ADJOURN, WE
- 2 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF ANTHONY GRIFFIN, ONE OF THE VICTIMS WHO
- 3 DIED IN THIS WEEKEND'S BOATING ACCIDENT OFF SAN PEDRO. HE WAS
- 4 THE SON OF HELEN MACGREGGOR, AN EMPLOYEE OF THE L.A. COUNTY
- 5 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, THE FLORENCE-FIRESTONE OFFICE,
- 6 BUILDING AND SAFETY DIVISION. THE SECOND VICTIM, THE
- 7 GRANDFATHER, WAS ALSO A SECOND DISTRICT RESIDENT, WHOSE NAME
- 8 IS TO BE DETERMINED. THE MEN WERE AMONG SIX RELATIVES WHO
- 9 EMBARKED ON A FISHING TRIP SUNDAY MORNING FROM CABRILLO BEACH.
- 10 THE GROUP CONSISTED THREE CHILDREN, THE CHILDRENS' MOTHER,
- 11 THEIR STEPFATHER AND THEIR GRANDFATHER. THE FAMILY ENCOUNTERED
- 12 HEAVY FOG AND TRIED TO RETURN TO SHORE BUT THE ENGINE IS
- 13 BELIEVED TO HAVE FAILED AND IT DRIFTED AND STRUCK BREAKWATER
- 14 AND CAPSIZED, SENDING ALL SIX PASSENGERS INTO THE WATER. A
- 15 SEVEN-YEAR OLD BOY INJURED IN THE ACCIDENT IS EXPECTED TO
- 16 SURVIVE. OUR THOUGHTS AND PRAYERS ARE WITH THEM. AND BRIAN
- 17 ROSE [SHERIDAN], WHO I WANT TO JOIN SUPERVISOR KNABE IN
- 18 ADJOURNMENT OF THE JUDICIAL PROCEDURES COMMISSIONER, CAROL
- 19 ROSE'S SON, WHO TRAGICALLY PASSED AWAY THIS WEEK. DEWAYNE
- 20 PORTER, WHO PASSED AWAY ON MAY 26TH AT THE AGE OF 43. HE WAS
- 21 ONE OF THE FIRST AFRICAN-AMERICAN INTERIOR DESIGNERS TO BE
- 22 INCLUDED IN SUCH FUNDRAISING EVENTS AS DIVINE DESIGN IN LOS
- 23 ANGELES AND PASADENA SHOWCASE HOUSE OF THE ARTS. HE WAS AN
- 24 INTERIOR DESIGNER AND SET DESIGNER WITH A CLIENT LIST THAT
- 25 INCLUDED SINGERS ARETHA FRANKLIN, NANCY WILSON AS WELL AS



- 1 DETROIT MAYOR, DENNIS ARCHER. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS FATHER,
- 2 CLIFFORD PORTER, AND A LONG-TIME FRIEND, CLARENCE BROWN. AND
- 3 BILLY PRESTON, THE SINGER-SONGWRITER AND PERFORMER, PASSED
- 4 AWAY AT 59. HE WAS WELL KNOWN AS THE FIFTH BEATLE BECAUSE HE
- 5 PLAYED KEYBOARD ON "LET IT BE," THE WHITE ALBUM AND ABBEY
- 6 ROAD. HE ALSO PLAYED ON THE ROLLING STONES HIT SONG "MISS YOU"
- 7 AND OFTEN PLAYED WITH ERIC CLAPTON. HE ALSO DID ORGAN WORK ON
- 8 SLY AND THE FAMILY STONE'S GREATEST HITS. HIS OWN HITS INCLUDE
- 9 "NOTHING FROM NOTHING", "WILL IT GO ROUND IN CIRCLES" AND "YOU
- 10 ARE SO BEAUTIFUL," WHICH HE WROTE BUT WHICH JOE COCKER TURNED
- 11 INTO AN INTERNATIONAL HIT. HE WILL BE SORELY MISSED AND WE ARE
- 12 PARTICULARLY APPRECIATIVE OF ALL HIS HELP DURING ALL THE YEARS
- 13 THAT HE CAME OUT TO PERFORM FOR US AT EVENTS. AND HE WAS
- 14 ALWAYS, ALWAYS WILLING TO PARTICIPATE AND TO HELP PEOPLE IN
- 15 THE COMMUNITY AND WE WANT TO CERTAIN PASS ON TO HIS FAMILY, HE
- 16 HAS BROTHERS AND SISTERS AND OTHER FAMILY MEMBERS, OUR DEEPEST
- 17 CONDOLENCES AND OUR DEEPEST APPRECIATION FOR ALL THE WORK HE
- 18 DID AS AN ARTIST BUT ALSO FOR HIS PARTICULAR INTEREST IN THE
- 19 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.

20

22

21 SUP. KNABE: I'D LIKE TO JOIN IN THAT, PLEASE.

- 23 SUP. BURKE: ALL MEMBERS. AND ALICE M. DU ROSS, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 24 ON FRIDAY, JUNE 2ND. BORN IN HOLLYWOOD ON SEPTEMBER 7TH, 1914,
- 25 SHE WAS A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE SECOND DISTRICT AND MEMBER



- 1 OF ST. JEROME CHURCH AND TRANSFIGURATION CHURCH IN LOS
- 2 ANGELES. SHE WAS PRECEDED IN DEATH BY HER BELOVED HUSBAND,
- 3 JOSEPH C. DU ROSS AND HER SON, JIM. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER
- 4 DAUGHTER AND SISTER, SISTER KATHLEEN ANN AND SONS, NEIL, JOE,
- 5 DAN, TOM AND PAUL, 15 GRANDCHILDREN AND 14 GREAT-
- 6 GRANDCHILDREN.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 9 ORDERED.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: I WOULD LIKE TO CALL UP ITEM 69 BECAUSE I
- 12 UNDERSTAND THAT DR. SANDERS HAS TO LEAVE AND THIS ITEM...

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DR. SANDERS?

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, MR. MAYOR, I'M THE ONE THAT HELD THAT ITEM,
- 17 I BELIEVE, AND I THINK SUPERVISOR MOLINA DID AS WELL, BUT...

18

19 SUP. BURKE: DO YOU HAVE AN AMENDMENT?

- 21 SUP. KNABE: MY AMENDMENT IS TO ONCE AGAIN, JUST LIKE WE DID ON
- 22 THE PREVIOUS 69-B, IS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE INCLUDE THE C.A.O.
- 23 AND COUNTY COUNSEL IN THE REVIEW, SO I BELIEVE WE HAVE-- DO WE
- 24 HAVE SOMETHING WE CAN-- WE'LL HAND OUT. I MEAN, BASICALLY,



- 1 IT'S THE SAME AS I DID IN 69-B, TO ADD C.A.O. AND COUNTY
- 2 COUNSEL.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: AND YOU'RE MOVING THE AMENDMENT AND MOVING IT AS
- 5 AMENDED?

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: YES. I DON'T KNOW WHY SUPERVISOR MOLINA HELD IT. [
- 8 INDISTINCT CONVERSATION]

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE: YEAH. ADD C.A.O. AND COUNTY COUNSEL WOULD BE TO
- 11 REPORT BACK.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. WHERE'S THE CHAIR-- OR THE VICE CHAIR? HOW
- 14 ABOUT THE THIRD VICE CHAIR? ALL RIGHT. IF THERE'S NO ONE ELSE,
- 15 I WILL ACT AS CHAIR.

16

- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: I BELIEVE, SUPERVISOR, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, I
- 18 BELIEVE, WAS ALSO HOLDING THE ITEM FOR AN AMENDMENT.

19

20 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. SUPERVISOR MOLINA? ITEM 69.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: THANKS, GUYS, FOR WAITING.

- 24 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. WE WERE TRYING TO DO IT-- WELL, I'LL GO ON
- 25 TO SOME OF THE OTHERS. NUMBER 11 WAS HELD FOR A MEMBER OF THE



- 1 PUBLIC. I'LL CALL THAT. AND I THINK-- I'M NOT SURE IF THE SAME
- 2 PERSON HELD...

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. NUMBER 11, RICHARD ROBINSON.

- 6 RICHARD ROBINSON: HONORABLE MAYOR-- HONORABLE MAYOR, MEMBERS,
- 7 RICHARD ROBINSON, NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZER. IN SUPERVISOR
- 8 YAROSLAVSKY'S THIRD THROUGH THE CHAIR, CONGRATULATIONS.
- 9 SHALOM, BROTHER. I RESIDE IN THE 7 STAR MOTEL, ROOM NUMBER 104
- 10 AT HOLLYWOOD AND LA BREA. I'M NETWORKING A NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH
- 11 CONCEPT COUNTYWIDE, C.O.P., COMMUNITY OUTREACH PROGRAM. YOU'LL
- 12 SEE MY WINDOW STICKERS WITH AN 800 NUMBER WHICH WILL BRING
- 13 SWIFT RESPONSE, HIGH TECH, STATE-OF-THE-ART CUTTING EDGE, MY
- 14 BROTHERS COMING OUT OF MICHIGAN SUNDAY, FULL DAY SECURITY, MY
- 15 HALF BROTHER BUT-- SIR, BECAUSE THE PROACTIVE APPROACH TO LAW
- 16 ENFORCEMENT IS OFTEN IMPEDED BY, IN SPITE OF YOUR
- 17 EXTRAORDINARY EFFORTS TO THE CONTRARY, BUREAUCRATIC
- 18 DUPLICATION OF SERVICE AND LACK OF COORDINATION, I SUPPORT YOU
- 19 IN YOUR DETERMINATION TO HELP DR. SANDERS STREAMLINE HIS
- 20 DEPARTMENT. I BELIEVE STOPPING CRIME BEGINS WITH THE FAMILY.
- 21 CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT, PREVENTION, INTERVENTION AND
- 22 TREATMENT SERVICES CONTRACT EXPIRATION DATES, ALIGNED WITH
- 23 FAMILY SUPPORT, FAMILY PRESERVATION, ADOPTION, PROMOTION AND
- 24 SUPPORT SERVICES CONTRACT EXPIRATION DATES GIVE YOU THE
- 25 ABILITY TO FINANCE THESE SERVICES TO 54 AGENCIES AT COST. IF



- 1 THESE 69 CONTRACTS ARE 100% FINANCED BY BIRTH CERTIFICATE FEES
- 2 AND THE STATE, TAKING EFFECT JULY 1ST, NEXT MONTH, DR. SANDERS
- 3 IS NOW ABLE TO STREAMLINE HIS DEPARTMENT'S FUNCTIONING. THE
- 4 NEGLECT THAT IS THE CAUSE OF SO MUCH CRIME IS BEING PREVENTED
- 5 EXPONENTIALLY. YOUR EXTRAORDINARY EFFORTS HERE HELPING DR.
- 6 SANDERS IS GOING TO PREVENT A LOT OF NOT JUST CRIME BUT A LOT
- 7 OF REALLY CONFUSED KIDS TURNING TO CRIME. THANK YOU.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, RICHARD. MOTION BY BURKE.
- 10 SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: MR. ANTONOVICH, I CAN GO BACK ON THAT MOTION. I
- 13 HAVE AN AMENDMENT ON ITEM 69.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: I'D LIKE TO CALL UP I THINK 30-- ALL THE PUBLIC
- 16 WORKS ITEMS, 35 THROUGH 55.

17

18 SUP. KNABE: WELL, SHE'S GOT HER AMENDMENT HERE ON 69...

19

20 SUP. BURKE: OH, YOU WANT TO DO 69 NOW?

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: I'LL PASS IT OUT. I'LL TRY AND READ IT. IT'S A
- 23 LITTLE BIT LONG. IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, APPROXIMATELY 20,713
- 24 FOSTER CHILDREN LIVE AWAY FROM THEIR PARENTS IN OUT OF STATE
- 25 CARE. WHEN A CHILD IS PLACED IN A FOSTER FAMILY AGENCY, THE



- 1 COUNTY GENERALLY DEVOTES APPROXIMATELY THREE TIMES THE AMOUNT
- 2 OF FUNDING TO THAT CHILD THAN IT DOES TO A CHILD PLACED IN A
- 3 LICENSED FOSTER HOME OR IN A RELATIVE'S HOME. HOWEVER, THE
- 4 DECISION TO PLACE A HOME INTO AN FA HOME, AS OPPOSED TO
- 5 LICENSED FOSTER HOME, IS NOT GUIDED BY AN ASSESSMENT OF THE
- 6 INDIVIDUAL CHILD'S NEEDS AND ESTABLISHED PLACEMENT CRITERIA.
- 7 THE RESULT OF THIS CURRENT RANDOM SYSTEM IS THAT CHILDREN
- 8 SUFFER. FOR EXAMPLE, IN MOST CASES, CHILDREN PLACED IN
- 9 RELATIVE AND LICENSED CARE AS OPPOSED TO FFA HOMES GENERALLY
- 10 HAVE LESS OF THEIR NEEDS MET BECAUSE THEIR CAREGIVERS RECEIVE
- 11 LESS MONEY AND SERVICES FOR THEM. FURTHER, SOME FFA CAREGIVERS
- 12 CHOOSE NOT TO ADOPT OR OBTAIN LEGAL GUARDIANSHIPS OF A CHILD
- 13 BECAUSE THEY CANNOT AFFORD TO FORFEIT THE MONEY THAT THEY
- 14 WOULD LOSE IF THEY CHOSE PERMANENCY. THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 15 CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES SHOULD DESIGN AN OUT OF HOME CARE
- 16 PLACEMENT SYSTEM WHERE PLACEMENT AND FUNDING DECISIONS ARE
- 17 BASED ON A CHILD'S NEED AND SHOULD DESIGN A SYSTEM UNDER WHICH
- 18 CHILDREN WITH SIMILAR NEEDS RECEIVE SIMILAR FUNDING AND
- 19 SERVICES. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 20 DIRECT THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES TO
- 21 REPORT BACK IN 45 DAYS WITH PROCEDURES FOR OUT OF HOME
- 22 PLACEMENT FOR CHILDREN INTO FFAS, LICENSED FOSTER CARE HOMES
- 23 AND RELATIVE CAREGIVERS TO BEST MATCH THE CHILD'S NEEDS TO THE
- 24 PLACEMENT AND ENSURE THAT FUNDING IS DISTRIBUTED BASED ON THE
- 25 CHILD'S NEED. D.C.F.S. SHOULD INCLUDE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ANY



- 1 LEGISLATIVE CHANGES THAT MAY BE NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE THESE
- 2 GOALS.

3

4 SUP. KNABE: I'LL SECOND.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ON YOUR 45 DAYS, HAVE YOU CONSIDERED
- 7 30 DAYS?

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: THAT WOULD BE FINE. I HAVE NO PROBLEM. HOPEFULLY
- 10 I CAN GET IT DONE IN 30 DAYS.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: 30 DAYS. OKAY. WE HAVE TWO AMENDMENTS
- 13 BEFORE US AND THIS IS AN AREA THAT DOES NEED CRITICAL
- 14 ATTENTION AND OVERSIGHT BECAUSE, MANY TIMES, WE READ ABOUT THE
- 15 FATALITIES THAT HAVE OCCURRED AND MANY OF THE ABUSES THAT HAVE
- 16 OCCURRED TO THOSE IN FOSTER CARE. WE WANT TO ASSURE THAT THOSE
- 17 FACILITIES WHERE THEY ARE RESIDING MEET THE TOP STANDARDS. THE
- 18 STANDARDS NEED TO BE THE WELFARE OF THE CHILD, NOT TO
- 19 THEMSELVES, AND HOPEFULLY THIS IS AN EFFORT TO MOVE FORWARD TO
- 20 MAKE NECESSARY REFORMS. SO WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE TABLE AND
- 21 AMEND IT AND WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THANK YOU.

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE: I'LL CALL UP 35 TO 55 ITEMS. ALL THE PUBLIC WORKS
- 24 ITEMS.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. 39 AND 40, ARNOLD SACHS AND
- 2 NEJTEH DERBEDROSSIAN. AND ANDREW AHLERING.

3

4 NEJTEH DERBEDROSSIAN: GOOD MORNING.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: GOOD MORNING.

- 8 NEJTEH DERBEDROSSIAN: OKAY. GOOD MORNING, HONORABLE MAYOR,
- 9 MEMBERS OF YOUR BOARD. MY NAME IS NEJTEH DERBEDROSSIAN AND I'M
- 10 THE OPERATIONS MANAGER AT NATIONWIDE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES. I
- 11 WILL MAKE THIS VERY BRIEF. I AM NOT HERE TO OPPOSE ITEM NUMBER
- 12 39, WHICH ARE TWO PARTS. I'M TALKING REGARDING THE FIRST ONE,
- 13 MARINA DEL REY CONTRACT. I'M ONLY HERE TO EXPRESS MY CONCERNS
- 14 REGARDING THE STAFF'S PROCEDURE THAT MADE THEM ACHIEVE THIS
- 15 RECOMMENDATION. I'M A CONTRACTOR THAT PROVIDES SWEEPING
- 16 SERVICES FOR THE COUNTY, MANY OF THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS IN
- 17 THE COUNTY, AND R.F.P.S WENT OUT REGARDING THIS AREA, MARINA
- 18 DEL REY. I TURNED IN MY PROPOSAL. NEARLY TWO MONTHS LATER, I
- 19 RECEIVED A LETTER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF THE PUBLIC WORKS THAT
- 20 THEY HAD DISQUALIFIED MY FIRM, BASED ON THAT THEY WERE UNABLE
- 21 TO DETERMINE IF MY FIRM IS ABLE TO PROVIDE THE SERVICES IN
- 22 MARINA DEL REY AREA. FOR YOUR INFORMATION, FOR THE PAST 10
- 23 YEARS, MY COMPANY IS THE ONE WHO IS PROVIDING SERVICES TO
- 24 MARINA DEL REY AREA. THE STAFF AT THE HEADQUARTERS ARE VERY
- 25 PLEASED WITH MY SERVICE. THE STAFF ON THE FIELD, VERY PLEASED



- 1 WITH MY SERVICE. RESIDENTS, BUSINESS OWNERS, COMMUNITY ARE
- 2 VERY PLEASED WITH MY SERVICES BUT THEY WERE UNABLE TO
- 3 DETERMINE IF MY FIRM IS CAPABLE OF DOING THE SERVICE, SO
- 4 THEREFORE THEY HAVE DISQUALIFIED MY COMPANY. I DO HAVE
- 5 CONCERNS REGARDING THEIR DECISION AND HOW THEY DID THEIR STUDY
- 6 TO COME TO ACHIEVE TO THIS RECOMMENDATION. THE COMPANY THAT
- 7 THEY ARE RECOMMENDING IS NEARLY \$24,000 MORE THAN MY PROPOSED
- 8 PRICE FOR THE SAME WORK ON AN ANNUAL BASIS. IT'S A THREE-YEAR
- 9 CONTRACT. WE'RE LOOKING AT \$75,000 ADDITIONALLY IS SPENT FOR
- 10 THE SERVICE THAT HAS BEEN BEING PROVIDED. R.F.P.S WENT OUT, WE
- 11 WENT THROUGH THE RIGHT CHANNELS AND THE RECOMMENDATION DID NOT
- 12 COME IN MY FAVOR. AGAIN, I'M NOT HERE AS A SORE THUMB TRYING
- 13 TO GET MY POINT ACROSS; ALL I'M TRYING TO SAY IS THAT, IF THE
- 14 STAFF WAS UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF MY FIRM CAN PERFORM THE
- 15 SERVICES OR NOT, FOR YOUR INFORMATION, I HAVE BEEN PROVIDING
- 16 THE SERVICE FOR THE PAST 10 YEARS. THAT SAYS ITSELF,
- 17 OBVIOUSLY, I AM A RESPONSIBLE CONTRACTOR, I AM ABLE TO PERFORM
- 18 THE SERVICES AND ALSO AS A RESIDENT IN HACIENDA HEIGHTS AREA,
- 19 THIS IS \$75,000 OVER THREE YEARS SPENT WASTEFULLY, I WOULD
- 20 THINK. AGAIN, I HAVE A VERY GOOD RELATIONSHIP WITH THE STAFF,
- 21 I DO NOT PUT THEM DOWN, I LOVE WORKING FOR THE COUNTY, I LOVE
- 22 LIVING IN THE COUNTY. I THINK THAT THE ADDITIONAL \$74,000 THAT
- 23 ARE BEING SPENT, IT CAN BE SPENT IN HACIENDA HEIGHTS AREA TO
- 24 CLEAN SOME OF THE GRAFFITI OFF THE RETAINING WALLS THAT THE
- 25 COUNTY OWNS. I AM BEING HONEST, I AM BEING SINCERE REGARDING



- 1 TO THIS DECISION. I ASK YOU, PLEASE TAKE 10 MINUTES OF YOUR
- 2 TIME, REVIEW THIS RECOMMENDATION, THIS STUDY. YOU MAY HAVE
- 3 QUESTIONS. YOUR FINAL DECISION MAY BE DIFFERENT. PLEASE LOOK
- 4 INTO THIS. THAT'S ALL I CAN ASK FOR.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME ASK MR...

7

8 SUP. KNABE: MR. MAYOR?

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IS THERE A DEPARTMENT REPRESENTATIVE
- 11 HERE?

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE: I THINK WHAT WE MAYBE WE CAN DO IS TO MOVE THE SAN
- 14 PEDRO PORTION OF THIS AND REFER THE MARINA DEL REY ISSUE FOR A
- 15 WEEK AND GET A REPORT BACK FROM THE DEPARTMENT.

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE: BECAUSE THOSE ISSUES ARE SIGNIFICANT. SO WE CAN
- 20 MOVE THE SAN PEDRO AWARD TO THE DIXON COMPANY AND THEN
- 21 CONTINUE THE MARINA DEL REY PORTION FOR A WEEK AND ASK FOR A
- 22 REPORT BACK FROM THE DEPARTMENT.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.



- 1 NEJTEH DERBEDROSSIAN: I THANK YOU, SIR. ABSOLUTELY. THANK YOU
- 2 VERY MUCH.

3

- 4 ARNOLD SACHS: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS ARNOLD SACHS AND THANK
- 5 YOU FOR HAVING ME IN FRONT OF YOU. I'M ADDRESSING ITEM NUMBER
- 6 40. I WENT TO A MTA BOARD MEETING HELD IN THE SOUTH BAY IN THE
- 7 BEGINNING OF MAY, THEY HAVE REGIONAL MEETINGS. AND I HAD
- 8 SEVERAL QUESTIONS TO ASK THEM, MOST OF WHICH WERE ADDRESSED
- 9 BUT ONE THAT I ASKED REGARDING FAIR PAYMENT, I WAS TOLD WAS
- 10 THE FAIREST SETUP WAS AUTHORIZED BY THE COUNTY BOARD OF
- 11 SUPERVISORS AND IT'S REALLY A VERY SIMPLE QUESTION. WHEN I
- 12 WANT TO GET ON A BUS AND BUY AN ALL-DAY BUS PASS FOR \$3, I WAS
- 13 TOLD THAT I CANNOT PAY WITH TWO TOKENS AND 50 CENTS. I WAS
- 14 TOLD THAT I HAD TO PAY EITHER ONE TOKEN AND A DOLLAR-- DOLLAR
- 15 65 OR DOLLAR 75 OR \$3 OR THREE TOKENS. AND MY QUESTION IS, IF
- 16 THE TOKENS ARE WORTH \$1.25, WHY ISN'T THAT A VIABLE WAY TO
- 17 PAY, WITH TWO TOKENS AND 50 CENTS?

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. I'M NOT-- WE'D HAVE TO REFER
- 20 THAT INFORMATION, REQUEST TO THE MTA, MR. SNOWBLE, AND I DON'T
- 21 KNOW-- IS THERE A REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE MTA HERE TODAY?
- 22 WHERE DO YOU RESIDE?



- 1 ARNOLD SACHS: I RESIDE IN LENNOX AND I WENT TO THE SOUTH BAY
- 2 MEETING AND I WAS GOING TO GO TO THE NEXT ONE, I GUESS IT WILL
- 3 BE ON FRIDAY.

4

5 **SUP. BURKE:** (OFF-MIKE).

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHERE DO YOU LIVE IN THE SOUTH BAY?

8

9 ARNOLD SACHS: IN LENNOX.

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHERE?

12

13 ARNOLD SACHS: LENNOX.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IS THAT YOUR-- SUPERVISOR BURKE'S
- 16 OFFICE WILL CONTACT YOU, GET A PHONE NUMBER AND CONTACT YOU
- 17 WITH THAT INFORMATION.

18

19 ARNOLD SACHS: OKAY. LIKE I SAID...

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IF YOU GO OVER TO THIS SIDE, OVER
- 22 THERE, ONE OF HER DEPUTIES WILL CONTACT YOU.

23

24 SUP. BURKE: (OFF-MIKE).



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES, SIR.

2

- 3 ANDREW AHLERING: YES. GOOD MORNING, MR. ANTONOVICH. AM I
- 4 SPEAKING RIGHT NOW ON 39 OR 40?

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YOU'RE GOING TO SPEAK ON THE ITEMS
- 7 THAT YOU HELD.

8

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEMS 35 THROUGH 55.

10

- 11 ANDREW AHLERING: I OBJECT TO THAT. UNDER THE RULES OF THIS
- 12 BOARD, I AM ENTITLED TO THREE MINUTES PER ITEM AND I AM
- 13 REQUESTING THREE MINUTES PER ITEM.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY, WE'RE GOING IS TO CONSOLIDATE
- 16 THEM. WE'D APPRECIATE IT IF YOU WOULD...

17

18 ANDREW AHLERING: COULD YOU RESTART THE CLOCK?

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE'D APPRECIATE IF YOU WOULD MAKE YOUR
- 21 COMMENTS TO THOSE ITEMS.

- 23 ANDREW AHLERING: I HAVE A COMMENT ON EACH AND EVERY ITEM.
- 24 COULD YOU PLEASE STOP THE CLOCK? I'M HAVING A DISCUSSION WITH
- 25 THE MAYOR.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL, WE WANT YOU TO MOVE FORWARD WITH
- 3 YOUR THREE MINUTES AND WE WILL...

4

- 5 ANDREW AHLERING: SIR, IF YOU'RE GIVING ME THREE MINUTES, I
- 6 REQUEST THAT YOU GIVE ME THREE MINUTES. YOU HAVE ALREADY
- 7 STARTED THE CLOCK. THAT'S NOT THREE MINUTES.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE ARE NOT HONORING THAT REQUEST AT
- 10 THIS TIME. WE'RE GIVING YOU THE FIRST THREE MINUTES FOR YOU TO
- 11 MAKE YOUR PRESENTATION.

12

- 13 ANDREW AHLERING: CORRECT. SO IF YOU'RE-- I NOW UNDERSTAND
- 14 YOU'RE GIVING ME THREE MINUTES AND THE CLOCK SAYS 3:26. I'M
- 15 ASKING YOU RESET IT TO THREE MINUTES.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE DID THE THREE MINUTES. WE'LL START
- 18 THE CLOCK NOW AND THE TIME IS YOURS.

- 20 ANDREW AHLERING: THANK YOU AND I OBJECT TO ONLY BEING GIVEN
- 21 THREE MINUTES ON 20 ITEMS. I AM A FORMER EMPLOYEE OF THE
- 22 COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. THAT'S RIGHT. I SAID FORMER
- 23 BECAUSE I WAS FIRED AFTER I COMPLAINED TO YOU GUYS AT THE LAST
- 24 MEETING I WAS HERE. THAT'S IMPROPER. BUT, AS A FORMER COUNTY
- 25 EMPLOYEE EMPLOYEE, THERE ARE SERIOUS PROBLEMS IN THE COUNTY'S



- 1 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. THERE ARE PROBLEMS WITH R.F.P.S,
- 2 THERE ARE PROBLEMS WITH CONTRACTING AND THERE'S PROBLEMS WITH
- 3 ANY COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS THAT COMES OUT OF COUNTY PUBLIC
- 4 WORKS. I WAS TOLD TO DO A COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS ON USING
- 5 PRIVATE P.R. FIRMS FOR A PUBLIC EDUCATION EVENT THAT WAS
- 6 OPPOSED BY SB-1, AND MY BOSS TOLD ME TO WRITE IT SO THAT IT IS
- 7 BENEFICIAL TO CONTRACT OUT. OUR COUNTY GOVERNMENT IS BROKEN.
- 8 MR. WOLFE'S DEPARTMENT DOES NOT SERVE THE PEOPLE. MR. WOLFE'S
- 9 DEPARTMENT SERVES THE INTERESTS OF HIS CONTRACTORS AND THE
- 10 SPECIAL INTERESTS OF THE FIVE REPRESENTATIVES THAT ARE SITTING
- 11 IN FRONT OF ME. YOU GUYS ARE NOT THE GOVERNMENT, AS I'VE SAID
- 12 BEFORE, THE GOVERNMENT SITS THERE AND THERE'S NOT MANY OF THEM
- 13 HERE. THIS BOARD DOES NOT SERVE THE COMMUNITY. YOU'VE GIVEN ME
- 14 THREE MINUTES TO SPEAK. HOWEVER, I WOULD LIKE THE RECORD TO
- 15 REFLECT YOU STARTED THIS MEETING 33 MINUTES LATE. YOU USED 40
- 16 MINUTES FOR SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS AND SIX MINUTES FOR
- 17 ADJOURNMENTS AND YET, ON 20 ITEMS OF IMPORTANT COUNTY
- 18 BUSINESS, YOU HAVE GIVEN ME THREE MINUTES. YOU ALSO STOLE 23
- 19 DAYS OF MY LIFE WHEN YOU PUT ME IN YOUR JAIL! MS. MOLINA, YOU
- 20 SHOULD BE ASHAMED OF THAT! YOU ARE MY SUPERVISOR AND YOU MADE
- 21 NO COMMENT WHATSOEVER ABOUT ME, YOUR OPPONENT, BEING THROWN IN
- 22 JAIL FOR 23 DAYS FOR A PURPORTED DISRUPTION OF THIS MEETING!
- 23 LAST TIME I CHECKED, WE WERE IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
- 24 AND WE HAD A CONSTITUTION, BOTH ON THE STATE AND FEDERAL
- 25 LEVEL, THAT GIVES ME THE RIGHT TO REDRESS AGAINST MY ELECTED



- 1 REPRESENTATIVES. I HAVE DONE THAT ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS AND
- 2 I'VE BEEN TWICE ARRESTED. I HAVE TWO MISDEMEANOR CASES AGAINST
- 3 ME. IS THERE FREEDOM IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY? I WOULD SAY NOT.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR BURKE.

6

7 SUP. BURKE: I'LL MOVE THOSE ITEMS.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOVE THE ITEMS. 39 WILL BE AMENDED
- 10 BY...

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, I MOVE THAT WE MOVE THE DIXON COMPANY FROM
- 13 THE SAN PEDRO AREA AND THEN CONTINUE THE MARINA DEL REY ITEM
- 14 FOR ONE WEEK AND A REPORT BACK FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- WORKS.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WITH THAT AMENDMENT, MOTION BY BURKE.
- 18 SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

19

20 SUP. BURKE: I'LL CALL UP ITEM NUMBER 5.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: 5?

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HELD IT. DO YOU WANT ME TO START OR DO YOU
- 25 WANT TO...



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE: NO. I MEAN, I THINK THE RECOMMENDATION THAT I
- 3 HAVE, THERE HAVE BEEN NUMEROUS RECOMMENDATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN
- 4 FLOATING AROUND FOR A HUNDRED PERCENT. IN LOOKING AT THIS, YOU
- 5 KNOW, WE CONSTANTLY HEAR ON THE NEWS THAT THE OIL COMPANIES
- 6 SHOULD BE-- THE WINDFALL PROFITS SHOULD BE TAXED. HERE WE HAVE
- 7 AN OPPORTUNITY TO SUPPORT THE CONSUMER BY ALLOWING SOME OF THE
- 8 WINDFALL PROFITS OF OUR STATE TO GO BACK TO THE CONSUMERS'
- 9 POCKETBOOK AND, YOU KNOW, WE'VE TALKED -- WE HAVE NOT TALKED TO
- 10 ANY LEGISLATORS SO WE DON'T HAVE AN IDEA IF ANYONE IS WANTING
- 11 TO CARRY IT. THIS IS JUST A RECOMMENDATION THAT WE'D LIKE TO
- 12 FORWARD TO THE STATE TO URGE THEM TO DO SOMETHING IN REGARDS
- 13 TO THIS. WE'RE WELL AWARE OF THE POTENTIAL FINANCIAL IMPACTS
- 14 BUT IT WAS MONEY THAT WE HADN'T ANTICIPATED, ANYWAY, SO THAT'S
- 15 SIMPLY THE ITEM BEFORE US.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN?

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. JANSSEN, HAVE YOU-- HAS YOUR OFFICE
- 22 REVIEWED THIS AND WHAT THE IMPACTS-- IF SOMETHING LIKE THIS
- 23 SHOULD BE DONE, WHAT THE IMPACT- IMPACTS WOULD BE ON THE
- 24 COUNTY, FOR EXAMPLE?



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. MAYOR, SUPERVISOR, WE DID A PRELIMINARY
- 2 REVIEW DATED JUNE THE 2ND, WHICH INDICATED THAT PUBLIC WORKS
- 3 ESTIMATES THE COUNTY WILL LOSE \$34 MILLION A YEAR AND THE
- 4 CITIES OF THE COUNTY WOULD LOSE \$51 MILLION IN REVENUES
- 5 DERIVED FROM SALES TAX ON GASOLINE. THERE IS A BILL, AB-2621
- 6 STRICKLAND, THAT WOULD PROVIDE A SALES USE TAX EXEMPTION FOR
- 7 SALE OR THE PURCHASE OF GASOLINE IN SACRAMENTO, SO THERE IS
- 8 LEGISLATION. I THINK THE IMPACT WOULD BE TWICE THAT, HOWEVER,
- 9 THAT SUPERVISOR KNABE...

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE: THAT'S THE-- STRICKLAND BILL WOULD BE TWICE-- THE
- 12 IMPACT WOULD BE TWICE OF WHAT THIS BILL WOULD BE.

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SO THERE WOULD BE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THE
- 15 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND THE ROADS PROGRAM.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THIS-- THE BILL, THE STRICKLAND BILL
- 18 INTRODUCED, YOU SAID IT'S INTRODUCED?

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: LET'S SEE. IT WAS AMENDED ON MAY THE 9TH TO
- 21 PROVIDE FOR THE EXEMPTION SO, YES, IT WAS AMENDED MAY 9TH. IT
- 22 IS IN THE LEGISLATURE.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND HAS IT PASSED ANY OF THE HOUSES OF THE
- 25 LEGISLATURE?



```
1
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: NOT THAT I KNOW OF. IT DOES NOT PROVIDE...
2
3
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH HOUSE IS IT IN NOW?
4
5
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT IS...
6
7
8
    SUP. KNABE: SHOULD BE ON THE ASSEMBLY SIDE, I BELIEVE.
9
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S IN THE ASSEMBLY SIDE?
10
11
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION. WE'LL CHECK.
12
13
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M NOT PREPARED TO-- JUST BECAUSE HE
14
    INTRODUCED A PIECE OF LEGISLATION, I UNDERSTAND WHERE YOU'RE
15
16
    COMING FROM BUT IF IT'S IN RESPONSE TO HIS LEGISLATION...
17
18
    SUP. KNABE: HER. HER.
19
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OH, IT'S HER. I'M SORRY. IT'S HARD TO KEEP
20
    THE STRICKLANDS STRAIGHT, THEY'RE DOING VERY WELL ON EACH
21
22
    OTHER'S NICKEL.
23
    SUP. KNABE: [ LIGHT LAUGHTER ]
24
25
```



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND I HAVE TO SAY, IT WAS ONE OF THE MOST
- 2 CLEVEREST SCHEMES I'VE EVER SEEN BUT-- THEY CALL IT CHUTZPAH
- 3 IN MY PART OF TOWN. BACK TO THE ISSUE AT HAND. BEFORE WE TAKE
- 4 A POSITION THAT APPEARS TO BE A COMPROMISE POSITION ON
- 5 SOMETHING THAT MAY-- THAT MAY NOT BE GOING ANYWHERE, TO PUT
- 6 THE COUNTY'S NAME ON SOMETHING, I'D LIKE TO GET A BETTER BEAD
- 7 ON WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH THE LEGISLATION. I MEAN, IF WE'RE
- 8 GOING TO START LOOKING AT CUTTING TAXES, I THINK WE OUGHT TO--
- 9 WE CERTAINLY COULD LOOK RIGHT HERE ON SOME OF THE TAXES THAT
- 10 HAVE GROWN BEYOND ANY OF OUR WILDEST EXPECTATIONS AND WE
- 11 HAVEN'T DONE THAT, SO I'M RETICENT TO GET KIND OF OUT OF JUST-
- 12 TO TAKE A STAND ON THIS ONE ISSUE WITHOUT IT BEING LOOKED AT
- 13 CONTEXTUALLY IN ALL THE OTHER-- WITH EVERYTHING ELSE THAT'S
- 14 GOING ON, BOTH IN THE ENERGY AND THE GASOLINE FRONT AND
- 15 EVERYTHING ELSE. SO I WOULD-- I'D LIKE TO SUGGEST THAT THIS BE
- 16 REFERRED TO YOUR OFFICE OR PUT OVER FOR-- WHEN IS THE DEADLINE
- 17 FOR THE ASSEMBLY TO CONSIDER THIS? WOULD IT BE JULY SOMETHING
- 18 OR JUNE?

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IF IT'S IN THE HOUSE OF ORIGIN-- MARSHALL, DO
- 21 YOU KNOW? WHAT'S THE DEADLINE FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE
- 22 STRICKLAND BILL?

24 MARSHALL: [INAUDIBLE]

25



```
C.A.O. JANSSEN: THERE'S BEEN NO ACTION SINCE MAY.
2
3
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS IT DEAD?
4
5
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: IS IT DEAD?
6
7
   MARSHALL: [ INAUDIBLE ]
8
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT IS. AND IS IT IN THE ASSEMBLY?
10
11
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S STILL ACTIVE TECHNICALLY BUT IS IT--
    FUNCTIONALLY, IS IT POLITICALLY DEAD? MAYBE THAT'S NOT A FAIR
12
13
    QUESTION TO ASK A STAFFER.
14
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE CAN CERTAINLY REPORT BACK TOWARD THE END OF
15
16
    THIS MONTH, I THINK, SUPERVISOR KNABE, THE 20TH, MAYBE, ON THE
    STATUS OF IT AND WE'LL HAVE MORE INFORMATION...
17
18
19
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW ABOUT WHEN WE TAKE UP THE BUDGET?
20
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S GOOD. ON THE 26TH, I THINK IT IS.
21
22
23
    SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN?
24
```

SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME JUST SAY...



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME JUST MAKE THAT MOTION TO PUT IT OVER
- 3 'TIL BUDGET AND THEN AT LEAST WE'LL HAVE IT FOR DISCUSSION.
- 4 THANK YOU.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN?

7

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: I'D LIKE TO SECOND THAT MOTION BUT I AM VERY
- 11 CONCERNED ABOUT WHETHER WE TAKE AN ACTION LIKE THIS. THERE'S
- 12 NO DOUBT THAT ALL OF US WOULD LIKE TO SEE GAS PRICES GO DOWN.
- 13 EVERYONE IS SUFFERING WITH THE COST OF IT AND WE ARE AS WELL
- 14 AS WE ARE PAYING THE SAME PRICE AS EVERYONE ELSE IS RIGHT NOW.
- 15 THE REALITY IS THE ANSWER IS NOT TO CUT THE TAXES. THESE TAXES
- 16 ARE USED FOR ALL OF OUR ROADS AND HIGHWAYS. IT IS A DIRECT
- 17 BENEFIT TO THE CONSUMER. IF ANY OF YOU HAVE SEEN THE
- 18 CONDITIONS, AND I THINK YOU HAVE, OF OUR OWN STATE HIGHWAYS
- 19 AND THE POTHOLES THAT WE HAVE THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY, IT IS
- 20 MORE DISREPAIR ON YOUR CAR AND IT HURTS THE CONSUMER IN THE
- 21 LONG RUN. THERE HAS TO BE AN ANSWER. IF YOU LOOK AT THE
- 22 PROFITS, THE QUARTER PROFITS THAT THESE GAS COMPANIES ARE
- 23 MAKING ON THE BACKS OF ALL OF US, IT IS SHAMEFUL. IT IS NOT AN
- 24 ACTION. WHILE I WOULD LOVE TO REDUCE THE GAS TAX AND REDUCE
- 25 THE GAS PRICES OVERALL, THIS IS NOT A WAY TO DO IT. IT DOES



- 1 NOT PREVENT THE GAS COMPANIES AND OIL COMPANIES FROM
- 2 CONTINUING TO UP THE PRICE OF GASOLINE. THERE'S GOT TO BE SOME
- 3 WAY THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO INTERVENE IN THIS
- 4 CRISIS, BECAUSE IT IS A CRISIS, AND IT CANNOT BE ADDRESSED AT
- 5 THIS LEVEL. AND TRYING TO ELIMINATE THE REVENUE FOR OUR
- 6 HIGHWAYS, FOR OUR ROADS AND ALL THAT WE DO, AND I KNOW THE
- 7 KINDS OF DEMANDS THAT I GET IN MY COMMUNITY ON A REGULAR BASIS
- 8 TO ADD MORE ROAD FUNDS INTO COMMUNITIES EVERY SINGLE DAY, THIS
- 9 IS NOT THE ANSWER. I DON'T KNOW IF THE LEGISLATURE IS GOING TO
- 10 REALLY ADDRESS THIS ISSUE. I HOPE THEY WILL COMPREHENSIVELY
- 11 BUT IF THEY LOOK AT IT, THEY KNOW VERY CLEARLY THAT CUTTING
- 12 THE TAX IS NOT THE ANSWER BECAUSE YOU CANNOT PREVENT IT FROM
- 13 KEEPING THE PRICE ANY LOWER. IT'S GOING TO CLIMB RIGHT UP TO
- 14 HERE, WE'VE NOT SEEN ANY WAY, AND SO IT NEEDS FEDERAL
- 15 INTERVENTION AND HOPEFULLY WHAT WE SHOULD DO IS ASK THE
- 16 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO INTERVENE, TO FIND A WAY TO START
- 17 BRINGING DOWN THE COST OF GAS PRICES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY.

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME SAY THE BIGGEST WINDFALL IN THE
- 20 INCREASE IN THE PRICE OF GASOLINE HAS BEEN THE INCREASE IN THE
- 21 TAXES ON THAT GALLON OF GASOLINE AND HAD THAT GASOLINE NOT
- 22 INCREASED PER GALLON, THE DOLLARS THAT ARE BEING PROJECTED
- 23 WOULD BE A LOT LOWER. WHAT THIS PROPOSAL IS ATTEMPTING TO DO
- 24 IS KEEP THOSE PRICES IN RANGE AS TO WHAT THE STATE WOULD BE
- 25 RECEIVING HAD THEY NOT HAD THIS SPIKE IN THE PRICE OF



- 1 GASOLINE. SO TO HAVE A SALES TAX INCREASE AND AN INFLATED
- 2 PRICE FOR GASOLINE AND THEN CRY THAT WE DON'T WANT TO REDUCE
- 3 THE COST TO THE CONSUMER BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO PROTECT FUTURE
- 4 SPENDING PROGRAMS THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE IS NOT HELPING
- 5 THE CONSUMER OR HELPING THE ECONOMY. WHAT WE WANT TO BE DOING
- 6 IS USING THOSE PROJECTIONS THAT THOSE DOLLARS IN TAXES GO FOR
- 7 WHAT THEY'RE INTENDED TO DO AND, AS YOU ALL KNOW, THE GASOLINE
- 8 TAX SUPPOSED TO GO TO ROADS BUT HAS BEEN USED IN THE GENERAL
- 9 FUND. AND IN NOVEMBER, THERE'S A STATE, CONSTITUTIONAL
- 10 AMENDMENT THAT HAS BEEN QUALIFIED BY THE VOTERS THAT WILL
- 11 STIPULATE THAT PROP 42 FUNDS, GAS TAX, WILL GO EXCLUSIVELY TO
- 12 ROADS AND NOT BE SIPHONED OFF FOR GENERAL FUND PURPOSES. SO
- 13 ONE OF THE PROBLEMS WE HAVE, THE INFERIOR ROADS TODAY AND THE
- 14 POTHOLES, IS THE DOLLARS THAT WERE SUPPOSED TO GO FOR THOSE
- 15 ROAD PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN DIVERTED INTO OTHER POLITICAL BUDGETS
- 16 AND NOT ROAD BUDGETS. SO I THINK SUPERVISOR KNABE IS CORRECT
- 17 IN TRYING TO SUPPORT THAT TYPE OF LEGISLATION AND, AS WE ALL
- 18 KNOW, NO LEGISLATION IS DEAD UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE ADJOURNS
- 19 BECAUSE CONFERENCE COMMITTEES ARE VERY CREATIVE AND THEY CAN
- 20 PUT IN OR TAKE OUT PROVISIONS THAT MANY PEOPLE THOUGHT WERE
- 21 RESTING IN SOME CEMETERY ONLY TO FIND, IN THE LIGHT OF DAY
- 22 AFTER THE BILL HAS BEEN SIGNED, THAT IT'S BEEN ENACTED INTO
- 23 LAW.



- 1 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, I JUST WOULD ADD, I MEAN, AND WE'RE NOT
- 2 TRYING TO SET THE PRICE OF GAS OR DO ANYTHING LIKE THAT, THAT
- 3 IS GOING TO HAPPEN. BUT PART OF THIS RECOMMENDATION IS TO LOOK
- 4 AT THRESHOLDS, SHOULD THE PRICE PER GALLON DROP TO A CERTAIN
- 5 LEVEL, THEN THE 50% REDUCTION IS REINSTATED. I MEAN, THAT'S--
- 6 YOU KNOW, YOU CAN DO THRESHOLDS DOWN THE WAY TO PROTECT THOSE
- 7 DOLLARS IF THE COUNTY EXPECTS BUT I KNOW THERE'S A MOTION AND
- 8 A SECOND ON THE FLOOR TO CONTINUE IT TO BUDGET. I'D BE
- 9 RECORDED AS A "NO" VOTE.

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: CALL THE ROLL.

12

13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

14

15 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: BUT IS THIS ON THE AMENDMENT?

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TO CONTINUE.

18

19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: TO CONTINUE THE ITEM.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. AYE.

22

23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR BURKE?

24

25 SUP. BURKE: AYE.



1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES. 4 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR KNABE? 6 7 8 SUP. KNABE: NO. 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? 10 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO. SO THE MOTION WAS DEFEATED AND CONTINUED 12 13 FOR THE BUDGET DELIBERATION. 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MOTION WAS... 15 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE ORIGINAL MOTION WAS DEFEATED AND 17 18 THE MOTION TO SUBMIT IT... 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, THE ORIGINAL MOTION WAS NOT DEFEATED, IT 20 WAS JUST PUT OVER TO BUDGET. 21 22 23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IT WAS CONTINUED TO THE BUDGET. 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IT'S NOT APPROVED. 25



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT WAS PUT OVER TO THE BUDGET. WE DIDN'T

3 VOTE ON THE MOTION.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL, IT WASN'T APPROVED TODAY, IT WAS
- 6 PUT OVER FOR BUDGET.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU'RE GETTING WARMER. (LAUGHTER).

9

10 SUP. BURKE: I SAID I WAS FINISHED. I'LL CALL A-3, THOUGH.

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DR. CLAVREUL? A-3.

- 14 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD AFTERNOON. GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF
- 15 SUPERVISORS. DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. I AM CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT
- 16 I AM READING AGAIN ABOUT KING/DREW MEDICAL CENTER. I AM VERY
- 17 CONCERNED THAT DR. CHERNOF CHOOSES TO REFUSE TO SHARE
- 18 INFORMATION ON THE QUALITY OF CARE. I THINK THAT INFORMATION
- 19 IS ESSENTIAL FOR THE PUBLIC TO KNOW WHAT'S REALLY GOING ON
- 20 THERE AND TRANSPARENCY IS ALWAYS A GOOD THING. WE STILL DON'T
- 21 KNOW WHAT HAS HAPPENED TO THE SCOPE, THE UNFINISHED SCOPE OF
- 22 WORK OF NAVIGANT AND, ACTUALLY, THE AMOUNT OF MONEY WE PAY
- 23 THEM. TODAY ON THE AGENDA, YOU HAVE, AGAIN, ANOTHER SOLE
- 24 VENDOR CONTRACT TO TRAIN PEOPLE IN CENTRAL SUPPLY TO STERILIZE
- 25 PROPERLY THE EQUIPMENT. THAT WAS AN ITEM WAS PART OF THE SCOPE



- 1 OF WORK, BOTH OF CAMDEN AND NAVIGANT AND, AGAIN, WE ARE PAYING
- 2 FOR WORK WHO WAS SUPPOSED TO HAVE BEEN DONE. AND, ALTOGETHER,
- 3 BETWEEN CAMDEN AND NAVIGANT, I AM SURE WE ARE CLOSE TO A TOTAL
- 4 OF \$25 MILLION. WHEN ARE WE GOING TO ASK FOR ACCOUNTABILITY?
- 5 AND, YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU DO A TYPE OF CONTRACT, BUILDING A
- 6 HOUSE OR WHAT, IF THE CONTRACTOR DOES NOT DO WHAT THEY ARE
- 7 SUPPOSED TO DO, YOU GET A REFUND. WHEN CAN WE EXPECT TO GET A
- 8 REFUND FROM NAVIGANT? AND THE AUDIT, YOU KNOW, ON THE WEBSITE
- 9 IS VERY DAMNING OF THE QUALITY OF WORK THEY HAVE DONE. ALSO,
- 10 THE NURSING SHORTAGE IS HIGHER NOW AT KING/DREW THAN IT HAS
- 11 EVER BEEN. THEY HAVE A HIGHER NUMBER OF REGISTERED NURSES OR
- 12 TRAVEL NURSES THAN THEY EVER HAD BEFORE. WHAT'S GOING ON? AND
- 13 WHEN ARE WE GOING TO GET ANSWERS? THANK YOU.

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, DOCTOR. SUPERVISOR BURKE.

16

17 SUP. BURKE: I'LL MOVE THE ADOPTION.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHICH ONE?

20

21 **SUP. BURKE:** A-3.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IT'S NOT ON THE...

24

25 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. I HAVE NOTHING ELSE.



2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IT'S NOT AN ACTION ITEM.

3

1

4 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE NOTHING ELSE.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU. I HAVE SEVERAL ADJOURNING
- 9 MOTIONS, MR. CHAIRMAN. FIRST, I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT THE BOARD
- 10 ADJOURN IN MEMORY REX E. LAYTON. REX LAYTON WAS A FORMER CITY
- 11 CLERK OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES WHEN I WAS FIRST ELECTED TO
- 12 THE CITY COUNCIL, HE WAS A CITY CLERK, HE SWORE ME IN IN MY
- 13 FIRST TERM. HE PASSED AWAY LAST WEEK AT THE AGE OF 85 AT HIS
- 14 HOME IN SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE
- 15 HELENE, TWO SONS, ROBERT AND STEVE, AND THREE DAUGHTERS, KRIS
- 16 JONES, LINDA SLOCUM AND KATHY WESTPHAL, 14 GRANDCHILDREN AND
- 17 FOUR GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN. REX WAS...

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: PUT ALL MEMBERS ON THAT.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL MEMBERS. HE WAS A CLASS ACT, HE WAS AN
- 22 ICON IN CITY HALL AND I DON'T THINK THEY'VE HAD A CITY CLERK
- 23 OUITE LIKE HIM SINCE HE RETIRED. I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT WE
- 24 ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF THELMA BERNSTEIN, A LONG-TIME
- 25 RESIDENT OF BEVERLY HILLS, A FORMER SINGER AND ACTRESS WHO



- 1 RECENTLY PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 95. SHE'S SURVIVED BY THREE
- 2 SONS, FILMMAKER ALBERT BROOKS, COMEDY WRITER AND ACTOR AND
- 3 FRIEND OF MINE, BOB EINSTEIN, AND ADVERTISING EXECUTIVE, ALSO
- 4 A FRIEND AND SUPPORTER OF OUR MUSEUM, CLIFFORD EINSTEIN, FIVE
- 5 GRANDCHILDREN AND FIVE GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN. AND I HAVE ONE
- 6 THAT I JUST GOT EMAILED AND I WANT TO-- SORRY. HANG ON ONE
- 7 SECOND HERE. ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF PIADAD
- 8 ALAMEIDA LANDAZURI, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 96. SHE IS
- 9 SURVIVED BY HER FIVE CHILDREN, 11 GRANDCHILDREN INCLUDING MY
- 10 RECEPTIONIST, CHRISTINA GAVINO GREY, FOUR GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN
- 11 AND ONE GREAT, GREAT-GRANDCHILD, PASSED AWAY IN ECUADOR--
- 12 RIGHT? IN ECUADOR. AND, LAST, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE BOARD TO
- 13 ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF AUGUSTINE CONTRERAS, 17-YEAR-OLD HIGH
- 14 SCHOOL STUDENT AT VENICE HIGH SCHOOL, WHO WAS KILLED IN A
- 15 GANG-RELATED SHOOTING TWO DAYS AGO AT THE HIGH SCHOOL. IT'S A
- 16 VERY TRAGIC-- MS. BURKE WILL JOIN.

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: PUT ALL MEMBERS.

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL MEMBERS AND I WILL GET THE INFORMATION
- 21 TO THE BOARD SECRETARY. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNING MOTIONS. I
- 22 WANT TO READ THIS MOTION IN AT THIS TIME, MR. CHAIRMAN, AND
- 23 THIS WOULD BE FOR-- IT WOULD BE A REPORT BACK. ON JUNE 1ST,
- 24 2006, THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH RELEASED A 2005 EARLY
- 25 SYPHILIS SURVEILLANCE SUMMARY FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THERE



- 1 WAS 1,217 REPORTED CASES OF EARLY SYPHILIS IN 2005,
- 2 REPRESENTING A 41% INCREASE FROM 2004 AND A 188% INCREASE FROM
- 3 2001. THE CASES OF EARLY SYPHILIS ARE STILL CONCENTRATED AMONG
- 4 MEN WHO HAVE SEX WITH MEN AND MEN WHO HAVE SEX WITH MEN AND
- 5 WOMEN. HOWEVER, A DISPROPORTIONATE INCREASE IN EARLY SYPHILIS
- 6 CASES HAS BEEN REPORTED IN AFRICAN-AMERICAN AND LATINO WOMEN,
- 7 WHO ACCOUNTED FOR 42% OF REPORTED EARLY SYPHILIS CASES IN
- 8 2005. WHILE EFFECTIVE TREATMENT FOR SYPHILIS IS AVAILABLE, THE
- 9 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH MUST DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT
- 10 ADDITIONAL STRATEGIES TO PREVENT, IDENTIFY AND TREAT THOSE WHO
- 11 ARE INFECTED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. I WANT TO ALSO ADD EDUCATE
- 12 PEOPLE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. ANYTHING LESS WILL LEAD TO A
- 13 CONTINUAL SPREAD OF SYPHILIS AND OTHER SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED
- 14 DISEASES. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 15 INSTRUCT THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH TO DEVELOP A
- 16 COMPREHENSIVE STRATEGY AND MEDIA CAMPAIGN DIRECTED AT
- 17 PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION OF SYPHILIS AND OTHER S.T.D.S AND
- 18 ENCOURAGING SAFE SEX PRACTICES AMONG ALL SECTORS OF THE
- 19 POPULATION, PARTICULARLY COMMUNITIES OF COLOR, AND I FURTHER
- 20 MOVE THAT THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH REPORT TO THE BOARD IN
- 21 30 DAYS ON THIS COMPREHENSIVE STRATEGY AND MEDIA CAMPAIGN,
- 22 INCLUDING AN ESTIMATED BUDGET AND TIMELINE. ACTUALLY, IT'S NOT
- 23 A REPORT BACK. PUT IT ON THE AGENDA FOR NEXT WEEK.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D LIKE TO AMEND YOUR MOTION, IF
- 2 YOU DON'T MIND, BECAUSE I THINK THAT ONE OF THE PROBLEMS, AND
- 3 I'M ASKING THE QUESTION NOW, IS WHAT THEY SPENT A MILLION
- 4 DOLLARS ON A PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGN LAST YEAR AND IT'S MY
- 5 UNDERSTANDING NONE OF THE MATERIALS WERE IN SPANISH. SO IF
- 6 THEY DON'T DO THE KIND OF OUTREACH AND, I MEAN, THIS IS A,
- 7 QUOTE, PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT, YOU'D THINK THEY'D KNOW THEIR
- 8 OWN AUDIENCE. I'D LIKE THEM TO PRESENT TO US WHAT THEY'RE
- 9 DOING AND WHAT MATERIALS THEY HAVE NOW, WHAT KIND OF OUTREACH
- 10 THEY ARE DOING TO THE SPANISH SPEAKING, TO-- AND TO THE INNER
- 11 CITY COMMUNITY AS WELL. IF IT IS JUST, YOU KNOW, BUS ADS OR
- 12 THINGS OF THAT SORT, I'D LIKE TO KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THEY'RE
- 13 DOING, SO I'D LIKE TO AMEND IT TO INCLUDE THE SPANISH SPEAKING
- 14 MATERIAL.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK THAT'S AN EXCELLENT AMENDMENT.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: BEFORE YOU ASK THEM, YOU KNOW, TO DO SOMETHING
- 19 ELSE, THEY REALLY HAVE TO KNOW WHAT THEY'RE DOING.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TOTALLY AGREE. THAT'S A EXCELLENT POINT. IN
- 22 FACT, I THINK, AS DAVID WAS JUST SAYING, I THINK THEY OUGHT TO
- 23 START ANSWERING THAT QUESTION EVEN BEFORE WE TAKE THIS UP NEXT
- 24 WEEK, BECAUSE ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE STATISTICS AND WHERE
- 25 THE MOVEMENT IS THAT MS. MOLINA'S COMMENT IS EVEN MORE



- 1 COMPELLING. I DON'T KNOW THAT I WAS HOLDING ANYTHING. NO, I'M
- 2 NOT HOLDING ANYTHING ELSE.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. SUPERVISOR KNABE.

- 6 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. I, TOO, HAVE A NUMBER OF
- 7 ADJOURNMENTS, UNFORTUNATELY. SOME GOOD NEWS BEFORE I DO THE
- 8 ADJOURNMENTS, THOUGH, THAT I WAS JUST INFORMED A FEW MINUTES
- 9 AGO THAT WE HAD ANOTHER SAFE SURRENDER. THIS IS BABY NUMBER 45
- 10 SINCE WE BEGAN THE PROGRAM HERE IN THE COUNTY IN 2001. THE
- 11 BABY GIRL WAS SURRENDERED LAST NIGHT AT POMONA VALLEY MEDICAL
- 12 CENTER AND THE BABY IS HEALTHY. THIS IS THE NINTH SAFE
- 13 SURRENDER SO FAR THIS YEAR AND THE FOURTH IN THE PAST 30 DAYS.
- 14 SO SOME GOOD NEWS. SOME ADJOURNMENTS, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF
- 15 THE BOARD. I WOULD MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN TODAY IN MEMORY OF
- 16 CAROL KLOSTERMAN. YOU HEARD JIM'S STORY. SHE PASSED AWAY EARLY
- 17 THIS MORNING AFTER FIGHTING A COURAGEOUS THREE-YEAR BATTLE
- 18 WITH SCLERODERMA. SHE WAS 64 YEARS OLD. AS YOU HEARD JIM SAY,
- 19 CAROL IS ONE OF THREE IN THE KLOSTERMAN FAMILY THAT HAS LOST
- 20 THEIR BATTLE. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER BROTHER JIM AND OTHERS.
- 21 ALSO, SUPERVISOR MENTIONED THIS BUT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 22 BRIAN SHERIDAN, WHO PASSED AWAY ON MAY 30TH. BRIAN'S MOTHER IS
- 23 CAROL ROSE AND IS A MEMBER OF OUR LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 24 COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PROCEDURES. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS
- 25 MOTHER, CAROL, FATHER, JOSEPH, SISTER, KELLY AND HE WILL BE



- 1 DEEPLY MISSED BY HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS. VERY SAD. ALSO THAT
- 2 WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF JOE VILARINO, 80-YEAR-OLD RESIDENT OF
- 3 SOUTH BAY, VERY ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE REPUBLICAN STATE CENTER
- 4 COMMITTEE OVER THERE, INGLEWOOD 20/30 CLUB, THE Y.M.C.A., PAST
- 5 PRESIDENT OF THE REDONDO BEACH AND THE RIVERA VILLAGE BUSINESS
- 6 ASSOCIATION. HE PASSED AWAY ON THURSDAY, JUNE 1ST. HE IS
- 7 SURVIVED BY HIS COMPANION OF 30 YEARS, CLAUDINE, SONS, JEFF,
- 8 RONALD, STEVE, THREE SISTERS AND A BROTHER. ALSO THAT WE
- 9 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF PAUL, LINDA AND AMY MARCHIOTTO. PAUL AND
- 10 LINDA BOTH LONG-TIME EMPLOYEES OF NORTHROP- GRUMMAN AND THEIR
- 11 DAUGHTER, AMY, PERISHED IN A PLANE CRASH AS THEY HEADED TO A
- 12 FAMILY VACATION. PAUL WAS 49 AND HE WAS VERY ACTIVE AT
- 13 NORTHROP-GRUMMAN AND HE WAS A VICE PRESIDENT AT THE TIME. HE
- 14 WAS A VERY CHARISMATIC GUY, GREAT PERSONALITY WITH A GREAT
- 15 SENSE OF HUMOR. HIS WIFE, LINDA, 48, ALSO AN ENGINEER WORKED
- 16 AT THE NEWPORT BEACH BAY SECTOR DIVISION. SHE WAS A VERY
- 17 BRIGHT AND CARING WOMAN WHO SERVED AS PRESIDENT OF THE
- 18 NEEDLEWORK CLUB OF GRUMMAN AND WAS VERY ACTIVE IN THE GIRL
- 19 SCOUTS FOR SOME 40 YEARS. AMY, THEIR DAUGHTER, WAS A JAZZ BAND
- 20 PIANIST, ATHLETE AND SCHOLAR AT LONG BEACH POLY HIGH SCHOOL.
- 21 SHE ALSO WAS A GREAT YOUNG GIRL, VERY PERSONABLE AND FUN
- 22 LOVING. THEY'RE SURVIVED BY THEIR DAUGHTER, JENNIFER, WHO WAS
- 23 NOT ON THE TRIP, PAUL'S SISTER, LISA, LINDA'S BROTHERS, CHRIS
- 24 AND JIMMY, LINDA'S PARENTS, JACK AND JANET, AND PAUL'S
- 25 PARENTS, JOHN AND ANGELA. VERY SAD. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN



- 1 MEMORY OF JOHN FORD, THE LEGENDARY LAKEWOOD LANCERS FOOTBALL
- 2 COACH WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 78. HE TURNED THE LAKEWOOD
- 3 PROGRAM TO CIF POWER IN THE '60S AND '70S. HE, EVEN AFTER
- 4 RETIREMENT, HE CONTINUED GOING TO PRACTICES AND GAMES. HE WAS
- 5 A GREAT SUPPORTER OF THE PROGRAM. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE
- 6 OF 55 YEARS, FERN, AND HIS TWO SONS, DALE AND TEE. ALSO THAT
- 7 WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF HANNAH BODNAR, VERY INVOLVED IN THE
- 8 LAKEWOOD HIGH SCHOOL AS WELL. IT'S VERY TRAGIC. SHE PASSED
- 9 AWAY AT THE YOUNG AGE OF 14. SHE VOLUNTEERED FOR THE CITY OF
- 10 LAKEWOOD WITH THE TEAM BOARD AND HELPED THEM DELIVERING MEALS
- 11 ON THANKSGIVING. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER PARENTS, ANDREA AND
- 12 LOU, SIBLINGS ANDREW, MIN AND JOEY, HER GRANDMOTHER, MARY,
- 13 NIECE, ANDREA, AND A HOST OF FAMILY AND FRIENDS. ALSO THAT WE
- 14 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF RUBIN METTLER, FORMER TRW CHAIRMAN AND
- 15 C.E.O. WHO OVERSAW THE DEVELOPMENT OF OUR NATION'S BALLISTIC
- 16 PROGRAM AND CREATION OF THE AEROSPACE CORPORATION IN EL
- 17 SEGUNDO. HE WAS 82. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, DONNA, TWO
- 18 SONS, MATTHEW AND DANIEL. FINALLY, THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY
- 19 OF ANNE MINNICK, WHO PASSED AWAY IN THE CITY OF BELLFLOWER AT
- 20 THE AGE OF 77. SHE WAS A RESIDENT FOR OVER 50 YEARS, VERY
- 21 ACTIVE IN THE ST. DOMINIC SAVIO CHURCH AND SHE IS SURVIVED BY
- 22 HER DAUGHTER, KATHY, AND SON, HOWARD, GRANDCHILDREN, VINCE,
- 23 MELISSA, LAUREN, KENNETH, GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN DILLON, JUSTIN,
- 24 SISTERS JEAN, MERCEDES AND EVELYN. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 2 ORDERED.

3

4 SUP. KNABE: I DON'T BELIEVE I WAS HOLDING ANYTHING.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NUMBER 6.

7

8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: NUMBER 8.

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NUMBER 8. THE LEASE.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE: OH, THE LEASE, YEAH. THE QUESTION I HAD, VERY
- 13 FAMILIAR WITH THIS PROPERTY, VERY EXPENSIVE PROPERTY IN
- 14 DOWNTOWN LONG BEACH, OCEAN BOULEVARD. HAS IT-- I KNOW THERE'S
- 15 A RATIONALE SAYING THAT, IF WE MOVED IT TO SOME OTHER PLACE BY
- 16 THE TIME WE RENOVATE IT, DID THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION LOOK
- 17 AT THIS LEASE?

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. MAYOR, SUPERVISOR KNABE, THEY DID NOT LOOK
- 20 AT THE LEASE. THE THRESHOLD FOR THEIR REVIEW IS EITHER A 10-
- 21 YEAR LEASE OR, IF IT'S ADMINISTRATIVE, \$7,500 A MONTH. THIS
- 22 IS-- 90,000 A YEAR. THIS IS LESS THAN THAT AND I REALLY WOULD
- 23 NOT RECOMMEND THAT A LEASE OF THIS SIZE GO TO THE COMMISSION.
- 24 WE DO HAVE THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, THE ALTERNATE DISTRICT
- 25 ATTORNEY, PUBLIC DEFENDER IN THE BUILDING, SAME FLOOR. IT IS



- 1 ON THE HIGH END. IT IS NO LONGER A CLASS "A" BUILDING ONLY
- 2 BECAUSE IT'S AGED. IT'S IN VERY GOOD SHAPE, HOWEVER, BUT WE
- 3 DID LOOK AT OTHER ALTERNATIVES AND, BECAUSE OF THE SMALL
- 4 AMOUNT OF MONEY, THE TIS WOULD COST MORE THAN STAYING THERE
- 5 FOR FIVE YEARS, WE CERTAINLY, OVER THE PERIOD OF THIS LEASE
- 6 AND WE CAN CANCEL IT IF NECESSARY, WE'LL LOOK AT OTHER
- 7 ALTERNATIVES.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE: OKAY. BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, AT SOME POINT, THAT WAS
- 10 THE OTHER THING, THE LENGTH, WE STILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO
- 11 CANCEL BECAUSE OF...

12

13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE: ...LOOKING AT SOME OF OUR TRANSITIONS, THE
- 16 COURTHOUSE, THAT KIND OF THING...

17

18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE DO.

19

20 SUP. KNABE: WITH THAT, I'D MOVE THE ITEM.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION SECONDED, ITEM 8, WITHOUT
- 23 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 18. WHAT NUMBER DID YOU SAY NEXT?

24

25 SUP. KNABE: THAT WAS NUMBER 8.



2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO. WHAT NUMBERS...

3

1

4 SUP. KNABE: I THINK THAT'S ALL THAT I HAD HELD.

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY
- 7 OF JIM SMITH, JAMES WALTER SMITH, WHO PASSED AWAY ON MAY 31ST.
- 8 COACH SMITH WAS A FORMER COACH AT GLENDALE COMMUNITY COLLEGE
- 9 AND WAS THE DEAN OF MEN. HE WAS NAMED TRACK OFFICIAL OF THE
- 10 YEAR IN 1993. WILLIAM "BILL" GUY STEELE, JR., OF SAN MARINO,
- 11 WHO PASSED AWAY ON MAY 24TH, QUITE ACTIVE AT THE BOY SCOUTS.
- 12 HE WAS ALSO AN EAGLE SCOUT HIMSELF. SERVED ON THE HUNTINGTON
- 13 LIBRARY BOARD, PAST PRESIDENT OF THE SAN MARINO ROTARY, A
- 14 MEMBER OF THE SAN MARINO SCHOOLS EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION
- 15 ADVISORY BOARD, SERVED ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION, WAS ONE OF
- 16 THE FOUNDERS OF TOWER REALTY AND ALSO WAS ON THE BOARD OF
- 17 GOVERNORS OF THE U.S.C. GENERAL ALUMNI ASSOCIATION, WHERE HE
- 18 CHAIRED THE PLANNING COMMITTEE IN 1990/'91. CLARA LOUISE
- 19 GILLESPIE, FORMER PROFESSOR OF MATHEMATICS AT LOS ANGELES
- 20 VALLEY COLLEGE BUT WAS A VOLUNTEER AT THE DESCANSO GARDENS IN
- 21 LA CANADA AND SHE WAS AFFECTIONATELY KNOWN AS THE HERB LADY
- 22 BECAUSE OF HER EXTENSIVE KNOWLEDGE IN HERBS. AND RETIRED LOS
- 23 ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTY RICHARD GOLLEDGE, WHO RETIRED
- 24 ON JANUARY 5TH, 1987. HIS LAST ASSIGNMENT WAS AT THE SANTA



- 1 CLARITA VALLEY STATION. SECONDED BY BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 2 SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 47, WE DID.

3

4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK 32, MR. MAYOR.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ITEM NUMBER 67. 67.

7

- 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THIS IS THE PUBLIC HEARING ITEM AND ALL
- 9 THOSE WHO PLAN TO TESTIFY FOR ITEM 67 BEFORE THE BOARD, PLEASE
- 10 STAND AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN IN. IS THERE
- 11 ANYBODY?

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IS STAFF GOING TO MAKE A REPORT?

14

- 15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: OKAY. IN THE-- OH. THE PUBLIC HEARING ITEM
- 16 67, COMBINED HEARING ON ZONE CHANGE AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT
- 17 CASE NUMBER 03-010-5 TO AUTHORIZE THE CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION
- 18 AND MAINTENANCE OF A PRIVATE RECREATIONAL FACILITY TO INCLUDE
- 19 AN OUTDOOR FIELD, INDOOR ARCHERY RANGE, SPORTS RETAIL SHOP AND
- 20 A RECREATIONAL VEHICLE SALES FACILITY.

- 22 SAMUEL DEA: GOOD MORNING, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MY
- 23 NAME IS SAMUEL DEA. I'M AN ACTING SUPERVISING REGIONAL PLANNER
- 24 WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING. TO MY LEFT IS DENNIS
- 25 HUNTER OF DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. ON SEPTEMBER 8TH, 2005,



- 1 THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDED TO THE BOARD THE
- 2 APPROVAL OF THE ZONE CHANGE REQUEST TO REDESIGNATE THE PORTION
- 3 OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY LOCATED AT 33418 SUNRISE VIEW STREET
- 4 IN THE SOLEDAD ZONE DISTRICT FROM MAY 1-1 LIGHT AGRICULTURE,
- 5 ONE ACRE REQUIRED AREA, TO C-3-DP, UNLIMITED COMMERCIAL
- 6 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT WAS ALSO PART
- 7 OF THIS APPROVAL TO IMPLEMENT THE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM OF THE
- 8 PROPOSED C-3-DP ZONE. THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
- 9 DETERMINED THE PROPOSED C-3 ZONING IS SUITABLE FOR THE SUBJECT
- 10 PROPERTY AND CONSISTENT WITH THE ANTELOPE VALLEY AREA WIDE
- 11 GENERAL PLAN. THE COMMISSION FINDS THE REQUEST IS COMPATIBLE
- 12 WITH THE SURROUNDING USE AND WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT
- 13 IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND RECOMMEND THE BOARD TO ADOPT THE
- 14 ZONE CHANGE AND APPROVE THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. THIS
- 15 CONCLUDES MY REPORT AND WE ARE AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THIS ITEM HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE
- 18 ACTON TOWN COUNCIL AS WELL.

19

20 **SAMUEL DEA:** YES.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I WILL MOVE THE MOTION AND CLOSE THE
- 23 PUBLIC HEARING, MOVE THE MOTION AND SECONDED BY BURKE. WITHOUT
- 24 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THANK YOU. OKAY. ITEM NUMBER 32.



- I C.A.O. JANSSEN: I UNDERSTOOD, MR. MAYOR, THAT YOU HAD AN
- 2 AMENDMENT ON THIS ITEM.

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THIS WAS THE ITEM THAT YOU WERE HOLDING.

5

- 6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: DO YOU HAVE AN AMENDMENT? THIS IS A PUBLIC
- 7 WORKS ITEM TO APPROVE THE PACIFIC CREST PARK LANDSCAPE
- 8 IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT AT A COST OF \$843,000, WHICH INCLUDES
- 9 CONSTRUCTION, UTILITY CONNECTION FEES IN THE AMOUNT OF
- 10 \$315,000, FULLY FUNDED BY PARK IN LIEU FEES AND/OR APPROVE THE
- 11 PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE SUCH IMPROVEMENTS AND CALL
- 12 FOR BIDS TO BE RECEIVED ON JULY THE 6TH.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHAT WE'RE DOING IN MOVING THE MOTION,
- 15 WE'D ALSO MOVE THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
- 16 INCLUDE IN THE DESIGN FOR THE PROJECT APPROPRIATE PLAY
- 17 EQUIPMENT FOR THE PARK SITE TO ALLOW THE YOUNGEST MEMBERS OF
- 18 THE SAUGUS AREA TO ENJOY THE FACILITY AND TO FULLY FUND ANY
- 19 ADDITIONAL COSTS FOR THIS PLAY EQUIPMENT WITH ADDITIONAL PARK
- 20 IN LIEU FEES FROM OUR PARK PLANNING AREA 35 FROM THE AREA. SO
- 21 I'LL MAKE THAT MOTION. SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT
- 22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 1. ANDREW AHLERING. YES,
- 23 SIR.



- 1 ANDREW AHLERING: YES. GOOD MORNING. EARLIER YOU ALL RECEIVED
- 2 ALLIGATOR BOOKS. I EXPECT TO SEE THAT IN YOUR POLITICAL
- 3 DISCLOSURES AS A GIFT. IF NOT, I WILL BE COMPLAINING. ANYWAYS,
- 4 REGARDING APPOINTMENTS, YESTERDAY'S ELECTION DEFINITELY PROVES
- 5 ONE THING. REGARDLESS OF THE COUNTY'S MANTRA "PERFORMANCE
- 6 COUNTS" AND L.A. COUNTY, IT DOESN'T. WHAT DOES COUNT IS RACIAL
- 7 AND PARTISAN WEDGE POLITICS. THESE APPOINTMENTS THAT YOU ARE
- 8 MAKING ARE PART OF THAT BUT LET'S GO BACK TO YESTERDAY'S
- 9 ELECTION. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, CONGRATULATIONS, YOU FINISHED
- 10 FIRST IN THE RACE. IT IS SAID RUNNING FOR OFFICE IS LIKE
- 11 RUNNING THE MARATHON, AND SINCE I'M A MARATHON RUNNER, LET'S
- 12 EXAMINE THE FIRST DISTRICT SUPERVISOR RACE IN THAT LIGHT. AN
- 13 UNKNOWN 26-YEAR-OLD COUNTY EMPLOYEE WITH A MASTER'S IN PUBLIC
- 14 ADMINISTRATION FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
- 15 SOUGHT TO HELP HIS COMMUNITY. EIGHT DAYS LATER, HE WAS
- 16 RETALIATED BY THE COUNTY'S DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS IN THE
- 17 COURSE OF HIS JOB. HE BEGAN ADDRESSING THE BOARD. HE RECEIVED
- 18 CONGRATULATIONS FROM NUMEROUS COUNTY EMPLOYEES WHO WERE GLAD
- 19 TO SEE MOLINA GO, HOPEFULLY. SHE'S NOT VERY POPULAR. HE EVEN
- 20 RECEIVED CONGRATULATIONS FROM A DEPUTY IN SD-4. HE COMPLAINED
- 21 ABOUT HARASSMENT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. THE NEXT
- 22 DAY, HE WAS ARRESTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. APRIL
- 23 18TH, HE GAVE FLOWERS TO SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND, WITHIN AN
- 24 HOUR, HE WAS ARRESTED AND JAILED FOR 23 DAYS. THIS SHOULD BE A
- 25 SCANDAL IN L.A. COUNTY BUT, HEY, BRAD PITT AND ANGELINA JOLIE



- 1 JUST HAD A BABY PITT. LET'S LEAD THE NEWS WITH THAT.
- 2 SUPERVISOR MOLINA, GOOD THING YOU RAN ON THE ISSUES. LET'S
- 3 TAKE A LOOK AT YOUR ISSUES. OH, WAIT. I DIDN'T SEE ANY. YOU
- 4 DIDN'T DISCUSS KING/DREW, YOU DIDN'T DISCUSS PROBATION
- 5 ESCAPES, A FAILING DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN'S SERVICES, A
- 6 FAILING DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH. YOU LOOKED BACK TO THE
- 7 PAST AND YOU IMPROPERLY USED COUNTY FUNDS FOR YOUR OWN
- 8 POLITICAL GAIN. THAT IS BUSINESS AS USUAL IN THIS COUNTY AND
- 9 EACH AND EVERY SUPERVISOR, YOU SHOULD BE ASHAMED. I WANT TO
- 10 SAY, AND THIS IS BACK TO THE T-SHIRT I WORE ON THE DAY I WAS
- 11 ARRESTED, F THIS BOARD. YES, THAT'S CORRECT, I FORGIVE THIS
- 12 BOARD FOR ITS UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTIONS. LET'S CHANGE THE
- 13 DIALOGUE IN L.A. COUNTY AND LET'S CHANGE THIS OLIGARCHY THAT'S
- 14 ILLEGITIMATELY ATTEMPTING TO RUN US. THANK YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. OKAY. MOTION NUMBER 1,
- 17 MOVED, SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM
- 18 NUMBER 21, DR. CLAVREUL.

- 20 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
- 21 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. ON ITEM 21, I HAD REQUESTED A SUPPORT
- 22 DOCUMENT ABOUT THE INCREASE _____ AMENDMENT OF AGREEMENT TO.
- 23 INCREASE THE CURRENT CONTRACT FUNDING FOR H.I.V. AND A.I.D.S.
- 24 COUNSELING AND TESTING BY \$670,128, WHICH IS OVER HALF A
- 25 MILLION. THERE IS NO SUPPORTING DOCUMENT ON THAT ITEM. AND I



- 1 AM CONCERNED THAT WE ARE INCREASING TO THAT EXTENT WHEN WE ARE
- 2 SUPPOSED TO GO TO NAME REPORTING, WHICH WOULD HAVE COST LESS
- 3 TO TEST, LESS IN COUNSELING. THERE IS NO IDENTIFICATION TO
- 4 WHOM THOSE MONEY IS GOING. CAN I GET SOME RESPONSE?

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MR. JANSSEN?

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK THE DEPARTMENT'S HERE, JOHN SCHUNHOFF.

9

- 10 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISORS, WE'LL BE HAPPY TO GET MISS
- 11 CLAVREUL THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SHE REQUESTED. I DIDN'T
- 12 KNOW THAT SHE HAD REQUESTED IT. WE'LL GET HER THE COPIES OF
- 13 THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

14

- 15 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: WELL, THOSE ITEMS SHOULD BE AVAILABLE
- 16 IN THE OFFICE ON THE DAY OF THE MEETING AND I WOULD LIKE TO
- 17 KNOW WHAT THOSE FOUR AMENDMENTS, TO WHOM THEY ARE GOING.

18

- 19 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: ALL THE FIRMS THAT ARE RECEIVING AMENDED
- 20 CONTRACTS ARE LISTED IN ATTACHMENT (B) TO THE BOARD LETTER,
- 21 WHICH I BELIEVE WAS PART OF THE PACKET THAT WAS DISTRIBUTED
- 22 NOT ONLY AT THE HEALTH DEPUTY MEETING BUT AS PART OF THE
- 23 PACKET THAT SHOULD BE AVAILABLE RIGHT NOW.



- 1 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: IT'S STILL A HUGE INCREASE. BUT,
- 2 ANYWAY, I THINK THAT'S-- THOSE DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE AVAILABLE
- 3 BEFORE THE MEETING. NO, THEY WERE NOT. I WENT-- NOT ONLY I
- 4 REQUEST THEM BY EMAIL, I WENT IN PERSON THIS MORNING AND THEY
- 5 WERE NOT AVAILABLE. THANK YOU.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. ON ITEM NUMBER 21, MOVED,
- 8 SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. IS DR.
- 9 CHERNOF HERE? DR. CHERNOF, TO FOLLOW UP ON OUR DISCUSSION WE
- 10 HAD YESTERDAY ON THE -- COULD YOU CLARIFY THE ISSUE RELATIVE TO
- 11 RELEASING INFORMATION, WHAT HAD BEEN RELEASED PREVIOUSLY, WHAT
- 12 THE POLICY IS ON RELEASING THAT INFORMATION AND DO PRIVATE
- 13 HOSPITALS RELEASE THAT INFORMATION AND HAS THERE BEEN A POLICY
- 14 CHANGE WITH THAT INFORMATION?

- 16 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: ABSOLUTELY MAYOR, SUPERVISORS. THANK YOU
- 17 FOR THE CHANCE TO SPEAK WITH YOU TODAY. LET ME BEGIN BY SAYING
- 18 THAT THE DEPARTMENT ABSOLUTELY SUPPORTS APPROPRIATE
- 19 TRANSPARENCY IN ALL HEALTHCARE, ESPECIALLY IN OUR OWN
- 20 INSTITUTIONS. WE VIEW THAT AS PART OF OUR PUBLIC MISSION AND
- 21 WE WORK VERY HARD WITH KEY SECTORS OF THE HOSPITAL INDUSTRY
- 22 AND THE OVERSIGHT COMPONENTS OF HEALTH, C.M.S. AND OTHERS, TO
- 23 MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE APPROPRIATELY REPORTING. I WOULD POINT
- 24 OUT TO YOU ALL THE PLACES WHERE WE ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED. WE
- 25 MAKE DATA AVAILABLE, INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO PATIENTS THROUGH



- 1 THE C.M.S. WEBSITE, THERE'S TREMENDOUS INFORMATION ABOUT ALL
- 2 OF OUR FACILITIES, IT'S PRESENTED IN A BENCHMARKED WAY SO THAT
- 3 CONSUMERS, OUR CONSTITUENTS CAN MAKE GOOD COMPARISONS ABOUT
- 4 THE QUALITY OF CARE AND USE THAT INFORMATION. SO WE'RE
- 5 ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN C.M.S. REPORTING. WE'RE ACTIVELY INVOLVED
- 6 LOCALLY WITH THE HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION AND OTHER REPORTING
- 7 INITIATIVES, WE LOOK FORWARD TO PARTICIPATING IN C.M.S.'S NEW
- 8 EFFORTS AROUND EXPERIENCE-OF-CARE REPORTING, WHICH IS A
- 9 VOLUNTARY PROCESS, BUT WE'RE GOING TO BE PART OF IT. SO THAT
- 10 IS THE DEPARTMENT'S POSITION. THAT'S NOT A CHANGE. THE KEY
- 11 THING ABOUT 1157 IS IT'S A STATE EVIDENCE CODE THAT ALLOWS
- 12 HOSPITALS TO ESTABLISH SECURE AND PROTECTED CHANNELS FOR
- 13 EMPLOYEES TO REPORT ERRORS PROMPTLY. THAT'S A CRITICAL THING
- 14 AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT THE CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION
- 15 AND OTHERS STRONGLY SUPPORT. IT'S NOT UNIQUE TO OUR
- 16 FACILITIES, IT'S FOR ALL FACILITIES AND THIS IS A PRACTICE
- 17 THAT EMPLOYEES-- IS PUT IN PLACE SO THAT EMPLOYEES DO NOT
- 18 WITHHOLD KEY INFORMATION. THIS IS A FUNDAMENTAL PUBLIC SAFETY
- 19 CONCERN FOR EVERY HOSPITAL AND THE REASON IS THAT THE ABILITY
- 20 TO COLLECT QUALITY IMPROVEMENT DATA IS FUNDAMENTAL TO
- 21 PROTECTING THE HEALTH OF THE PUBLIC. FIRST OF ALL, IF AN ERROR
- 22 DOES OCCUR, AND ALL HOSPITALS ARE RISKY PLACES, IT IS VERY
- 23 IMPORTANT THAT WE GET THAT INFORMATION IMMEDIATELY BECAUSE
- 24 THERE'S POTENTIALLY A PATIENT INVOLVED AND, IF A PATIENT IS
- 25 INVOLVED, WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT, IF THERE IS ANY POTENTIAL



- 1 SEQUELLA OR RISK TO THE ERROR, THAT THE PATIENT IS TAKEN CARE
- 2 OF. THE NEXT MOST IMPORTANT THING IS WHAT WAS THE CAUSE OF
- 3 THAT ERROR? IF IT'S A KNOWLEDGE OR SKILLS ISSUE WITH RESPECT
- 4 TO AN INDIVIDUAL, WE NEED TO ADDRESS THAT. IF IT'S AN
- 5 EQUIPMENT ISSUE, PROCESS OF CARE ISSUE, THOSE THINGS NEED TO
- 6 BE ADDRESSED. THE DEPARTMENT WAS-- HAS A VERY ACTIVE RESPONSE
- 7 PROCESS WITH RESPECT TO PEER REVIEW REQUESTS. WE DO WANT AN
- 8 OPEN AND TRANSPARENT SYSTEM. WE RECENTLY RECEIVED A REQUEST, A
- 9 PUBLIC RECORDS ACT REQUEST FOR A DOCUMENT THAT WAS NOT A
- 10 PUBLICLY RELEASED DOCUMENT, IT WAS LABELED DRAFT, DATED TO
- 11 LAST YEAR FROM ONE OF OUR FACILITIES. WE DETERMINED THAT LARGE
- 12 PORTIONS OF THAT DATA ARE PERFECTLY APPROPRIATE TO REPORT
- 13 PUBLICLY AND THAT DATA HAS BEEN PROVIDED. THERE IS AN AREA IN
- 14 THAT DOCUMENT THAT CONTAINS CLASSIC PEER REVIEW PROTECTED
- 15 INFORMATION THAT WOULD NOT BE PUBLICLY RELEASED BY ANY OF OUR
- 16 HOSPITALS NOR ANY PRIVATE HOSPITAL UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES. IN
- 17 THIS CIRCUMSTANCE, IT IS CLEAR THAT A FEW OF THE MEASURES THAT
- 18 WERE ON THIS DRAFT REPORT WERE REPORTED TO YOUR BOARD ON
- 19 OCCASION AS PART OF NAVIGANT REPORTING. THERE WAS A SPECIFIC
- 20 DECISION MADE TO DO THAT. THE CHOICE WAS FOCUSED AND LIMITED
- 21 AND IT WAS FOR THE EXPRESS PURPOSE OF HELPING GIVE GUIDANCE AT
- 22 A VERY DIFFICULT TIME. THAT WAS A POLICY DECISION AT THE TIME
- 23 BUT IT WAS VERY SPECIFIC AND LIMITED. THE DEPARTMENT AS A
- 24 WHOLE HAS NEVER RELEASED THIS DATA FOR OUR OTHER HOSPITALS AND
- 25 THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE PEER REVIEW PROTECTED INFORMATION WAS



- 1 NEVER RELEASED FOR KING/DREW OR ANY OF THE OTHER FACILITIES IN
- 2 OUR NETWORK. THE KEY THINGS HERE IS THAT THIS IS NOT A
- 3 WHOLESALE CHANGE IN POLICY. THE PREVIOUS DIRECTOR DOES NOT
- 4 REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY RELEASING THE DOCUMENT IN QUESTION THAT
- 5 IS THE BASE OF THE P.R.A. AND, WHAT'S MORE, THE DIRECTOR, THE
- 6 PREVIOUS DIRECTOR NEVER RELEASED THE VAST MAJORITY OF THIS
- 7 DATA THAT WAS REQUESTED THAT IS PEER REVIEW PROTECTED FOR THIS
- 8 HOSPITAL OR ANY OTHER HOSPITAL IN OUR NETWORK. WE WORK, LIKE I
- 9 SAID, VERY CLOSELY TO SUPPORT TRANSPARENCY WHERE IT'S
- 10 APPROPRIATE AND THE DEPARTMENT'S POLICY REMAINS THAT BEING AN
- 11 ACTIVE PART OF PROVIDING PUBLIC INFORMATION SO THAT
- 12 INDIVIDUALS CAN MAKE GOOD CHOICES ABOUT THEIR HEALTHCARE IS
- 13 FUNDAMENTAL TO WHAT WE DO. SO, WITH THAT, I'LL BE GLAD TO TAKE
- 14 ANY QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANY QUESTIONS?

18 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MAYOR, SUPERVISORS.

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. ON PUBLIC COMMENT, WE HAVE DONNA
- 21 CULPEPPER AND JESSE JAY MORALES AND JOSE CASTANEDA. IS DONNA
- 22 HERE? SOMEBODY FROM SUPERVISOR BURKE'S OFFICE...
- 24 **DEPUTY:** IF YOU HEARD YOUR NAME CALLED, STEP FORWARD.

25

23

15

17



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MS. BURKE IS-- OKAY. DO WE HAVE A
- 2 DEPUTY FOR MRS. BURKE? OKAY. MRS. BURKE'S NOT HERE BUT SHE HAS
- 3 A DEPUTY THAT'S HERE, IF YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO THE DEPUTY OR...

4

5 **SPEAKER:** (OFF-MIKE).

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SHE-- WHAT TIME IS SHE RETURNING?
- 8 SHE'S COMING BACK AT 1:00.

9

10 SPEAKER: I CAN WAIT.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IN THE MEANTIME, COULD YOU TALK TO HER
- 13 DEPUTY IN HER ABSENCE? HER DEPUTY IS OVER HERE. SHE'LL MEET
- 14 YOU AT THE CORNER THERE AND LET'S SEE IF WE CAN RESOLVE IT.
- 15 OKAY. JESSE MORALES. ONE MORE SECOND. AND JOSE CASTANEDA.
- 16 YOU'RE JOSE. AND MICHAEL JACKSON-- MIKI JACKSON. MIKI. OKAY.
- 17 YOU WANT TO COME UP. AND JESSE MORALES IS NOT HERE. OKAY. YES,
- 18 SIR. JUST GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD BEFORE YOU SPEAK.

19

- 20 JOSE CASTANEDA: JOSE CASTANEDA AND I WAS JUST BRINGING TO THE
- 21 COURT'S-- I MEAN THE SUPERVISORS' ATTENTION A SETTLEMENT THAT
- 22 TOOK PLACE YEARS AGO. WE JUST DISCOVERED THAT SOMETHING
- 23 INAPPROPRIATE WAS NOT HANDLED AND IT IS BASICALLY THE WRONG
- 24 DISTRIBUTIONAL SETTLEMENT THAT WAS APPROVED BY THE BOARD.



MALE VOICE: DID YOU WANT TO SUBMIT THESE?

2

3 JOSE CASTANEDA: YES.

4

5 MALE VOICE: OKAY. I'D BE HAPPY TO SUBMIT THEM.

6

7 JOSE CASTANEDA: THAT'S ALL. THANK YOU, SIR.

8

9 MALE VOICE: THANK YOU.

- 11 MIKI JACKSON: OKAY. MIKI JACKSON. I WANT TO FIRST THANK
- 12 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY FOR HIS MOTION ON TRYING TO DO
- 13 SOMETHING ABOUT THE THIRD ROUND OF THE SYPHILIS PROBLEM HERE.
- 14 I THINK IT'S THREE ROUNDS WE'VE GONE. I JUST WANTED TO KIND OF
- 15 OUTLINE WHAT WE SEE AS IMPORTANT COMPONENTS OF THAT CAMPAIGN,
- 16 ACTUALLY, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY DID A PRETTY THOROUGH JOB OF
- 17 OUTLINING THEM, BUT WE THINK WE SHOULD HAVE A MEDIA CAMPAIGN
- 18 THAT EDUCATES, AS WELL AS MAKES PEOPLE AWARE OF SYPHILIS, THAT
- 19 EDUCATES THEM ABOUT SYPHILIS, THAT BRINGS PEOPLE IN FOR
- 20 TESTING, THAT INCLUDES RISK REDUCTION INFORMATION, THAT TALKS
- 21 ABOUT THINGS SUCH AS CONDOMS, WHICH IS NOT A HUNDRED PERCENT
- 22 FOR SYPHILIS BUT IT HELPS, AND IT REALLY HELPS IN THE
- 23 TRANSMISSION OF H.I.V., WHICH WE'RE GETTING A LOT OF PEOPLE
- 24 WITH H.I.V. HERE WHO HAVE SYPHILIS AND IF THEY'RE TRANSMITTING
- 25 SYPHILIS, THEY COULD BE TRANSMITTING H.I.V. WE NEED TO EDUCATE



- 1 OUR PROVIDERS, THE PROVIDERS THAT COULD POSSIBLY-- WE NEED TO
- 2 REACH OUT TO MORE PROVIDERS THAN WE HAVE HAD BEFORE AND WE
- 3 NEED TO EDUCATE THOSE PROVIDERS SO THEY CAN HELP US EDUCATE
- 4 PEOPLE AND GET PEOPLE INTO TESTING FOR SYPHILIS. WE NEED
- 5 STREET LEVEL TESTING FOR SYPHILIS. WE NEED TO STRENGTHEN OUR
- 6 COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS, WE NEED TO HAVE THIS TESTING AVAILABLE
- 7 IN SEX CLUBS AND, IN SHORT, WE NEED A MULTIFACETED STRATEGY,
- 8 AND WE NEED IT NOT TO STOP THE MINUTE THE NUMBERS GO DOWN A
- 9 LITTLE BIT. THAT'S HOW WE'VE ENDED UP HERE WITH THREE
- 10 DIFFERENT PHASES OF THIS IS, THE MINUTE THE NUMBERS GO DOWN A
- 11 LITTLE BIT, WE STOP, WHICH IS NOT IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF US
- 12 OR OF THE PUBLIC. WE URGE YOU TO CONSIDER A PROGRAM THAT MIGHT
- 13 BE A THREE-YEAR PROGRAM SO THAT WE DON'T HAVE YET A FOURTH
- 14 ITERATION OF THIS AND HAVE IT SPREAD TO EVEN MORE COMMUNITIES.
- 15 THANK YOU.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. OKAY. WE ARE GOING TO
- 18 RECESS INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION.

- 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS,
- 21 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL
- 22 CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM CS-2, CONFERENCE
- 23 WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS, DAVID E. JANSSEN AND STAFF AS
- 24 INDICATED ON THE POSTED SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. WE WILL RECONVENE
- 25 THE BOARD MEETING AT 1:00 TO HEAR ITEM 68.



1

2 SUP. MOLINA: ARE WE ADJOURNING?

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO. EXECUTIVE SESSION.

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY, BEFORE WE GO INTO CLOSED SESSION, I HAVE A
- 7 CONCERN. I'D LIKE TO PUT IN A MOTION. I'VE BEEN TRYING TO
- 8 FOLLOW AROUND THIS CORRECTIVE ACTION ON THE STELLA CASE IN
- 9 D.P.S.S. AND I'M VERY TROUBLED THAT WE HAVE ANOTHER LEGAL CASE
- 10 HERE DID NOT GO THROUGH THE COST LITIGATION MANAGER, THERE WAS
- 11 NO EVALUATION THAT WAS DONE BY OUR DEPARTMENT, BY OUR COUNTY
- 12 COUNSEL AND SO I'M GOING TO ASK THAT WE GET A FORMAL AUDIT
- 13 DONE OF ALL OF THE CASES. THIS ISN'T HIT AND MISS. WE DID A
- 14 COST LITIGATION MANAGER OVER THREE YEARS AGO. WE SAID ALL OF
- 15 OUR CASES WERE TO GO THROUGH ROUNDTABLE. THESE LAWYERS ARE
- 16 TAKING US IN AND OUT OF TOWN, SPENDING \$145,000 TO RESOLVE A
- 17 CASE THAT SHOULD HAVE COST US A LOT LESS THAN THAT AND THEY
- 18 DID ANY OF THE WORK AND I'M JUST REALLY UPSET ABOUT IT. MR.
- 19 FORTNER TELLS ME HE HAD A DEPARTMENT HEAD WHO DIDN'T BELIEVE
- 20 IN THE ROUNDTABLE PROCESS AND I DON'T THINK THEY'RE ENTITLED
- 21 TO DO THAT, NOT WHEN BOARD MEMBERS HAVE PASSED THIS AND SO I'M
- 22 ASKING FOR AN AUDIT. I THINK ALL OF THESE CASES NEED TO BE
- 23 REVIEWED AND MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE ON TRACK. THERE'S NONE OF
- 24 THESE CASES SHOULD BE GOING OUTSIDE OF THE SYSTEM. THESE
- 25 PRIVATE LAWYERS ARE TAKING US ALL OVER TOWN. THE REPORTS THAT



- 1 SHE PREPARED ARE PROBABLY WORTH \$145,000, ONLY TO TELL US,
- 2 EVERY SINGLE REPORT, THAT WE'RE GOING TO LOSE THE CASE.
- 3 BECAUSE WE HAD NO DEFENSE FROM DAY ONE. SO I'D LIKE TO ASK FOR
- 4 AN AUDIT.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. WE'D ASK FOR A REPORT ON THAT.
- 7 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: I WANT AN AUDIT DONE, SO WHAT WOULD I DO, ASK FOR
- 10 A REPORT?

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE: YOU HAVE TO DO THE AUDIT. YOU PROBABLY HAVE TO DO
- 13 A MOTION.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YOU WANT TO DO A REPORT BACK ON THE
- 16 AUDIT OR DO YOU WANT TO PUT A MOTION IN TODAY FOR NEXT WEEK'S
- 17 AGENDA?

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: I'LL PUT A MOTION IN TODAY.

20

21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: FOR NEXT WEEK.

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: THAT THIS BE A FULL SCALE AUDIT OF COUNTY COUNSEL
- 24 MAKING SURE THEY'RE FOLLOWING THEIR OWN PROCESSES AND



- 1 PROCEDURES. I CAN'T CONTINUE TO BE DOING THIS. THIS IS
- 2 OUTRAGEOUS.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. WE'LL GO INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION.

5

6 [RECESS FOR EXECUTIVE SESSION]

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. THE MEETING WILL CONTINUE FOR
- 9 THE JUNE 7TH MEETING OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF
- 10 SUPERVISORS AND WE HAVE A SET ITEM. EXECUTIVE OFFICE WILL CALL
- 11 US TO ORDER.

12

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, FIRST OF
- 14 ALL, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MET TODAY, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 7TH,
- 15 2006, IN CLOSED SESSION ON ITEM CS-2, CONFERENCE WITH LABOR
- 16 NEGOTIATORS DAVID E. JANSSEN AND DESIGNATED STAFF. THERE WAS
- 17 NO REPORTABLE ACTION. ON PUBLIC HEARING ITEM 68, ALL THOSE WHO
- 18 PLAN TO TESTIFY BEFORE THE BOARD, PLEASE STAND AND RAISE YOUR
- 19 RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN IN. [ADMINISTERING OATH]

- 21 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THANK YOU. ON ITEM 68, DE NOVO HEARING ON
- 22 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, CASE NUMBER 00-194-5, AN ADDENDUM TO
- 23 FILE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT AND FINAL SUBSEQUENT
- 24 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, TO AUTHORIZE THE CONTINUED
- 25 OPERATION OF THE SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFALL, A CLASS 3



- 1 NONHAZARDOUS SOLID WASTE LANDFALL FACILITY, AND MODIFICATIONS
- 2 TO PREVIOUSLY APPROVED CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT CASE NUMBER 86-
- 3 312-5.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. HAVE STAFF RESPOND.

- 7 FRANK MENESES: GOOD MORNING, MAYOR ANTONOVICH, MEMBERS OF THE
- 8 BOARD. MY NAME IS FRANK MENESES, I'M THE ADMINISTRATOR WITH
- 9 THE CURRENT PLANNING DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
- 10 PLANNING. TO MY RIGHT I HAVE MARIA MASIS AND DR. KOUTNIK FROM
- 11 MY STAFF WHO WILL BE HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY
- 12 HAVE. WE ALSO HAVE REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 13 PUBLIC WORKS AND THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES THAT WILL
- 14 BE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE. THE
- 15 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT BEFORE YOU WOULD ESSENTIALLY SUPERSEDE
- 16 AN EXISTING C.U.P. THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY YOUR BOARD
- 17 IN 1993. BY WAY OF BACKGROUND, THE SUBJECT LANDFILL WAS
- 18 OPERATING ON THE CITY'S SIDE OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY SINCE
- 19 1958. IN 1991, A CITY VARIANCE EXPIRED AND THE OPERATOR, BFI,
- 20 THEN APPLIED FOR AN EXTENSION INTO THE COUNTY PORTION OF THE
- 21 PROPERTY. IN 1993, YOUR BOARD AGAIN APPROVED THE COUNTY
- 22 LANDFILL AFTER A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT HAD BEEN REACHED WITH
- 23 THE CITY WHEREBY THE CITY WITHDREW A LAWSUIT THAT THEY HAD
- 24 FILED IN EXCHANGE FOR THE COUNTY DROPPING A CITY EXCLUSION
- 25 PROVISION WHICH WOULD HAVE PROHIBITED CITY TRASH FROM BEING



- 1 PLACED IN THE COUNTY LANDFILL IF THE CITY DID NOT APPROVAL
- 2 ADDITIONAL LANDFILL CAPACITY WITHIN THEIR JURISDICTION. AT
- 3 THAT TIME, IT WAS THE BOARD'S STATED INTENT TO ENCOURAGE THE
- 4 CITY OF LOS ANGELES TO PERMIT SUBSTANTIAL LANDFILL CAPACITY IN
- 5 THEIR RESPECTIVE JURISDICTION IN ORDER TO MEET THE CITY'S NEED
- 6 FOR SUCH DISPOSAL. THE BOARD'S INTENT AT THAT TIME WAS ALSO TO
- 7 MAXIMIZE AND CONSERVE, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, LANDFILL
- 8 CAPACITY DUE TO CONCERNS AT THAT TIME OVER IMPENDING SHORTAGES
- 9 IN THE FUTURE DUE TO ANTICIPATED CLOSURES OF OTHER LANDFILLS
- 10 IN THE COUNTY. ONE OF THE WAYS TO DO THIS WAS TO EMPLOY
- 11 MAXIMIZATION OF WASTE COMPACTION TECHNIQUES. IT WAS ALSO
- 12 INDICATED BY THE BOARD'S APPROVAL THAT A CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL
- 13 WAS ENVISIONED THAT WOULD GENERALLY BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH A
- 14 DESIGN THAT HAD BEEN DESCRIBED IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
- 15 REPORT AT THAT TIME. THE LANDFILL INITIALLY DESCRIBED IN THE
- 16 E.I.R. WAS A 215 MILLION TON CAPACITY DESIGN THAT WOULD
- 17 STRADDLE THE CITY/COUNTY BOUNDARY AND MITIGATION MEASURES WERE
- 18 RECOMMENDED FOR THIS LARGER LANDFILL, WHICH WERE INCORPORATED
- 19 IN A MUCH SMALLER LANDFILL THAT WAS ACTUALLY APPROVED. THE
- 20 COUNTY PERMIT ALSO ALLOWED FOR AN AUTOMATIC INCREMENTAL
- 21 EXPANSION IN THE COUNTY FROM AN ESTIMATED 17 MILLION TONS TO
- 22 35 MILLION TONS, MAINLY BY FILLING OF A SO-CALLED BRIDGE AREA
- 23 BETWEEN THE LANDFALL AND THE CITY/COUNTY LINE. THIS WOULD BE
- 24 SUBJECT TO THE CITY'S APPROVAL AND ALSO WHEN FOUND TO BE
- 25 NECESSARY BY THE COUNTY. IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR DIRECTION,



- 1 THE OPERATOR INDEED PURSUED AND WON APPROVAL FOR A LANDFILL
- 2 CAPACITY IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES. THE CITY, IN 1999,
- 3 APPROVED A GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT AND A ZONE CHANGE TO ALLOW
- 4 FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A 55 MILLION TON CAPACITY LANDFILL
- 5 DESIGNED TO EVENTUALLY TIE INTO THE COUNTY LANDFILL. IN ORDER
- 6 TO PROVIDE FOR A MORE EFFICIENT OPERATION OF A SINGLE
- 7 LANDFILL, THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES CONDITIONED THE PROJECT,
- 8 SUBJECT TO GETTING THE APPROPRIATE COUNTY APPROVALS FOR
- 9 RECIPROCAL LANDFILLING ONCE THE CITY COUNTY LANDFILL KICKED
- 10 IN. THAT IS, THAT BOTH THE COUNTY'S AND CITY'S DAILY INTAKE
- 11 CAPACITIES, TOTALING AN AVERAGE OF 11,000 TONS PER DAY, 6,000
- 12 IN THE COUNTY AND 5,000 IN THE CITY, COULD BE DEPOSITED ON
- 13 EITHER SIDE OF THE JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARY LINE. HOWEVER, THE
- 14 CITY REQUIRED A THREE PHASE APPROVAL PROCESS, STIPULATING
- 15 THAT, PRIOR TO GOING BEYOND PHASE I, WHICH IS CHARACTERIZED AS
- 16 THE CITY LANDFILL IN THE CITY'S APPROVAL DOCUMENTS, A NUMBER
- 17 OF THINGS WOULD HAVE TO OCCUR, INCLUDING A JOINT POWERS
- 18 AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND THE CITY, AND THIS AGREEMENT
- 19 WOULD ALLOW FOR COLLABORATION ON PERMITTING, INSPECTION,
- 20 CLOSURE, SUPERVISION AND ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY AT THE LANDFILL.
- 21 ALTHOUGH THERE WERE SOME INITIAL DISCUSSIONS ON THIS, WHILE WE
- 22 WERE REVIEWING THIS CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, IT IS ANTICIPATED
- 23 THAT THIS J.P.A. WOULD FOLLOW AFTER AN APPROVAL ACTION OF THE
- 24 PERMIT THAT'S BEFORE YOU. AS A RESULT OF THE NEED TO HAVE
- 25 COUNTY APPROVAL FOR RECIPROCAL FILLING ARRANGEMENT AS WELL AS



- 1 TO MAKE THE TWO APPROVALS MORE CONSISTENT WITH EACH OTHER, THE
- 2 APPLICANT APPLIED FOR THE C.U.P. THAT IS NOW BEFORE YOU.
- 3 ADDITIONALLY, HOWEVER, COUNTY STAFF TOOK THE OPPORTUNITY TO
- 4 REVISIT THE ENTIRE C.U.P. AND HAVE, IN OUR BELIEF,
- 5 STRENGTHENED MANY OF THE EXISTING CONDITIONS, INCLUDING
- 6 PLACING PENALTIES FOR NONCOMPLIANCE, INSURANCE, FINANCIAL
- 7 ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS, ENHANCED LINER REQUIREMENTS, ENHANCED
- 8 OVERSIGHT AND MONITORING OF WASTE ORIGIN, ENHANCED FEES FOR
- 9 COMMUNITY BENEFITS, APPROXIMATELY 65 MILLION IS OUR ESTIMATE,
- 10 AMONG MANY OTHERS. IN ADDITION AND MORE IMPORTANTLY IS THE
- 11 FACT THAT THE COUNTY IS ALSO AGAIN RECOMMENDING A CITY
- 12 EXCLUSION PROVISION THAT WOULD BAR CITY TRASH IN THE EVENT OF
- 13 THE CITY TERMINATING THEIR APPROVAL OR FRUSTRATING PROGRESSION
- 14 INTO THE CITY/COUNTY DESIGN IN THE FUTURE. AS YOUR BOARD
- 15 KNOWS, BASED ON AN OPEN DISCUSSION THAT YOU HAD IN 2002, THERE
- 16 HAS BEEN MUCH CONCERN EXPRESSED OVER THE THEN CITY MAYOR'S
- 17 WILLINGNESS TO CLOSE THE CITY LANDFILL, CONTRARY TO THE
- 18 DIRECTION THAT YOUR BOARD EXPRESSED IN 1993 AND,
- 19 COINCIDENTALLY, THERE WAS ANOTHER ARTICLE IN THE NEWSPAPER
- 20 RECENTLY INDICATING OPPOSITION FROM THE CURRENT MAYOR AS WELL.
- 21 DESPITE THIS, THE CITY HAS OBJECTED TO THE COUNTY'S PROPOSED
- 22 CITY EXCLUSION, CITING A VIOLATION OF INTERSTATE LAW. I WOULD
- 23 MENTION HERE, HOWEVER, THAT THE CITY'S APPROVAL ITSELF BANS
- 24 OUT-OF-COUNTY TRASH, WHICH WOULD BE APPEAR TO BE SOMEWHAT
- 25 INCONSISTENT WITH THE SENTIMENT THAT THEY'VE EXPRESSED. WITH



- 1 RESPECT TO THE C.U.P. BEFORE YOU, I WOULD LIKE MISS MASIS HERE
- 2 TO RELATE TO YOU THE PROCEEDINGS THAT TOOK PLACE BEFORE THE
- 3 PLANNING COMMISSION, INCLUDING THEIR DENIAL ACTION, UNLESS YOU
- 4 HAVE ANY IMMEDIATE QUESTIONS FOR ME RIGHT NOW. THANK YOU.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME ASK JUST ONE QUESTION ON THE--
- 7 WE PUT A CAP ON THE NUMBER OF YEARS, I KNOW QUANTY LANDFILL,
- 8 CHIQUITA, COULD BE IN OPERATION. WERE YOU INVOLVED IN THAT
- 9 PROCESS?

10

- 11 FRANK MENESES: NO, SUPERVISOR-- MAYOR, I WAS NOT INVOLVED IN
- 12 THE CRAFTING OF THE CONDITIONS ON THAT PARTICULAR...

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE DID THAT AT THE SANITATION
- 15 DISTRICT.

16

17 FRANK MENESES: THAT IS CORRECT.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES, MA'AM.

- 21 MARIA MASIS: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON. MARIA MASIS, REGIONAL
- 22 PLANNING. AS STATED, THE LANDFILL OPERATOR, BFI, HAS APPLIED
- 23 FOR A REPLACEMENT C.U.P. TO ALLOW FOR THE RECIPROCAL FILLING
- 24 ARRANGEMENT, AS WELL AS TO MAKE THE CITY AND COUNTY APPROVALS
- 25 MORE CONSISTENT WITH EACH OTHER. THE REGIONAL PLANNING



- 1 COMMISSION HEARD THIS REQUEST AT FIVE PUBLIC HEARINGS BETWEEN
- 2 DECEMBER 2004 AND NOVEMBER 2005. ONE OF THESE HEARINGS WERE
- 3 HELD IN THE COMMUNITY AT THE GRANADA HILLS HIGH SCHOOL AND THE
- 4 COMMISSION ALSO TOOK A FIELD TRIP TO THE LANDFILL AND THE
- 5 SURROUNDING AREA IN MARCH OF 2005. AFTER HEARING SUBSTANTIAL
- 6 TESTIMONY ON THIS CASE, THE COMMISSION STATED ITS INTENT TO
- 7 DENY THE PROJECT AFTER A 3-2 VOTE ON NOVEMBER 21ST, 2005. THE
- 8 DENIAL WAS THEREAFTER CONFIRMED ON DECEMBER 21ST, 2005. THE
- 9 COMMISSION BASES DENIAL ON MAINLY TWO ISSUES: FIRSTLY, THE
- 10 LACK OF A SET CLOSURE DATE FOR THE LANDFILL AND THE EFFECT
- 11 THIS WOULD HAVE ON THE COMMUNITY AND, SECONDLY, THE COMMISSION
- 12 MADE THE FINDING THAT THE TRAFFIC IMPACTS OF THE LANDFILL
- 13 OPERATION HAVE NOT BEEN MITIGATED TO ACCEPTABLE LEVELS.
- 14 REGARDING THE CLOSURE DATE, THE COUNTY AS WELL AS THE CITY
- 15 PORTION OF THE SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL ARE BOTH CURRENTLY
- 16 OPERATING WITHOUT A SET CLOSURE DATE. INSTEAD, LANDFILLING
- 17 LIMITS ARE SET BY ELEVATION AND APPROVED FILL DESIGNS. THE
- 18 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS HAS CALCULATED THAT, TO COMPLETE
- 19 THE ESTIMATED 90 MILLION TON CAPACITY OF THE COUNTY LANDFILL
- 20 AND OPERATING AT AN AVERAGE DAILY DISPOSAL RATE OF AT LEAST
- 21 8,000 TONS, IT WOULD TAKE APPROXIMATELY 30 YEARS TO ALLOW FULL
- 22 USE OF THE LANDFILL. REGARDING THE TRAFFIC IMPACTS, TRAFFIC
- 23 IMPACTS IDENTIFIED IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS FOR THE
- 24 LANDFILL OPERATION HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN DETERMINED MITIGATED,
- 25 AS REQUIRED IN THE PROJECT E.I.R.S. IN ADDITION, UNDER THE



- 1 REPLACEMENT C.U.P., A 50-CENT PER TON DISPOSAL FEE WOULD BE
- 2 PAID BY BFI TO FUND MITIGATION OF RECURRING TRAFFIC IMPACTS.
- 3 THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES HAS ALSO INDICATED IN A LETTER TO THE
- 4 COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS THAT ALL TRAFFIC IMPACTS
- 5 HAVE BEEN SATISFACTORILY MITIGATED. MITIGATION FOR THE
- 6 PROJECT-RELATED TRAFFIC IMPACTS HAVE TODAY BEEN COMPLETED BY
- 7 THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, AS ALL AFFECTED INTERSECTION AND
- 8 ROADWAYS ARE LOCATED IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND NONE IN
- 9 THE UNINCORPORATED COUNTY. IN ADDITION TO THE ISSUES OF A
- 10 CLOSURE DATE AND TRAFFIC IMPACTS, THE NORTH VALLEY COALITION,
- 11 WHICH REPRESENT THE KEY OPPOSITION IN THIS CASE, HAS STATED
- 12 SEVERAL CONCERNS ABOUT THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. CONDITIONS SUCH
- 13 THAT THE CITY'S CONDITIONS ARE MORE PROTECTIVE AND PROVIDE
- 14 STRICTER CONDITIONS AND THAT LOOPHOLES EXIST IN THE DRAFT
- 15 CONDITIONS OF THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. MANY OF THESE ISSUES WILL
- 16 SURELY BE BROUGHT FORWARD TODAY AS THE BOARD HEARS THE
- 17 APPLICANTS' APPEAL OF THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION'S
- 18 DECISIONS TO DENY THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. APPLICATION. AND,
- 19 FINALLY, AS MENTIONED, WE DO HAVE STAFF HERE FROM PLANNING
- 20 DEPARTMENT, AS WELL AS PUBLIC WORKS AND THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT
- 21 TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE. AND THAT WILL
- 22 CONCLUDE OUR PRESENTATION.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME ASK, IS STAFF FAMILIAR WITH THE

25 LETTER WE HAD RECEIVED MAY 17TH RELATIVE -- FROM THE SOUTH



- 1 COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, WHERE THEY STATE AND
- 2 I'LL QUOTE: "THE AQMD STAFF REQUEST THAT THE MODIFIED C.U.P.
- 3 INCLUDE A PROVISION THAT WOULD REQUIRE THE OPERATION OF
- 4 ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCKS AT THE ENTIRE LANDFILL, CONSISTENT
- 5 WITH ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCK OPERATION REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE
- 6 CURRENTLY APPLICABLE TO CITY'S SIDE OF THE LANDFILL."

8 FRANK MENESES: MAYOR, WE HAVE NOT READ THAT LETTER. I JUST GOT

9 A COPY OF IT RIGHT NOW. WE'LL BE HAPPY TO LOOK AT IT.

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I WANT TO HAVE THAT INFORMATION

- 12 INCLUDED IN WHATEVER COMES OUT OF THIS HEARING TODAY. THANK
- 13 YOU.

7

10

14

- 15 FRANK MENESES: THAT CONCLUDES STAFF'S PRESENTATION.
- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THIS ISSUE HAS BEEN BEFORE US SINCE
- 18 1958, WHICH PREDATES ANY OF OUR SERVICE ON THIS BOARD.
- 19 RESIDENTS HAVE HAD TO DEAL WITH THE ADVERSE IMPACTS OF THIS
- 20 LANDFILL, AMONGST OTHERS, THE TRUCK TRAFFIC. I'VE PERSONALLY
- 21 BEEN OUT THERE AND SEEN THE DEBRIS BLOWING ALONG AT THE SITE,
- 22 IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND ALONG THE FREEWAY THAT I TRAVEL, ALONG
- 23 WITH THE-- YOU HAVE THE PROBLEM HERE OF FUMES AND ODORS AND
- 24 THE HEALTH HAZARDS, EMISSIONS FROM THE DIESEL TRUCKS AND
- 25 EQUIPMENT AND THAT'S WHY THAT INFORMATION FROM AQMD IS SO



- 1 RELEVANT. IN PARTICULAR, THEY ARE JUSTIFIABLY ALARMED OVER THE
- 2 HIGH LEVEL OF CANCER RATES SOME OF THE NEIGHBORS HAVE HAD.
- 3 FURTHERMORE, THEY'RE QUITE FRUSTRATED OVER FALSE ASSURANCES
- 4 THAT HAVE BEEN GIVEN FROM TIME TO TIME OVER THIS TIME OF 48
- 5 YEARS, WHERE THEY'VE BEEN ASSURED THAT THERE WOULD BE AN END
- 6 IN SIGHT, ONLY TO HAVE THOSE PROMISES FAIL TO MATERIALIZE AND
- 7 SIMILAR TO OTHER RESIDENTS WHO RESIDE, FOR EXAMPLE, IN SAN
- 8 GABRIEL VALLEY AT THE LA PUENTE LANDFILL WHERE WE DID PUT IN A
- 9 CAP, A TIMEFRAME THAT THEY WOULD HAVE TO CEASE OPERATION.
- 10 THAT, HERE, THEY DON'T HAVE SUCH A GUARANTEE. AS A RESULT,
- 11 AGAIN, BRINGS BACK FALSE PROMISES THAT HAVE BEEN MADE IN THE
- 12 PAST, SO, HAVING SOME TYPE OF TIMEFRAME IS IMPORTANT IF THIS
- 13 ISSUE PROCEEDS.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE: THAT TIMEFRAME WAS PUT IN ABOUT THE SECOND OR
- 16 THIRD TIME AROUND. THE FIRST FEW TIMES IT WAS DENIED.

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND AT CHIQUITA CANYON, THEY ALSO HAVE
- 19 ONE AS WELL THAT WE PUT IN. LET ME FIRST CALL THE APPLICANT
- 20 AND THEN WE HAVE OTHERS FROM THE COMMUNITY WHO WISH TO
- 21 PARTICIPATE. WE HAVE DAVID EDWARDS AND THEN JUAN NOGUEZ, N-O-
- 22 G-U-E-Z, GREGORY NORDBACK, RON GASTELUM AND I'M GOING TO CALL
- 23 YOU UP ONE AT A TIME SO, AFTER YOU CONCLUDE YOUR SPEAKING,
- 24 I'LL CALL UP THE NEXT PERSON WHEN YOU RETURN TO YOUR SEAT, SO
- 25 WE'LL KEEP FOUR PEOPLE UP HERE AT ALL TIMES, OKAY? THREE



- 1 MINUTES EACH OR, IF YOU WANT TO REDUCE IT, YOU CAN DO THAT AS
- 2 WELL. SO, DAVID, JUAN IS HERE, AND GREGORY IS HERE. AND IS RON
- 3 HERE? JUST BEFORE YOU SPEAK, GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD SO
- 4 THE CLERK WILL HAVE THAT INFORMATION.

5

- 6 DAVID EDWARDS: DAVID EDWARDS, BFI. MR. MAYOR, AS AN APPLICANT,
- 7 WOULD IT BE OKAY FOR ME TO GIVE ABOUT SIX MINUTES FOR A
- 8 PREPARED SPEECH THAT I HAVE?

9

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES, FOR THE APPLICANT, YES.

- 12 DAVID EDWARDS: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD MEMBERS. MY
- 13 NAME IS DAVE EDWARDS. I AM THE PROJECT DIRECTOR FOR THE
- 14 SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL PROJECT. I'M HERE TODAY TO REQUEST
- 15 YOUR APPROVAL OF A REPLACEMENT C.U.P. WHICH WILL ALLOW THE
- 16 SEPARATE OPERATING L.A. COUNTY AND CITY LANDFILLS IN SUNSHINE
- 17 CANYON TO COMBINE AND OPERATE AS A SINGLE, MORE EFFICIENT
- 18 LANDFILL. AS PART OF THE APPROVAL FOR THE CURRENT CONDITIONAL
- 19 USE PERMIT FOR THE LANDFILL ISSUED IN 1993, THE BOARD OF
- 20 SUPERVISORS DIRECTED BFI TO OBTAIN ALL PERMITS NEEDED TO
- 21 RESUME LANDFILL OPERATIONS ON THE CITY'S SIDE OF SUNSHINE
- 22 CANYON AND THEN PROVIDE FOR A COMBINED COUNTY/CITY LANDFILL
- 23 OPERATION. WE HAVE DONE THAT. BFI RECEIVED ALL PERMITS FROM
- 24 THE CITY IN 1999 AND THE CITY LANDFILL BEGAN OPERATION IN JULY
- 25 2005. WE ARE NOW AT THE FINAL STEP OF THIS PROCESS OBTAINING



- 1 THIS BOARD'S APPROVAL OF THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. THAT WILL
- 2 ALLOW FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMBINED LANDFILL. THERE ARE
- 3 THREE ISSUES I'D LIKE TO COVER TODAY. FIRST, WHAT THE
- 4 REPLACEMENT C.U.P. WILL DO. SECOND, AND JUST AS IMPORTANT,
- 5 WHAT THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. DOES NOT DO AND, THIRD, A RESPONSE
- 6 TO THE COMMISSION'S DENIAL FINDINGS WHICH, IN LIGHT OF THE
- 7 FACTS, ACTUALLY SUPPORT APPROVAL, NOT DENIAL. WHAT DOES THE
- 8 REPLACEMENT C.U.P. DO? IT WILL ALLOW FOR A SINGLE, MORE
- 9 EFFICIENT LANDFILL OPERATION AS OPPOSED TO TWO SEPARATE
- 10 OPERATIONS. IT WILL RESULT IN LESS AIR POLLUTION SINCE
- 11 EOUIPMENT AND TRUCKS WILL BE FOCUSED ON A SINGLE WORKING FACE
- 12 RATHER THAN TWO AND IT WILL MAXIMIZE COUNTY REVENUES BY FULLY
- 13 UTILIZING AVAILABLE AND ALREADY PERMITTED LANDFILL CAPACITY.
- 14 IN FACT, THE COUNTY WILL RECEIVE MORE THAN \$65 MILLION IN NEW
- 15 FEES AND BENEFITS, IN ADDITION TO A 10% BUSINESS TAX APPLIED
- 16 TO WASTE PLACED ON THE COUNTY'S SIDE OF THE LANDFILL. AS FOR
- 17 WHAT THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. WILL NOT DO, IT WILL NOT INCREASE
- 18 THE ALREADY APPROVED TOTAL WASTE INTAKE RATE AT SUNSHINE. IT
- 19 WILL NOT INCREASE THE ALREADY APPROVED WASTE DISPOSAL CAPACITY
- 20 OF THE LANDFILL, IT WILL NOT CHANGE THE HORIZONTAL LIMITS OR
- 21 VERTICAL CONTOURS. IT WILL NOT INCREASE THE PROJECT LIFE
- 22 BEYOND THAT ALREADY PROVIDED FOR IN THE COUNTY AND CITY
- 23 APPROVALS. IN FACT, A COMBINED LANDFILL WILL ACTUALLY HAVE A
- 24 PROJECTED LIFE SHORTER THAN THE LIFE OF THE SEPARATE CITY
- 25 LANDFILL. AND, FINALLY, IT WILL NOT CAUSE ANY ENVIRONMENTAL



- 1 IMPACTS THAT EXCEED THOSE ALREADY CONSIDERED AND MITIGATED IN
- 2 TWO CERTIFIED E.I.R.S. IF THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. IS NOT
- 3 APPROVED, NOTHING WILL CHANGE REGARDING THE INDEPENDENT
- 4 OPERATIONS OF THE COUNTY SIDE OR CITY SIDE OF THE LANDFILL.
- 5 BOTH WILL CONTINUE TO OPERATE UNDER CURRENT APPROVALS TO FULL
- 6 DAILY AND OVERALL CAPACITY. REGARDING THE COMMISSION'S DENIAL
- 7 FINDINGS, WE FIND THEM CONFUSING AND, IN SOME AREAS, JUST
- 8 SIMPLY INCORRECT. OUR SUBMITTAL MATERIALS RESPOND TO FINDINGS
- 9 IN DETAIL BUT LET ME CORRECT A FEW ERRORS. FIRST, THE
- 10 COMMISSION HAS STATED THAT THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. DOES NOT
- 11 SERVE A SUBSTANTIAL NEED FOR WASTE DISPOSAL CAPACITY IN THAT
- 12 ANY SERVICE OF NEED IS OUTWEIGHED BY IMPACTS ON THE COMMUNITY.
- 13 THEY BASE THIS PRIMARILY ON THE CLAIM THAT THERE WOULD BE
- 14 UNMITIGATED TRAFFIC IMPACTS. THE FACTS SHOW JUST THE OPPOSITE.
- 15 THE COUNTYWIDE SIGHTING ELEMENT STATES THAT SUNSHINE CANYON
- 16 LANDFILL IS NEEDED TO SERVE THE WASTE DISPOSAL NEEDS OF L.A.
- 17 COUNTY AND, REGARDING TRAFFIC, YOUR OWN EXPERTS IN PUBLIC
- 18 WORKS HAVE STATED THAT THE PROJECTED TRAFFIC IMPACTS OF THE
- 19 COMBINED COUNTY/CITY LANDFILL HAVE BEEN MITIGATED TO
- 20 ACCEPTABLE LEVELS. THE COMMISSION IS ALSO MISTAKEN IN CLAIMING
- 21 THAT THE PROPOSED PROJECT DOES NOT MEET THE C.U.P. BURDEN OF
- 22 PROOF. THE TWO E.I.R.S FOR THE LANDFILL ESTABLISH THAT JOINING
- 23 THE TWO LANDFILLS WILL NOT ADVERSELY IMPACT THE COMMUNITY. I
- 24 WISH TO STRESS THAT WE HAVE WORKED EXTREMELY HARD WITH COUNTY
- 25 DEPARTMENTS, THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION, YOUR BOARD



- 1 OFFICES AND THE COMMUNITY IN PROCESSING THIS REPLACEMENT
- 2 C.U.P. THE RESULTING C.U.P. MAKES GOOD SENSE FOR A NUMBER OF
- 3 REASONS. FIRST, A COMBINED CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL IS GOOD FOR
- 4 THE COMMUNITY AND THE ENVIRONMENT. THE COMBINED OPERATION,
- 5 WITH LESS EQUIPMENT AND A MORE EFFICIENT TRUCK PATTERN, WILL
- 6 LOWER AIR EMISSIONS AND POLLUTANTS. IT'S GOOD FOR THE COUNTY
- 7 AND THAT IS A KEY COMPONENT OF THE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN
- 8 AND IT ASSISTS THE CITIES WITHIN THE COUNTY IN MEETING THEIR
- 9 AB-939 REQUIREMENTS. FINALLY, AS SAID BEFORE, IT WILL PROVIDE
- 10 OVER \$65 MILLION TO SUPPORT ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS, RECYCLING
- 11 AND ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGIES, TRAFFIC IMPROVEMENTS AND
- 12 COMMUNITY PROGRAMS. ADDITIONALLY, AS YOU CAN SEE FROM LETTERS
- 13 CONTAINED IN YOUR APPEAL MATERIAL BINDER, THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL
- 14 COUNTYWIDE SUPPORT FOR THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. FROM THE
- 15 COMMUNITY, BUSINESSES AND BUSINESS GROUPS AND ELECTED
- 16 OFFICIALS. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SUBMIT TO THE BOARD A SUPPORT
- 17 LETTER FROM OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL 12 WHO REPRESENT OUR
- 18 LANDFILL EMPLOYEES AND A SECOND LETTER FROM OVER 190 DRIVERS
- 19 AND MECHANICS FROM OUR HAULING COMPANIES HERE IN THE L.A. AREA
- 20 WHO ARE REPRESENTED BY THE TEAMSTERS LOCAL 396. THANK YOU FOR
- 21 THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TODAY. I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT
- 22 YOU APPROVE THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. FOR A COMBINED OPERATION OF
- 23 SUNSHINE CANYON. AND, AGAIN, OUR TEAM IS HERE TO ANSWER ANY
- 24 QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE. THANK YOU.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. OKAY. JUAN.

2

3 JUAN NOGUEZ: GOOD AFTERNOON.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LIMIT YOU EACH NOW TO THREE MINUTES OR
- 6 LESS.

7

- 8 JUAN NOGUEZ: GOOD AFTERNOON. I COME FROM THE CITY OF
- 9 HUNTINGTON PARK, I BELIEVE YOUR BIRTHPLACE.

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MY BIRTHPLACE.

12

- 13 JUAN NOGUEZ: AND WE ARE TURNING 100 YEARS IN SEPTEMBER, SO I
- 14 HOPE THAT MAYBE YOU'LL RECEIVE THE INVITATION AND COME AND
- 15 VISIT US.

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IS MISSION HOSPITAL GOING TO BE OPEN?

- 19 JUAN NOGUEZ: MISSION HOSPITAL IS STILL OPEN AND IT LOOKS
- 20 FORWARD TO SEEING ONE OF IT FAVORITE SONS COME BACK HOME. IN
- 21 SAYING SO, I THINK A HUNDRED YEARS AGO, THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON
- 22 PARK REALIZED ITS TRASH NEEDS; HOWEVER, MONTEBELLO CLOSED AND
- 23 THEN LA PUENTE IS GETTING FULL. AS PART OF THE MAYORALSHIP, WE
- 24 SIT ON THE SANITATION DISTRICT BOARD AND I SEE THAT, IN THE
- 25 DISTANT FUTURE-- NOT TOO DISTANT FUTURE, WE WILL BE LOOKING AT



- 1 RAIL HAULING OUR TRASH FROM L.A. COUNTY TO THOSE LOCATIONS.
- 2 IT'S, OF COURSE, SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO ADDRESS DAILY.
- 3 RECYCLING IS VERY IMPORTANT AND I DEFINITELY ENCOURAGE
- 4 EVERYBODY TO CONTINUE THAT RECYCLING EFFORT, BUT OUR LANDFILLS
- 5 ARE VERY IMPORTANT TO US, WHAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE. I HAD THE
- 6 OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT THE SUNSHINE CANYON IN PART OF MY
- 7 INVESTIGATION AND I SAW THE NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES, BEAUTIFUL
- 8 COMMUNITIES. THEY ARE CLOSE BUT STILL WITHIN A PROXIMITY THAT
- 9 I DON'T FEEL THERE WAS A DANGER. I DON'T LIVE IN THOSE
- 10 COMMUNITIES. THE DAY THAT I WAS THERE WAS A BEAUTIFUL DAY, IT
- 11 DIDN'T SMELL. IN SAYING THAT, I SAW ECONOMIES OF SCALE AND/OR
- 12 POTENTIAL OF THE ECONOMIES OF SCALE THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO
- 13 HAVING THIS MERGER. IN SAYING THAT, I THINK THAT THIS IS
- 14 SOMETHING THAT THE COUNTY WILL CONTINUE TO BENEFIT BY HAVING,
- 15 EITHER WITH THE CITY OR AS A COUNTY BY ITSELF. I THINK YOU'VE
- 16 HEARD TESTIMONY OR HAVE RECEIVED THE PACKET THAT INDICATES
- 17 THAT ECONOMIES OF SCALE ARE POSSIBLE AND I AM HERE
- 18 REPRESENTING ONE OF THE CITIES THAT BENEFITS FROM THE SUNSHINE
- 19 CANYON LANDFILL AND BELIEVE THAT THE MERGER WOULD ONLY ADD
- 20 THAT MUCH MORE OF A POSITIVE OVERALL. YOU WOULD HAVE ONE
- 21 COMPACTOR, ONE TRUCK INSTEAD OF THE MULTIPLE LEVELS THAT YOU
- 22 HAVE ON THE DUAL CAPACITY AS WE KNOW IT TODAY. SO, WITH THAT,
- 23 I THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION THIS AFTERNOON AND WE
- 24 LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING YOU IN SEPTEMBER AT OUR 100TH BIRTHDAY.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MAYOR.

2

3 JUAN NOGUEZ: THANK YOU.

- 5 GREG NORDBACK: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS GREG NORDBACK AND
- 6 I'M STARTING MY 13TH YEAR AS A COUNCIL MEMBER IN THE CITY OF
- 7 WHITTIER, THREE-TIME MAYOR AND ALSO I SIT AS THE CHAIR OF THE
- 8 15TH DISTRICT L.A. COUNTY SANITATION BOARD. FIRST, I'D LIKE TO
- 9 CONGRATULATE GLORIA AND ZEV ON YOUR RE-ELECTIONS. WHAT A
- 10 WONDERFUL OPPORTUNITY YOU HAVE NOW TO PROTECT YOUR
- 11 CONSTITUENTS' RIGHTS AND POCKETBOOKS. I APPRECIATE THE
- 12 OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO YOU ABOUT THE SUNSHINE CANYON
- 13 LANDFILL. IN SHORT, I URGE YOU TO APPROVE THE REPLACEMENT
- 14 C.U.P. SO THE LANDFILL CAN OPERATE AS ONE UNIT MORE
- 15 EFFICIENTLY, AS OPPOSED TO BEING SPLIT. WE NEED TO MOVE
- 16 FORWARD IN AFFIRMING THE COUNTY'S ABILITY TO MANAGE ITS SOLID
- 17 WASTE. THE CITY OF WHITTIER COMPLETELY UNDERSTANDS THE ABILITY
- 18 OF SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT. WE OPERATE OUR OWN LANDFILL AND WE
- 19 HAVE THE PUENTE HILLS LANDFILL AS OUR NEIGHBOR. THERE ARE MANY
- 20 POSITIVES AND NEGATIVES ON THE SIDES OF IT BUT I THINK THAT,
- 21 IN LOOKING AT YOUR C.U.P. AS IT'S BEING PROPOSED TO YOU, I
- 22 THINK THEY'VE DONE A WONDERFUL JOB IN COMING UP WITH A
- 23 COMPROMISE THAT WOULD WORK TO THE BENEFIT OF ALL YOUR
- 24 CONSTITUENTS. LANDFILLS ARE A FACT OF LIFE FOR BUSINESSES AND
- 25 RESIDENTS. IT'S UP TO US TO PROVIDE A SAFE AND LOW COST



- 1 SERVICE TO BOTH OUR BUSINESSES AND OUR RESIDENTS. I BELIEVE,
- 2 IF YOU APPROVE THIS REPLACEMENT C.U.P., YOU'LL BE ENHANCING
- 3 THE ABILITY OF YOUR CONSTITUENTS. WHILE NEW AND FLEXIBLE
- 4 ALTERNATIVES ARE BEING STUDIED AND PRESENTED DAILY, I THINK WE
- 5 ALL KNOW THAT IT'S GOING TO BE RAIL HAUL. RAIL HAUL IS GOING
- 6 TO BE VERY, VERY EXPENSIVE TO ALL OF OUR CONSTITUENTS. SO,
- 7 WITH THAT, I ENCOURAGE YOU TO APPROVE THIS REPLACEMENT C.U.P.
- 8 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. LET ME ALSO CALL UP MARLENE
- 11 BANE, RUTH GALANTER AND BRENDAN HUFFMAN. YES, RON? MAYOR, YOU
- 12 FORGOT YOUR WALLET OR -- GOOD AFTERNOON.

- 14 RON GASTELUM: MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF THE BOARD OF
- 15 SUPERVISORS, MY NAME IS RON GASTELUM. I'M HERE REPRESENTING
- 16 THE L.A. AREA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE TODAY. AT THE L.A. AREA
- 17 CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, WE ARE LOOKING AT INFRASTRUCTURE THAT
- 18 SERVES OUR COUNTY AND THE ASSETS, THE EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE
- 19 THAT IS SO VITALLY IMPORTANT TO SUPPORT OUR ECONOMY AND OUR
- 20 PUBLIC HEALTH. INCLUDED AMONG THOSE ASSETS ARE EXISTING
- 21 LANDFILL FACILITIES LIKE THE ONE THAT IS BEFORE YOU TODAY. IT
- 22 IS NO SURPRISE TO YOU THAT, AS A BUSINESS PRINCIPAL THAT WE
- 23 STAND BEHIND, WHEN YOU HAVE EXISTING ASSETS, WHEN YOU CAN
- 24 EXPAND THEM, WHEN YOU CAN BETTER UTILIZE THEM, WHEN YOU CAN
- 25 MAKE THEM MORE EFFICIENT, THAT IS THE RIGHT THING TO DO. I



- 1 WOULD OBSERVE THAT THE PUBLIC, THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY ALL
- 2 EXPECT US TO UTILIZE THE ASSETS THAT WE HAVE TO THE GREATEST
- 3 EXTENT WE CAN, RATHER THAN INCUR MUCH HIGHER EXPENSES FOR
- 4 SECURING NEW ASSETS AND, IN THIS CASE, IT MAY NOT BE POSSIBLE
- 5 TO SECURE NEW LANDFILL ASSETS WITHIN OUR COUNTY. SO WHERE DOES
- 6 THAT LEAVE US? OUTSIDE OUR COUNTY. WELL, WE THINK THAT MAY BE
- 7 POSSIBLE. THERE IS MUCH THAT YET HAS TO BE DONE AND, AS WE GO
- 8 FORWARD TO DEVELOP THESE ALTERNATIVES, IT'S NOT OUR DECISION
- 9 ALONE. THERE ARE JURISDICTIONS BEYOND LOS ANGELES. WE SEE THAT
- 10 IN THE GOODS MOVEMENT AREA. WE SEE THAT CERTAINLY IN THE
- 11 ENERGY AREA. THIS IS ONE, A GOOD EXAMPLE WHERE LOS ANGELES
- 12 COUNTY CAN DO WHAT I BELIEVE IS RIGHT FOR OUR BUSINESSES,
- 13 RIGHT FOR OUR PUBLIC TO MAKE THE BEST USE OF THIS EXISTING
- 14 ASSET. OF COURSE YOU HAVE TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC HEALTH, OF
- 15 COURSE THE CONDITIONS HAVE TO BE ESTABLISHED TO MAKE SURE THAT
- 16 THIS ASSET IS PROPERLY OPERATED. WE SUPPORT THE ISSUANCE OF
- 17 THIS CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. VICTOR FRANCO, JR. OKAY.
- MARLENE.

- 22 MARLENE BANE: MY NAME IS MARLENE BANE. I LIVE AT 5816 ETIWANDA
- 23 AVENUE IN TARZANA AND MY PERSONAL CONGRATULATIONS TO
- 24 SUPERVISORS YAROSLAVSKY AND MOLINA ON YOUR REELECTION. BUT
- 25 TODAY I'M HERE TO SPEAK IN FAVOR OF THE BOARD APPROVING THE



- 1 C.U.P. FOR SUNSHINE CANYON, LANDFILL, APPROVING THE
- 2 REPLACEMENT C.U.P. MAKES SENSE TO ME. WHAT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE
- 3 IS FOR THE LANDFILL TO CONTINUE OPERATING AS TWO SEPARATE
- 4 ENTITIES. I'VE HEARD THAT NOT APPROVING THE NEW C.U.P. WILL
- 5 NEITHER STOP NOR CHANGE THE LANDFILL FROM OPERATING, IT WILL
- 6 JUST CONTINUE TO OPERATE AS TWO SEPARATE AND PERMITTED
- 7 ENTITIES BUT TOGETHER I BELIEVE THEY CAN BE MORE EFFICIENT,
- 8 LESS EQUIPMENT WILL BE USED, TRUCK EMISSIONS WILL BE REDUCED
- 9 AND ALL TRUCKS WILL GO TO A SINGLE WORKING FACE INSTEAD OF
- 10 TWO. THE COUNTY WILL SEE ADDITIONAL REVENUE AND IT CAN BE
- 11 USED, I HOPE, TO SUPPORT RECYCLING AND ALTERNATIVE
- 12 TECHNOLOGIES. AND A COMBINED LANDFILL WOULD MEAN THAT SUNSHINE
- 13 CANYON POTENTIALLY COULD COULD CLOSE SOONER, AND I'D THINK
- 14 THAT THE LOCAL COMMUNITY WOULD APPRECIATE THAT. AND, AS A
- 15 LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE VALLEY, I HOPE THE BOARD SEES THE
- 16 LOGIC OF COMBINING THE SEPARATE CITY AND COUNTY LANDFILLS INTO
- 17 A SINGLE OPERATION. I HOPE YOU APPROVE THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P.
- 18 I DON'T KNOW WHY WE HAVE TWO AND I THINK ONE IS BETTER AND
- 19 THANK YOU.

20

23

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, MARLENE. WAYNE TSUDA. WAYNE

- 22 TSUDA. MS. GALANTER.
- 24 RUTH GALANTER: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON. IT'S NICE TO SEE YOU
- 25 ALL AGAIN. I'M SPEAKING FOR MYSELF TODAY. I AM NOT



- 1 REPRESENTING ANYBODY BUT ME. MY NAME IS RUTH GALANTER, FOR THE
- 2 RECORD. MOST OF YOU HAVE WORKED WITH ME DURING MY 16 YEARS AS
- 3 A MEMBER OF THE LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL DURING ALL OF WHICH I
- 4 SPENT A GREAT DEAL OF EFFORT TRYING TO IMPROVE RECYCLING,
- 5 DIVERTING WASTE FROM THIS LANDFILL AND ALL OTHER LANDFILLS
- 6 BUT, WITHOUT REPEATING THE ARGUMENTS THAT YOU'VE ALREADY HEARD
- 7 FROM THE OTHER PEOPLE, I WANT TO JUST CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO
- 8 THE LETTER I SENT YOU DATED APRIL 24TH, WHICH IS PROBABLY IN
- 9 YOUR PACKET, AND ADD TO THAT ONLY THAT WE ARE A LONG WAY FROM
- 10 BEING ABLE TO DO WITHOUT LANDFILL CAPACITY AND I THINK THAT
- 11 EVERYONE'S BEEN VERY POLITE IN HOW THEY FRAME IT. BUT THE FACT
- 12 IS, NOBODY ELSE WANTS OUR GARBAGE AND IT IS A RESPONSIBILITY
- 13 WE ARE SIMPLY GOING TO BE STUCK WITH, BOTH IN THE CITY AND IN
- 14 THE COUNTY. IT'S MY PERSONAL BELIEF THERE SHOULD NEVER HAVE
- 15 BEEN TWO SEPARATE LANDFILLS TO BEGIN WITH BUT YOU'VE HEARD THE
- 16 WHOLE HISTORY OF HOW THAT CAME ABOUT AND, FOR ALL THE REASONS
- 17 THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN MENTIONED, IT SEEMS TO ME BOTH
- 18 ENVIRONMENTALLY AND ECONOMICALLY SENSIBLE TO REPAIR THE
- 19 MISTAKE OF HAVING TWO SEPARATE LANDFILLS, TO HAVE A SINGLE
- 20 OPERATION, TRY TO KEEP THE COSTS DOWN. AS A TAXPAYER, I WOULD
- 21 APPRECIATE THAT A GREAT DEAL. YES, WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO DO
- 22 ALL OF THE OTHER EFFORTS TO RECYCLE, TO NOT BUY ALL THAT STUFF
- 23 IN THE FIRST PLACE BUT UNTIL WE START REFUSING THINGS THAT
- 24 HAVE TOO MUCH PACKAGING, WE ARE SIMPLY GOING TO BE FILLING
- 25 LANDFILLS AT A MUCH TOO RAPID RATE AND, WHILE WE'VE GOT THEM,



- 1 WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO USE THEM EFFICIENTLY, SO I THANK YOU FOR
- 2 YOUR TIME. AND DO I GET A PRIZE FOR BEING LESS THAN THREE
- 3 MINUTES?

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. GOOD TO SEE YOU. HI,
- 6 BRENDAN.

- 8 BRENDAN HUFFMAN: GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M BRENDAN HUFFMAN, I'M THE
- 9 NEW PRESIDENT OF VICA, THE VALLEY INDUSTRY AND COMMERCE
- 10 ASSOCIATION AND I'M ALSO A VALLEY RESIDENT. VICA HAS BEEN A
- 11 CONSISTENT SUPPORTER OF THE LANDFILL, PARTICULARLY ITS ROLE IN
- 12 MANAGING THE REGION'S WASTE DISPOSAL IN A COST EFFECTIVE
- 13 MANNER. WE ARE A BUSINESS ASSOCIATION AND THEREFORE WE CLOSELY
- 14 EXAMINE ISSUES THAT IMPACT BUSINESS COSTS AS WELL AS TO THE
- 15 TAXPAYER, SUCH AS THE COST OF WASTE DISPOSAL. WE UNDERSTAND
- 16 THE CONCERNS THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED BY THE COMMUNITY AS WELL AS
- 17 THOSE OF THE OPERATORS OF SUNSHINE CANYON AND WE BELIEVE THAT,
- 18 UNTIL A LONG-TERM ALTERNATIVE WASTE DISPOSAL METHOD IS
- 19 IDENTIFIED AND IMPLEMENTED, WE MUST CONTINUE TO RELY ON
- 20 LANDFILLING FOR SAFE AND EFFECTIVE DISPOSAL. BUSINESSES AND
- 21 RESIDENTS IN THE COUNTY ALREADY GENERATE 40,000 TONS OF WASTE
- 22 EACH DAY. AN ECONOMIC AND POPULATION FORECAST STRONGLY
- 23 INDICATE THAT THESE NUMBERS WILL CONTINUE TO RISE, CREATING AN
- 24 EVEN GREATER DEMAND FOR DISPOSAL CAPACITY AND A NEED FOR MORE
- 25 RECYCLING. FROM A BUSINESS STANDPOINT, VICA IS NOT CLEAR WHY



- 1 THIS REPLACEMENT C.U.P. HAS BEEN SUCH A LENGTHY, LABOR-
- 2 INTENSIVE PROCESS. THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. DOES NOT MAKE THE
- 3 LANDFILL LARGER, IT DOES NOT MAKE THE LANDFILL STAY OPEN
- 4 LONGER AND IT DOES NOT MEAN THE LANDFILL CAN ACCEPT MORE
- 5 TONNAGE. NOT APPROVING A REPLACEMENT C.U.P. ONLY MEANS THAT
- 6 THE LANDFILL WILL CONTINUE TO OPERATE AS TWO SEPARATE
- 7 ENTITIES, THE CITY SIDE AND THE COUNTY SIDE, BOTH OF WHICH ARE
- 8 FULLY PERMITTED AND CURRENTLY OPERATIONAL. AND, FROM WHAT VICA
- 9 UNDERSTANDS, IT APPEARS THAT SOME OF THE OPPONENTS BELIEVE
- 10 THAT BY NOT APPROVING THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. WILL SOMEHOW STOP
- 11 THE LANDFILL FROM OPERATING ENTIRELY. CLEARLY THAT'S NOT THE
- 12 CASE. THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. IS SIMPLY A PERMIT THAT
- 13 INTEGRATES THE CITY SIDE LANDFILL REGULATIONS AND THE COUNTY
- 14 SIDE LANDFILL REGULATIONS, CREATING A SINGLE SET OF STANDARDS
- 15 AND REOUIREMENTS. AND, AGAIN, FROM A BUSINESS STANDPOINT, I
- 16 CAN ONLY SEE POSITIVES IN A SINGLE OPERATION THAT IS MORE
- 17 EFFICIENT AND MORE ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY AND MORE COST
- 18 EFFECTIVE AND ONE THAT WILL PROVIDE INCOME TO THE COUNTY TO
- 19 PURSUE RECYCLING AND ALTERNATIVE WASTE DISPOSAL TECHNOLOGIES.
- 20 ON BEHALF OF THE BUSINESS MEMBERS OF VICA, I ENCOURAGE YOU TO
- 21 APPROVE THE REPLACEMENT C.U.P. FOR SUNSHINE CANYON CITY/COUNTY
- 22 LANDFILL. THANK YOU.

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. MICHAEL TU AND WAYNE

25 HUNTER.



- 2 VICTOR FRANCO, JR.: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS VICTOR FRANCO,
- 3 JR., I'M THE SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT OF GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS FOR
- 4 CENTRAL CITY ASSOCIATION IN LOS ANGELES. ESTABLISHED IN 1924,
- 5 CCA'S 450 MEMBERS EMPLOY ABOUT 300,000 PEOPLE HERE IN THE LOS
- 6 ANGELES REGION. CCA SUPPORTS A REVISED CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT
- 7 FOR A JOINT CITY AND COUNTY OPERATION OF THIS SUNSHINE CANYON
- 8 LANDFILL. WHILE LOS ANGELES CITY AND COUNTY HAVE MADE GREAT
- 9 STRIDES IN REDUCTION OF WASTE MATERIALS THROUGH RECYCLING AND
- 10 OTHER TRASH DISPOSAL SYSTEMS, OUR NEED FOR A LANDFILL SPACE
- 11 STILL EXISTS. A COMBINED OPERATION OF SUNSHINE CANYON WOULD
- 12 RESULT IN THE REDUCTION OF AIR POLLUTION AS A SINGLE WORKING
- 13 PHASE REDUCES THE AMOUNT OF EQUIPMENT NECESSARY AND PROVIDES A
- 14 MORE EFFICIENT TRAFFIC PATTERN FOR TRUCKS. CCA AND ITS MEMBERS
- 15 RECOGNIZE THE IMPORTANCE OF WASTE AND TRASH DISPOSAL. WHILE
- 16 NOT AS GLAMOROUS OR TOPICAL AS WATER AND POWER DELIVERY, WASTE
- 17 DISPOSAL IS A VITAL MUNICIPAL SERVICE. AS OUR POPULATION
- 18 CONTINUES TO GROW AT STAGGERING RATES, THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE
- 19 SECTOR MUST DO ITS BEST TO MANAGE OUR GROWING DISPOSAL NEEDS.
- 20 A REVISED C.U.P. FOR SUNSHINE CANYON IS NOT A FINAL SOLUTION;
- 21 RATHER, A STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION, AS WE LOOK FOR NEW AND
- 22 BETTER METHODS OF TRASH DISPOSAL, INCLUDING THE POTENTIAL OF
- 23 ENERGY GENERATION. CCA SUPPORTS A REPLACEMENT C.U.P. FOR
- 24 SUNSHINE CANYON AND RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS YOUR SUPPORT. THANK
- 25 YOU.



1

2

SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

- 4 WAYNE TSUDA: I'M WAYNE TSUDA AND I WORK FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 5 AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND I AM THE
- 6 DIRECTOR OF THE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY PROGRAM. I AM HERE
- 7 NEITHER IN SUPPORT OR IN OPPOSITION OF THE C.U.P.; HOWEVER, I
- 8 AM HERE TO REQUEST THAT TWO ITEMS BE REVISED IN THE C.U.P.
- 9 ITEM Q IN THE DEFINITION STATES, IN PART, "ANY
- 10 RESPONSIBILITIES ASSIGNED TO THE L.E.A. THROUGH THE CONDITIONS
- 11 OF THIS GRANT, WHICH ARE NOT BY LAW THE PREROGATIVE OF THE
- 12 L.E.A., SHALL BE PERFORMED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 13 SERVICES SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM." WE RECOMMEND THAT
- 14 THE LAST PART OF THAT, THE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM
- 15 REFERENCE, BE DELETED AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS THAT, WHEN
- 16 THE J.P.A. AND FINALLY WHEN A PERMIT IS ISSUED FOR THE
- 17 FACILITY, IT WILL HAVE TO BE ISSUED BY AN L.E.A. THAT IS
- 18 FORMED BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE COUNTY STAFF. IT WOULD BE AN
- 19 ENTIRELY SEPARATE L.E.A. AND A REFERENCE TO THE SOLID WASTE
- 20 MANAGEMENT PROGRAM OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES WOULD
- 21 BE CONFUSING. SO THAT SHOULD BE ELIMINATED. SECONDLY, IF THERE
- 22 ARE HEALTH ISSUES, AND THERE HAVE BEEN IN THE PAST, HEALTH
- 23 ISSUES INVESTIGATED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES, THAT
- 24 WOULD BE FINE BECAUSE THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES IS THE
- 25 CITY'S HEALTH DEPARTMENT, SO, AGAIN, THE REFERENCE TO THE



- 1 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM WOULD BE REDUNDANT, IT WOULD
- 2 FOCUS ATTENTION BACK ON THE L.E.A., WHO WOULD NOT BE THE LEAD
- 3 OF THAT. THE SECOND ITEM CONCERNS CONDITION 16-A AND IT STATES
- 4 THAT THE COUNTY L.E.A. PROPOSES TO BE DESIGNATED IN ANY J.P.A.
- 5 AS THE LEAD AGENCY FOR ALL SOLID WASTE PERMIT ACTIVITIES AND
- 6 THE SINGLE POINT OF CONTACT FOR CORDONING FOR ALL PERMITTING,
- 7 INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT AT THE FACILITY. THIS, AGAIN,
- 8 CANNOT BE A CONDITION OF THIS PERMIT BECAUSE THE L.E.A. WOULD
- 9 BE FORMED FROM BOTH THE COUNTY AND THE CITY INTO AN ENTIRELY
- 10 SEPARATE L.E.A. AND, AGAIN, THE REFERENCE BACK TO THE COUNTY
- 11 L.E.A. WOULD BE CONFUSING AND IT WOULD BE INCORRECT.

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

15 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I ASK A QUESTION JUST ON THAT

- 16 BECAUSE THAT'S ONE OF THE QUESTIONS I HAD IS ABOUT
- 17 JURISDICTION OF ENFORCEMENT. IS THAT CORRECT, WHAT WAS JUST
- 18 STATED?

12

14

- 20 FRANK MENESES: WE WERE SIMPLY RECITING WHAT THE CITY HAS
- 21 PLACED IN THEIR OWN PERMIT. THEY HAVE PROPOSED TO BE THE LEAD
- 22 IN ANY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT-- SOLID WASTE FACILITY'S PERMIT
- 23 APPROVAL AS THE L.E.A., AS THE LEAD L.E.A., SO WE DON'T SEE
- 24 THAT AS A MAJOR ISSUE. WE SEE THAT AS SOMETHING THAT CAN BE
- 25 RESOLVED WHEN THE JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT IS DONE OR AT THE



- 1 TIME THAT THE L.E.A. IS FORMED. WE CAN'T MAKE IT A CONDITION
- 2 IN THIS CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: AND SO THAT, RIGHT NOW, WE'RE NOT GOING TO
- 5 DETERMINE THAT AT ALL, THAT IS GOING TO BE DETERMINED IN
- 6 NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE CITY AND THE COUNTY SHOULD JUST MOVE
- 7 FORWARD?

8

- 9 FRANK MENESES: THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S A SUBORDINATE PERMIT TO
- 10 WHICH YOU'RE CONSIDERING TODAY.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DR. WAYNE ALLER AND JOE STRINGER. YES,
- 13 MICHAEL.

- 15 MICHAEL TU: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE
- 16 BOARD. MY NAME IS MICHAEL TU, I'M POLICY DEPUTY FOR
- 17 CONGRESSMAN BRAD SHERMAN AND WOULD LIKE TO READ A BRIEF
- 18 STATEMENT ON HIS BEHALF TO YOU. I URGE THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 19 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO CLOSELY SCRUTINIZE BROWNING-FERRIS
- 20 INDUSTRIES' APPEAL OF THE DENIAL OF A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT
- 21 APPLICATION TO REPLACE THE EXISTING C.U.P. FOR THE SUNSHINE
- 22 CANYON LANDFILL. I'M PLEASED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAS
- 23 AFFORDED THE COMMUNITY AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS THIS OPPORTUNITY
- 24 TO EXPRESS OUR OPPOSITION TO THE NEW COUNTY C.U.P. SHOULD THE
- 25 BOARD DECIDE TO OVERTURN THE DENIAL OF THE C.U.P., I URGE THE



- 1 BOARD TO AFFIRM A CLOSURE DATE AND/OR TONNAGE LIMIT AT THE
- 2 LANDFILL, AS WELL AS ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF THE OPERATORS POST-
- 3 CLOSURE FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AND ADOPT THE MOST STRINGENT
- 4 CONDITIONS CURRENTLY IN EFFECT, NOT ONLY IN THE COUNTY BUT
- 5 FROM THE CITY SIDE EXPANSION AS WELL. STRICT CONDITIONS WILL
- 6 PROTECT THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES AND HELP CLARIFY BFI'S
- 7 FINANCIAL LIABILITIES AND ITS ACCOUNTABILITY TO THE TAXPAYERS.
- 8 SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL IS LOCATED IN MY CONGRESSIONAL
- 9 DISTRICT AND IS IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY
- 10 GROUNDWATER BASIN AND FACILITIES THAT SUPPLY MUCH OF THE
- 11 DRINKING WATER TO SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. LANDFILL IS ALSO
- 12 LOCATED THREE MILES FROM THE HISTORICALLY ACTIVE SAN FERNANDO
- 13 SIERRA MADRE FAULT, 6.2 MILES FROM THE NORTHRIDGE BLIND THRUST
- 14 FAULT AND SITS INSIDE THE HEEL OF THE SANTA SUZANNA FAULT,
- 15 BOTH ENDS OF WHICH ARE ALSO HISTORICALLY ACTIVE. THE
- 16 POSSIBILITY OF A LANDFILL FAILURE AT SUNSHINE CANYON IS VERY
- 17 REAL AND WOULD HAVE DISASTROUS PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL
- 18 CONSEQUENCES. IN THE HIGHLY POPULATED SETTING OF THE SAN
- 19 FERNANDO VALLEY, CLEAN-UP COSTS COULD EASILY EXCEED 100
- 20 MILLION. AS THE SITUATION NOW STANDS, LANDFILL OPERATORS ARE
- 21 ONLY REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN INSURANCE AT THE POST-CLOSURE
- 22 PERIOD, WHICH IS GENERALLY THREE YEARS. THAT REQUIREMENT IS
- 23 INADEOUATE TO GUARD AGAINST THE TRUE, LONG-TERM DANGERS TO THE
- 24 ENVIRONMENT AND RESULTING FINANCIAL LIABILITY POSED BY
- 25 LANDFILLS BECAUSE LANDFILLS REMAIN A THREAT TO THE ENVIRONMENT



- 1 LONG AFTER THE POST-CLOSURE PERIOD. INSURANCE OBLIGATIONS
- 2 SHOULD THEREFORE NOT TERMINATE AFTER 30 YEARS. AS A RESULT OF
- 3 THESE ONGOING CONCERNS, I'M REQUESTING THAT THE U.S.
- 4 GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE REVIEW AND REPORT ON THE U.S.
- 5 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S FINANCIAL ASSURANCE
- 6 PROCEDURES FOR MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS. THE PURPOSE OF
- 7 THIS IS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THESE RULES PROTECT THE AMERICAN
- 8 TAXPAYER FROM THE COSTS OF MAINTENANCE AND CORRECTIVE ACTION
- 9 AFTER THE POST-CLOSURE PERIOD ENDS AND DETERMINE THE MAGNITUDE
- 10 OF THE RISK THE TAXPAYERS MAY FACE UNDER THE RANGE OF
- 11 POTENTIAL SCENARIOS. AGAIN, IF THE BOARD SHOULD DECIDE TO
- 12 OVERRIDE THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION, IT
- 13 SHOULD, AT A MINIMUM, IMPOSE RESTRICTIONS THAT (1) ASSERT A
- 14 CLOSURE DATE AND/OR TONNAGE LIMIT, ADDRESS THE OPERATORS
- 15 FINANCIAL ASSURANCE OBLIGATIONS FOLLOWING THE POST-CLOSURE
- 16 PERIOD AND INTERPRET THE STRICTEST REGULATIONS FOR LANDFILL
- 17 OPERATIONS. THIS WILL PROTECT THE TAXPAYERS AND LOCAL
- 18 GOVERNMENT WHO COULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN
- 19 REMEDIATION COSTS. THANK YOU FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO LEND MY
- 20 VOICE TO AN IMPORTANT ISSUE IN THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY. PLEASE
- 21 KEEP ME APPRISED DURING YOUR DECISION-MAKING PROCESS. THANK
- 22 YOU.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, MICHAEL. RALPH KROY.



- 1 WADE HUNTER: THANK YOU. MY NAME IS WADE HUNTER, I'M THE
- 2 PRESIDENT OF THE NORTH VALLEY COALITION. WE ARE OPPOSED TO THE
- 3 GRANTING OF THE NEW C.U.P. BUT, IF THIS BOARD DECIDES
- 4 OTHERWISE, THEN WE ASK THAT YOU HEED OUR CONCERNS. I WANT TO
- 5 REMIND THIS BOARD THAT YOU ONLY ASKED THE CITY TO APPROVE A 20
- 6 MILLION TON LANDFILL ON THE CITY SIDE. INSTEAD, THEY APPROVED
- 7 A 55 MILLION TON IN THE CITY AND 18 MILLION IN THE BRIDGE
- 8 AREA, FAR IN EXCESS OF YOUR EXPECTATIONS. CERTAINLY MINE. AND
- 9 I AM CONCERNED THAT THE CLOSURE DATES BEING CONSIDERED ARE FAR
- 10 IN EXCESS OF WHAT WOULD HAVE BEEN ASSIGNED HAD THE APPROVED
- 11 CITY/COUNTY APPROVALS BEEN KNOWN. INDEED, PUBLIC WORKS WOULD
- 12 HAVE USED THE COMBINED PERMITTED DAILY INFLOW DIVIDED INTO THE
- 13 TOTAL TONNAGE AVAILABLE AS DETERMINED BY THE AVAILABLE AIR
- 14 SPACE IN ORDER TO ARRIVE AT A SITE LIFE. CERTAINLY NOT ONE
- 15 BASED ON CURRENT INFLOW. LEAKING LINERS, FLARES THAT DON'T
- 16 MEET AQMD STANDARDS, VIOLATIONS, TRASH BLOWING OVER OUR
- 17 STREETS AND FREEWAYS AND PEOPLE SICK WITH ASTHMA AND CANCER -
- 18 THIS IS WHAT YOUR APPROVAL WOULD CONTINUE TO GIVE US. IN
- 19 FAIRNESS TO THE RESIDENTS, WE NEED A SHORTER TIME, NOT A
- 20 LONGER TIME AND WE NEED THE MOST STRINGENT CONDITIONS, BOTH
- 21 COUNTY AND CITY COMBINED, TO PROTECT THE HEALTH, SAFETY AND
- 22 WELFARE OF THE RESIDENTS OF THE AREA. THANK YOU.
- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, WADE. BILL CORCORAN. BILL
- 25 CORCORAN. YES.



- 2 WAYNE ALLER: MY NAME IS WAYNE ALLER, I REPRESENT THE CITY AND
- 3 THE COUNTY, SUNSHINE CANNON CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEES. AND,
- 4 AS THE SECRETARY OF THE CITY'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE, I'M A
- 5 LITTLE DISAPPOINTED THAT WE NEVER HEARD A RESPONSE FROM YOU TO
- 6 OUR LETTER OF MAY 15TH IN WHICH WE MAKE 15 POINTS, ONE OF
- 7 WHICH IS THAT THE MOST RESTRICTIVE CONDITIONS FROM THE CITY
- 8 AND THE COUNTY C.U.P.S BE ADOPTED IN THE COMBINED CITY/COUNTY
- 9 C.U.P. I, LIKE WADE, WHO PRECEDED ME IN TALKING, AM
- 10 DISAPPOINTED THAT THE ENVISIONING THAT WENT ON WHEN THE
- 11 TONNAGE WAS AGREED UPON BY THE COUNTY DIDN'T IMAGINE THE CITY
- 12 EXPANSION AND I WOULD LIKE TO CALL FOR SOME SORT OF A DATE
- 13 CERTAIN OR A TIME CERTAIN LIMIT ON HOW MUCH-- HOW MANY YEARS,
- 14 HOW MANY DECADES TRASH CAN BE HAULED INTO OUR AREA. I THINK
- 15 IT'S SORT OF FUNNY THAT I HEARD-- I THINK I HEARD THAT THE
- 16 CITY CLAIMS THAT THE TRAFFIC MITIGATION PROBLEM HAS BEEN MET.
- 17 I LIVE IN THAT AREA AND, BELIEVE ME, THE TRAFFIC MITIGATION
- 18 HAS NOT BEEN MET, AND WE ALL KNOW THAT ASTHMA AND HEART
- 19 ATTACKS ARE THE RESULT OF DIESEL PARTICULATES. THE TRAFFIC IS
- 20 ALREADY CAUSING JUST NIGHTMARES. IN FACT, IT'S SORT OF IRONIC
- 21 THAT THE COUNTY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE NO LONGER EVEN
- 22 MEETS AT THE LANDFILL. THE TRAFFIC IS SO BAD, WE CAN'T GET
- 23 THERE. WE'RE NOW MEETING AT A RESTAURANT CLOSER TO WHERE MOST
- 24 OF US LIVE. IT'S A NIGHTMARE AND IT'S GOING TO GET WORSE.
- 25 THERE ARE ALTERNATIVES IN SEVERAL RESPECTS. THERE'S, AS YOU



- 1 PROBABLY KNOW FROM THE WORK OF GREG SMITH'S RENEW L.A., THERE
- 2 ARE ALTERNATIVE TRASH USES, ENERGY, THERE'S NEW TECHNIQUES,
- 3 ANAEROBIC DIGESTION, WHICH ARE QUITE AMAZING, THAT WE SHOULD
- 4 BE EXPLORING AND UTILIZING. THERE'S RAIL HAUL TO MESOUITE. I
- 5 VISITED MESQUITE. THEY WANT OUR BUSINESS. BROWLY IS--
- 6 UNEMPLOYMENT RATE IS VERY HIGH. WE SHOULD BE SERIOUSLY
- 7 CONSIDERING ALTERNATIVES. IT'S GOING TO INCREASE OUR TAXES A
- 8 BIT TO DO THIS BUT ARE HUMAN LIVES WORTH A FEW EXTRA DOLLARS
- 9 PER YEAR? I THINK THEY ARE. SO I WOULD LIKE TO FINALLY JUST
- 10 MENTION THE POST-CLOSURE ISSUE, THE FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY.
- 11 WE ARE BUILDING THE COUNTRY'S LARGEST LANDFILL, 300 FEET ABOVE
- 12 AND ABOUT A HALF MILE AWAY FROM THE LARGEST WATER SUPPLY IN
- 13 THE UNITED STATES, 19 MILLION PEOPLE SERVED BY THAT WATER. MY
- 14 SON WAS ON THE STAFF AT CAL TECH DURING THE NORTHRIDGE
- 15 EARTHOUAKE. HE AND LUCY JONES TELL ME THAT THAT'S THE MOST
- 16 SEISMICALLY ACTIVE AREA IN THE WHOLE UNITED STATES. IF WE HAVE
- 17 A THRUST FAULT THERE AND THOSE LINERS BREAK, THE CITY'S ENTIRE
- 18 TREATED WATER SUPPLY COULD BE IN JEOPARDY. WE NEED SOME KIND
- 19 OF FINANCIAL INSURANCE BEYOND THE 30 YEARS. IT'S GOING TO COST
- 20 HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS TO REPAIR THE DAMAGE THAT MAY
- 21 WELL HAPPEN. IN FACT, IT'S LIKELY TO HAPPEN, I THINK. OKAY?
- 22 THANK YOU.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. LET ME ASK, IS JOE STRINGER
- 2 HERE? YES. ARE YOU JOE? LET ME ALSO CALL UP KIM THOMPSON, KIM
- 3 THOMPSON. YES, SIR. OKAY.

- 5 RALPH KROY: MY NAME IS RALPH KROY. I'M A MEMBER OF THE NORTH
- 6 VALLEY COALITION AND ALSO A MEMBER OF THE CITY SUNSHINE CANYON
- 7 C.A.C. I HAVE PROVIDED A STACK OF LITERATURE HERE, I HOPE IT
- 8 WAS HANDED OUT, IN REFERENCE TO TRASH AND LITTER. TRASH PICKUP
- 9 BY BFI WITHIN ONE-MILE RADIUS OF THE LANDFILL IS NOT ENOUGH.
- 10 TRASH BLOWN OUT OF ARRIVING TRUCKS AND DEPARTING VEHICLES IS
- 11 LITTERING THE FREEWAY AND ROADS DOWNWIND OF THE EAST OF THE
- 12 LANDFILL ON CITY AND CALTRANS PROPERTY. BFI MUST BE MADE TO
- 13 CLEAN UP A TWO-MILE RADIUS OR HAVE THE ROADS AND FREEWAYS TO
- 14 THE EAST AND SOUTHEAST AS FAR AS THE I-5 AND 405 INTERCHANGE
- 15 INCLUDED, AND I HAVE PICTURES THAT SHOW THE TRASH LITTERING
- 16 THE AREA. THE ROXFORD ONRAMP AND OFF RAMP, THE CITY-APPROVED
- 17 THE CITY SIDE EXPANSION AFTER BFI CLEANED AND IMPROVED THEIR
- 18 TURNING RADIUS PROBLEMS AT ROXFORD ONRAMP TO THE SOUTHBOUND I-
- 19 5. THE IMPROVEMENTS DID NOT CORRECT THE ONRAMP TO SOUTHBOUND
- 20 I-5 NOR THE PROBLEM OF NORTHBOUND TRUCKS TURNING FROM ROXFORD
- 21 NORTH TO SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD. THESE PROBLEMS MUST BE CORRECTED
- 22 AND THERE ARE PICTURES THAT SHOW THE TRUCKS DRIVING ON THE
- 23 WRONG SIDE OF THE ROAD, ENDANGERING ONCOMING VEHICLES. IN
- 24 REGARDS TO CONCERNS OF TRAFFIC, MAJOR SUBJECTS OF DISCUSSION
- 25 AND CONCERN BEFORE RPC MITIGATION IS INADEQUATE. ACTUAL



- 1 TRAFFIC COUNTS TAKEN ON MAY 2005 DOCUMENT THAT TRAFFIC IS FAR
- 2 WORSE THAN PROJECTED IN THE ADDENDUM. THUS, MITIGATION
- 3 PROPOSED IN THE ADDENDUM CLEARLY IS INADEQUATE. ADDITIONAL
- 4 MITIGATION BEYOND WHAT IS NOW IN THE C.U.P. IS REQUIRED TO
- 5 MITIGATE ACTUAL TRAFFIC IN THE AREA. STAFF CONTENDS THE
- 6 TRAFFIC COUNTS THAT NBC AND ITS COALITION PARTNERS SUBMITTED
- 7 ARE NOT ACCURATE BECAUSE CALTRANS PROJECT WAS CONDUCTED ON I-5
- 8 WHEN THE COUNTS WERE TAKEN. BUT THE LANE CLOSURES ASSOCIATED
- 9 WITH THE PROJECT, ONE, OCCURRED AT DIFFERENT TIMES OF THE DAY
- 10 WHEN THEIR COUNTS WERE MADE. TWO, THE ENTIRE-- ENTIRELY
- 11 DIFFERENT LOCATIONS AT LEAST 2-1/2 MILES AWAY, ANOTHER
- 12 EXAMPLE; THUS THE CALTRANS PROJECT DID NOT AFFECT OUR TRAFFIC
- 13 STUDY. MORE MITIGATIONS IS REQUIRED. WE PROPOSE LANGUAGE TO BE
- 14 ADDED TO CONDITION 56 REQUIRING BFI TO MAKE FUNDS AVAILABLE
- 15 FOR ADDITIONAL LANES AT TWO HEAVILY IMPACTED INTERSECTIONS.
- 16 ONE, THE INTERSECTION OF SAN FERNANDO ROAD AND SUNSHINE CANYON
- 17 ROAD AND, TWO, THE INTERSECTION OF I-5 SOUTHBOUND OFF-RAMP AT
- 18 SAN FERNANDO ROAD. IF BFI CAN'T GET APPROVALS FOR THESE LANE
- 19 CONDITIONS, THEN IT SHOULD DEPOSIT THE MONEY IN THE
- 20 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT TRUST FUND CREATED BY THE C.U.P.
- 21 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

25 RALPH KROY: ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?



1
2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NOT YET. THERE'LL BE SOME TALK

3 AFTERWARDS.

4

5 RALPH KROY: DID YOU GET THE COPY OF THIS, BY THE WAY?

6

7 MALE VOICE: YES, WE HAVE IT.

8

9 RALPH KROY: THANK YOU.

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DAVID CAMERON. OKAY. YES, SIR.

- 13 BILL CORCORAN: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS BILL CORCORAN, I'M
- 14 SENIOR REGIONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
- 15 FIELD OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL SIERRA CLUB. IT'S A PLEASURE TO
- 16 BE HERE TODAY. THE PURPOSE OF THE C.U.P. IS TO ALLOW BFI TO
- 17 COMBINE OPERATIONS. THE SIERRA CLUB FEELS IT'S CRITICAL THAT
- 18 THE COUNTY C.U.P. NOT PICK AND CHOOSE FROM AMONG THE CITY
- 19 CONDITIONS. I'M GOING TO LIMIT MY COMMENTS TO ONE SPECIFIC
- 20 ITEM THAT RELATES TO THE LETTER SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 21 MENTIONED EARLIER. OTHERS WILL MAKE BROADER COMMENTS THAT
- 22 SIERRA CLUB SUPPORTS. IF THE C.U.P. WERE TO ALLOW BFI TO
- 23 COMBINE OPERATIONS BUT OMIT ENVIRONMENTALLY PROTECTIVE CITY
- 24 CONDITIONS, THEN 5,500 TONS PER DAY OF WASTE THAT WOULD
- 25 OTHERWISE BE HAULED TO THE CITY SIDE WHERE THOSE CONDITIONS



- 1 APPLY COULD INSTEAD BE HAULED TO THE COUNTY SIDE WHERE THEY
- 2 WOULD NOT IN THAT SCENARIO. WHY DOES THAT MATTER? EXPERT
- 3 TESTIMONY WAS SUPPLIED TO THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
- 4 THAT FOUND THAT, ABSENT ADOPTION OF THOSE STANDARDS, BETWEEN
- 5 28.7 AND 93.1 ADDITIONAL TONS OF NOX EMISSIONS AND BETWEEN 0.6
- 6 AND 1.8 ADDITIONAL TONS OF PM 10 PARTICULATE WOULD BE RELEASED
- 7 PER YEAR. AND I WOULD CALL ATTENTION TO SOME ELEMENTS OF THE
- 8 SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT LETTER THAT WAS
- 9 REFERENCED EARLIER. THE STAFF RECOMMENDS IN THE LETTER THAT A
- 10 MODIFIED C.U.P. INCLUDE A PROVISION THAT WOULD REQUIRE THE
- 11 OPERATION OF ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCKS AT THE ENTIRE LANDFILL,
- 12 CONSISTENT WITH ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCK OPERATION REQUIREMENTS
- 13 THAT ARE CURRENTLY APPLICABLE TO THE CITY'S SIDE OF THE
- 14 LANDFILL. THE LETTER GOES ON TO EXPLAIN THAT ALTERNATIVE FUELS
- 15 ARE THE CLEANEST EMISSION CONTROL TECHNOLOGY FOR THE HEAVY-
- 16 DUTY VEHICLE SECTOR IN TERMS OF PARTICULATE MATTER AND OXIDES
- 17 OF NITROGEN EMISSIONS AND THAT USE OF ALTERNATIVE FUEL
- 18 VEHICLES IS TECHNICALLY AND ECONOMICALLY FEASIBLE, SINCE
- 19 SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL OPERATOR, BFI, CURRENTLY OPERATES
- 20 ALTERNATIVE FUELED REFUSE TRUCKS AND, IN FACT, THERE'S
- 21 \$500,000 ON THE TABLE FOR AN LNG FUELING STATION FOR BFI FROM
- 22 THE SCHUMD. THUS, THE SIERRA CLUB, ALONG WITH OTHERS, PROPOSED
- 23 ADOPTION OF A PHASE-IN REQUIREMENT LIKE THAT CONTAINED IN THE
- 24 CITY ORDINANCE, WITH ONE SPECIFIC CHANGE. THE CITY
- 25 REQUIREMENTS WERE TRIGGERED BY A FEASIBILITY FINDING. THAT WAS



- 1 BACK IN 1999. THAT, FROM OUR POINT OF VIEW, IS NO LONGER
- 2 NECESSARY, GIVEN ADVANCES IN TECHNOLOGY, AND IT CAN BE CLEARLY
- 3 STATES THAT THE TECHNOLOGY IS TECHNICALLY AND ECONOMICALLY
- 4 FEASIBLE AND I APPRECIATE YOUR TIME TODAY. THANK YOU.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. LET ME ASK JAN CHATTEN-
- 7 BROWN. YES. YES, SIR.

8

- 9 JOE STRINGER: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS JOE STRINGER. I'M A
- 10 MEMBER OF THE COMMUNITY GROUP, ACORN, AND OUR LOW AND MODERATE
- 11 INCOME MEMBERS HAVE FACED LANDFILLS AND OTHERS HAZARDS IN
- 12 OTHER COMMUNITIES FOR OVER 20 YEARS, SO WE KNOW ABOUT THE
- 13 DAMAGE OF THESE DUMPS. WE KNOW THAT THE RISK OF THE SUNSHINE
- 14 CANYON ARE NOT JUST DAMAGE FOR GRANADA HILLS AND SYLMAR.
- 15 BECAUSE THE LANDFILL IS LOCATED SO CLOSE TO THE WATER
- 16 SUPPLIES, IT'S A DAMAGE FOR ALL OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. THIS
- 17 IS WHY OVER 750 ACORN MEMBERS HAVE SIGNED POSTCARDS, WHICH
- 18 THEY'RE HERE, OKAY, YOU HAVE THEM. THANK YOU. THEY WAS TO--
- 19 FOR SUPERVISOR BURKE, WHICH SHE'S IN OUR DISTRICT. THANK YOU
- 20 VERY MUCH. THE CURRENT PERMIT DOES NOT INCLUDE THE IMPORTANT
- 21 SAFETY MEASURES THAT WE NEED TO PROTECT THIS COMMUNITY. UNLESS
- 22 ITEMS LIKE A FIRM CLOSE DATE, STRONG ELEMENT STANDARDS AND
- 23 FINANCIAL PROTECTION FOR THE COMMUNITY FOR CLEAN-UP COSTS ARE
- 24 INCLUDED, THIS PERMIT SHOULD BE REJECTED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, SIR. LINDA LYE. YES?

2

- 3 KIM THOMPSON: HI. MY NAME IS KIM THOMPSON AND I'M CHAIR OF
- 4 GRANADA HILLS NORTH NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL AND WE REPRESENT
- 5 20,600 RESIDENTS IN GRANADA HILLS. AND I WANT TO SAY THAT, YOU
- 6 KNOW, WE'VE ALREADY SUBMITTED THIS LETTER AS OF MAY 18TH BUT
- 7 WE WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE RESPONSE TO COMMENTS. I ALSO WANT
- 8 TO SAY CONGRATULATIONS TO SUPERVISORS MOLINA AND YAROSLAVSKY.
- 9 WE ARE HERE ASKING YOU TO-- I'M JUST GOING TO REITERATE OUR
- 10 LETTER-- TO DENY THE APPEAL AND RESTATE OUR OPPOSITION. AND
- 11 THEN THE MOST IMPORTANT RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE WERE-- THAT WE
- 12 GET-- THAT WE GAVE TO YOU BEFORE, IN OUR MAY 18TH LETTER, WERE
- 13 THAT WE WANT AN EXACT LIMIT BE PLACED ON THE AMOUNT OF TRASH
- 14 IN TONNAGE TO BE LANDFILLED ON AN EXACT DATE TO BE GIVEN WHEN
- 15 THE LANDFILL IS CLOSED BUT, UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD IT
- 16 EXCEED 90 MILLION TONS. WE WISH THAT NO OUT OF COUNTY TRASH IS
- 17 ACCEPTED AND THAT THE LIST OF MATERIALS RESTRICTED BY THE CITY
- 18 ORDINANCE FROM BEING USED AS ALTERNATIVE DAILY COVER ARE
- 19 INCLUDED IN THE LIST OF PROHIBITED WASTES. THE MOST IMPORTANT
- 20 THING WE ASK IS THAT MOST STRINGENT CONDITIONS OF THE COMBINED
- 21 LANDFILLS MUST BE IMPLEMENTED. I DON'T THINK I HAVE ANYTHING
- 22 ELSE TO ADD THAT THE ATTORNEYS WON'T DO IT. THANK YOU.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. ARNOLD SACHS. YES.



- 1 DAVID CAMERON: HI, MY NAME IS DAVID CAMERON AND I'M WITH THE
- 2 INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS IN WASHINGTON, D.C.,
- 3 AND I'M HERE REPRESENTING THEM AS WELL AS LOCAL 396 IN LOS
- 4 ANGELES. TO MY LEFT ON THE WALL, YOU HAVE ENGRAVED THAT THIS
- 5 COUNTRY IS FOUNDED ON FREE ENTERPRISE, CHERISH AND HELP
- 6 PRESERVE IT TO THE RIGHT, THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE PEOPLE,
- 7 BY THE PEOPLE AND FOR THE PEOPLE SHALL NOT PERISH FROM THIS
- 8 EARTH. AND WE ARE HERE-- YOU ARE HERE TODAY TO BALANCE THOSE
- 9 INTERESTS. BUT I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT, IN ALL DUE RESPECT TO
- 10 MR. DAVE EDWARDS OF BROWNING-FERRIS INDUSTRIES, YOU HAVE BEEN
- 11 MISLED. HE STATED THAT HE HAS A LETTER FROM LOCAL 396
- 12 SUPPORTING THIS EXPANSION AND THE APPROVAL OF THE C.U.P. THAT
- 13 WAS THE CASE, THAT IS A LETTER FROM THREE YEARS AGO. MR.
- 14 EDWARDS IS CLEARLY AWARE THAT THE TEAMSTERS OPPOSE THE
- 15 APPROVAL OF THIS C.U.P. AND HIS STATEMENT TODAY, KNOWING OUR
- 16 POSITION, BRINGS INTO QUESTION ALL OF THE LETTERS OF APPROVAL
- 17 THAT HE CLAIMS THAT HE HAS FROM CITIZENS IN THIS COMMUNITY.
- 18 ONE OF THE PRIMARY GOALS OF THE TEAMSTERS IS TO ENSURE SAFE
- 19 AND HEALTHY WORKING CONDITIONS IN AN ENVIRONMENT FOR OUR
- 20 MEMBERS AND FOR THE COMMUNITY. AT WEIGH SIGHTS ACROSS THIS
- 21 NATION, THE FIRST TO SUFFER FROM UNSAFE AND UNHEALTHY
- 22 CONDITIONS ARE THE WORKERS AND THE NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES.
- 23 THE TEAMSTERS' EFFORT AT SUNSHINE CANYON IS PART OF A NATIONAL
- 24 STRATEGY TO WORK WITH THE COMMUNITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS
- 25 TO REALIZE A MORE SUSTAINABLE WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM THAT



- 1 PROVIDES FOR HEALTHIER AND SAFER CONDITIONS FOR THE WORKERS IN
- 2 THE COMMUNITIES THAT THEY SERVE. THROUGH OUR WORK WITH THEM,
- 3 WE HAVE COME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT SUNSHINE CANYON PERMIT, AS
- 4 PRESENTLY CONSTITUTED, POSES AN UNACCEPTABLE RISK TO THE
- 5 COMMUNITY. AS A UNION REPRESENTING WORKERS, FRONTLINE
- 6 SANITATION WORKERS, WE ARE ACUTELY AWARE OF THESE DANGERS.
- 7 SUNSHINE CANYON IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST LANDFILLS IN THE NATION.
- 8 THE BIGGER THE LANDFILL, THE HARDER THEY FALL, THE GREATER THE
- 9 DANGER TO THE COMMUNITY. WE ARE HERE TO ASK THE LOS ANGELES
- 10 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO DENY BFI'S REQUEST FOR A
- 11 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. BARRING THAT, WE SEEK, WITH OUR
- 12 PARTNERS IN THE POWER COALITION, STRICT ENVIRONMENTAL
- 13 CONDITIONS, FINANCIAL ASSURANCES SO THAT THE COMMUNITY DOES
- 14 NOT GET SHAFTED WITH THE BILL DECADES HENCE AND A FIRM CLOSURE
- 15 DATE. THANK YOU.

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

- 19 JAN CHATTEN-BROWN: MY NAME IS JAN CHATTEN-BROWN, I AM ONE OF
- 20 THE ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING POWER TO PROTECT OUR WATER AND
- 21 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES. THAT GROUP IS COMPOSED NOT JUST OF
- 22 THE NORTH VALLEY COALITION, WHICH HAS SO LONG FOUGHT THIS
- 23 BATTLE BY THEMSELVES AND THE TEAMSTERS, BUT ALSO THE NATURAL
- 24 RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, SIERRA CLUB, PLANNING AND
- 25 CONSERVATION LEAGUE, OF WHICH I'M ALSO A BOARD MEMBER, AND THE



- 1 ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION. NOW, THE REASON WE ORIGINALLY--
- 2 THE REASON WE ORIGINALLY OPPOSED THE -- URGED YOU TO SUSTAIN
- 3 THE POSITION OF THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION WAS BECAUSE
- 4 WE BELIEVE THAT IT WOULD BENEFIT THE ULTIMATE CONSOLIDATION TO
- 5 HAVE A PERIOD OF MONITORING OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S
- 6 CONDITIONS. ALTHOUGH THE CITY'S CONDITIONS, MORE STRINGENT
- 7 CONDITIONS WERE ADOPTED IN 1999, THE OPERATION DID NOT BEGIN
- 8 UNTIL JULY OF 2005 AND THE CITY BELIEVES AND WE SUPPORT THE
- 9 CONCEPT THAT HAVING THE BRIDGE IN PLACE WILL HELP MONITOR THE
- 10 IMPLEMENTATION. THE SECOND REASON WAS BECAUSE WE BELIEVE THE
- 11 STATE NOW RECOGNIZES THE NEED FOR ADDITIONAL FINANCIAL
- 12 ASSURANCES AND WE WANT TO BE SURE FINANCIAL ASSURANCES ADOPTED
- 13 IN THE FUTURE ARE APPLIED TO SUNSHINE CANYON, ESPECIALLY
- 14 BECAUSE OF THE UNIQUE HAZARDS IT PRESENTS. WE RECOGNIZE,
- 15 HOWEVER, THAT THERE IS NOT MUCH LIKELIHOOD, WOULD BE AN
- 16 UNDERSTATEMENT, THAT WILL BE A DENIAL OF THE AMENDED C.U.P.
- 17 AND, THEREFORE, WE THINK IT IS CRITICAL THAT THERE BE A
- 18 REASONABLE CLOSURE DATE AND LINDA LYE WILL ADDRESS THAT ISSUE.
- 19 THE OTHER ISSUES THAT I WANTED TO ADDRESS IS A CLOSURE DATE
- 20 WILL PROVIDE THE IMPETUS TO MOVE TO THE NEXT GENERATION OF
- 21 MATERIALS MANAGEMENT. THERE WAS DISCUSSION ABOUT THE NEED FOR
- 22 RAIL AND, YES, THAT'S IN THE COUNTY PLANS BUT WE NEED TO MOVE
- 23 BEYOND THAT AND WE ARE MOVING BEYOND THAT, AS IS THE CITY, TO
- 24 GREATER RECYCLING, BUT ALSO RECOVERY AND CONVERSION. 20 TO 25
- 25 YEARS IS A MORE THAN ADEQUATE TIME TO MOVE FULLY TO THAT NEXT



- 1 GENERATION AND, IF A LONGER DATE IS PROVIDED, IT WILL REDUCE
- 2 THE IMPETUS TO DO THE THINGS THAT WE VERY MUCH NEED TO START
- 3 DOING MORE AGGRESSIVELY TODAY. THE LAST TWO POINTS IS TO URGE
- 4 YOU TO IMPOSE THE MORE STRINGENT CONDITIONS, WHETHER IT'S THE
- 5 CITY OR THE COUNTY ISSUE IT, THE MOST STRINGENT CONDITIONS
- 6 PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED AND THOSE PROPOSED APPLY NEED TO COVER, IN
- 7 THE MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS, MAJOR AS WELL AS ROUTINE
- 8 MAINTENANCE AND THE NEED TO ASSURE THAT ANY FUTURE ADOPTED
- 9 FINANCIAL ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS BY THE STATE OR FEDERAL
- 10 GOVERNMENT APPLY TO THIS CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. THANK YOU
- 11 VERY MUCH.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. LET ME CALL UP BECKY
- 14 BENDIKSON, B-E-N-D-I-K-S-O-N, AND MARYANNA KIENHOLTZ. YES.

- 16 LINDA LYE: GOOD MORNING. LIND LYE, ALSO ON BEHALF OF THE POWER
- 17 COALITION. I WANTED JUST TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF CLOSURE DATE.
- 18 IT'S CLEAR THAT THE COMMUNITY NEEDS THE PROTECTION OF A FIRM
- 19 CLOSURE DATE IN ADDITION TO ALL OF THE REASONS DISCUSSED BY MY
- 20 COLLEAGUE, MS. CHATTEN- BROWN. THE QUESTION IS, WHEN SHOULD
- 21 THAT CLOSURE DATE BE? OBVIOUSLY, WE'RE IN FAVOR OF AN EARLY
- 22 CLOSURE DATE. YOU CAN SEE MY SUNSET SUNSHINE 2016 STICKER,
- 23 BUT, AS A MATTER OF LAW AND AS A MATTER OF FAIRNESS, THAT
- 24 CLOSURE DATE SHOULD BE NO FURTHER OUT THAN 25 YEARS FROM NOW
- 25 AND LET ME EXPLAIN WHY. AS A MATTER OF FAIRNESS, IF THE



- 1 CLOSURE DATE IS FURTHER THAN 14 YEARS OUT FROM NOW, SUNSHINE
- 2 CANYON WILL BE THE LONGEST OPERATING LANDFILL IN THE ENTIRE
- 3 COUNTY. IT WILL OUTSTRIP PUENTE HILLS WHICH, WHEN IT CLOSES IN
- 4 2013, AS IS REQUIRED BY ITS C.U.P., WILL HAVE BEEN IN
- 5 OPERATION FOR 57 YEARS. ONCE WE GET TO 2020, SUNSHINE WILL
- 6 HAVE BEEN IN OPERATION FOR 57 YEARS. AS A MATTER OF LAW, IT IS
- 7 CRITICAL THAT THE CLOSURE DATE NOT BE PASSED 25 YEARS-- PAST
- 8 THE RANGE OF 25 YEARS OR THERE WILL BE A VIOLATION OF THE
- 9 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT. THE-- NO S.E.I.R. WAS
- 10 PREPARED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS PROPOSED C.U.P. THE S.E.I.R.
- 11 THAT WAS PREPARED WITH THE LAST SET OF APPROVALS ON THIS
- 12 LANDFILL SAID THAT THE PROJECT WOULD HAVE A 90 MILLION TON
- 13 CAPACITY AND CALCULATED ITS SITE LIFE BASED ON AN 11,000 TON
- 14 PER DAY INTAKE RATE. THE CONCERN THAT WE RAISED BELOW IS THAT
- 15 THE LACK OF A FIRM CLOSURE DATE IN THE C.U.P. WOULD MEAN THAT
- 16 THIS FACILITY COULD BE IN OPERATION FOR SUBSTANTIALLY LONGER
- 17 THAN WAS REPRESENTED IN THE S.E.I.R BECAUSE OF THE CHANGES IN
- 18 TECHNOLOGIES, WHICH ALLOW SUBSTANTIALLY MORE THAN 90 MILLION
- 19 TONS TO BE FIT WITHIN THE PERMITTED AIR SPACE OF SUNSHINE
- 20 CANYON. EXPERT TESTIMONY WE HAVE SUBMITTED SHOWS THAT ACTUALLY
- 21 THE CAPACITY OF THE FACILITY IS MORE LIKE 147 MILLION TONS.
- 22 SO, ABSENT A FIRM CLOSURE DATE AND OUR TONNAGE LIMITATION, THE
- 23 PROJECT, THIS PROJECT WILL BE SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT FROM
- 24 WHAT WAS ACTUALLY ANALYZED IN THE S.E.I.R AND, BECAUSE NO
- 25 S.E.I.R WAS PREPARED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS PROPOSED C.U.P.,



- 1 THERE WILL HAVE BEEN A VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA
- 2 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT. IF WE KEEP BFI TO ITS LIMIT OF 90
- 3 MILLION TONS AND THE WASTE DISPOSED TO DATE, WE CAN CALCULATE
- 4 THE DIFFERENT SITE LIFES AND FIGURE OUT WHAT IS A FAIR CLOSURE
- 5 DATE FROM NOW. 22 YEARS FROM NOW WOULD BE AN 11,000 TON PER
- 6 DAY INTAKE RATE. THAT WAS THE INTAKE RATE THAT WAS USED IN THE
- 7 S.E.I.R. 22 YEARS. 25 YEARS WOULD BE NINE THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED
- 8 AND 15 THOUSAND TONS PER DAY AND, WHILE DIFFERENT FROM WHAT
- 9 WAS IN-- CALCULATED IN THE S.E.I.R., IS AT LEAST SOMEWHAT
- 10 WITHIN THE RANGE. WE UNDERSTAND THAT THERE'S A PROPOSAL ON THE
- 11 TABLE FOR 40 YEARS FROM NOW. THAT, HOWEVER, WOULD ASSUME AN
- 12 INTAKE RATE OF 6,000 TONS PER DAY, WHICH IS SUBSTANTIALLY,
- 13 SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT FROM WHAT WAS ANALYZED IN CALCULATING
- 14 SITE LIFE IN THE S.E.I.R AND, IF THE PROJECT IS ALLOWED TO GO
- 15 FORWARD WITH A 40-YEAR CLOSURE DATE, THEN THE PROJECT WILL BE
- 16 SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT FROM WHAT WAS PREVIOUSLY ANALYZED AND
- 17 THE FAILURE TO PREPARE AN S.E.I.R. IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS
- 18 C.U.P. WILL VIOLATE C.E.Q.A.; THEREFORE, WE URGE A CLOSURE
- 19 DATE ABSOLUTELY NO LATER THAN 25 YEARS FROM NOW BUT IN NO
- 20 EVENT SHOULD MORE THAN 90 MILLION TONS BE DEPOSITED AND THAT'S
- 21 A MATTER OF FAIRNESS AND C.E.Q.A. THANK YOU.

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

24



- 1 SUP. KNABE: SUPERVISOR, COULD-- YEAH, THE C.E.Q.A. VIOLATION,
- 2 NEVER HEARD OF THAT.

3

- 4 RICHARD WEISS: MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, WE
- 5 RESPECTFULLY DISAGREE WITH THAT CONCLUSION. THE COUNTY'S
- 6 ORIGINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THIS PROJECT BACK IN
- 7 1991, WHEN THE BOARD FIRST APPROVED THE PROJECT, CONSIDERED A
- 8 PROJECT ABOVE 215 MILLION TONS BETWEEN A CITY AND COUNTY
- 9 COMBINED LANDFILL. WE BELIEVE THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 10 DOCUMENTATION, BOTH THE COUNTY'S S.E.I.R AND THE CITY'S
- 11 SUBSEQUENT E.I.R., WOULD SUPPORT A LANDFILL-- REVISION TO THIS
- 12 LANDFILL CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT THAT WOULD GIVE YOUR BOARD THE
- 13 LATITUDE TO HAVE A CLOSURE DATE THAT WENT OUT AS FAR AS 40
- 14 YEARS, ASSUMING THERE WAS SOME INTEREST IN DOING THAT.

- 16 LINDA LYE: MAY I RESPOND TO THAT, PLEASE? THE E.I.R. THAT WAS
- 17 PREPARED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ORIGINAL 1993 C.U.P., THE ONE
- 18 THAT THE COUNTY COUNSEL REFERRED TO, WHAT WAS PUT TOWARD IN
- 19 THE DRAFT E.I.R. WAS A 215 MILLION TON FACILITY. THERE WAS AN
- 20 ALTERNATIVE ANALYZED OF A SMALLER COUNTY-ONLY FACILITY. THAT
- 21 WAS WHAT THIS BOARD ADOPTED IN 1993. SO TO SAY THAT YOU CAN
- 22 RELY ON THE 215 MILLION TON PROPOSAL THAT WAS INITIALLY
- 23 FORWARDED IN THE ORIGINAL E.I.R. WHEN THE COUNTY ADOPTED A
- 24 DIFFERENT, SMALLER ONE BECAUSE IT WOULD HAVE SMALLER IMPACTS
- 25 IS BIZARRE.

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO THAT? 2 3 RICHARD WEISS: I WAS NOT SUGGESTING THAT THE BOARD WOULD 4 5 APPROVE 215 MILLION TONS. WHAT IS WAS SUGGESTING WAS THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION ASSESSED A PROJECT THAT WAS 6 7 SUBSTANTIALLY MORE THAN 90 MILLION AND YOU'RE NOT... 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M SORRY? ASSESSED A PROJECT... 10 RICHARD WEISS: OF SUBSTANTIALLY MORE THAN 90 MILLION TONS 11 TOTAL AND YOU'RE NOT CONSTRAINED JUST TO 90 MILLION TONS. 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DID THE BOARD THEN CERTIFY-- WHAT DID IT 14 15 CERTIFY AT THE TIME AFTER EVALUATING ALL OF THE ALTERNATIVES? 16 RICHARD WEISS: THE BOARD CERTIFIED THAT ENVIRONMENTAL 17 18 DOCUMENT. THE BOARD DID... 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE ONE WITH THE 90... 20 21 22 RICHARD WEISS: THE BOARD APPROVED A PROJECT THAT PROVIDED FOR 23 AN ESTIMATE 90 MILLION TONS.

> The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS-- JUST-- I'M ASKING A QUESTION, IS-- ONCE
- 2 THE BOARD CERTIFIES AN ALTERNATIVE, DOES IT NEGATE OR MOOT ALL
- 3 OF THE OTHER ALTERNATIVES THAT HAVE BEEN EVALUATED OR ARE THEY
- 4 STILL LIVE FOR AN INDEFINITE PERIOD OF TIME?

5

- 6 RICHARD WEISS: WELL, WHAT THE BOARD APPROVED AT THE TIME SETS
- 7 THE LIMITS FOR THAT PERMIT BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT THE
- 8 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT COULDN'T SUPPORT REVISIONS TO THAT
- 9 PROJECT THAT INVOLVE MORE THAN 90 MILLION TONS.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, OF COURSE, THEY COULD HAVE BUT THEY
- 12 DIDN'T, SO THEIR THEIR ARGUMENT WOULD BE-- I WOULD IMAGINE
- 13 THEIR ARGUMENT WOULD BE THAT THE COUNTY NEVER CERTIFIED THAT
- 14 OTHER THING, SO THEREFORE THE ONLY THING BEFORE US, THE ONLY
- 15 THING THAT WAS CERTIFIED BY THE COUNTY IN ITS INFINITE WISDOM
- 16 IN 1993, WAS THE 90 MILLION TONS. IS THAT WHAT...?

17

- 18 RICHARD WEISS: WELL, NO. THE BOARD CERTIFIED THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 19 IMPACT REPORT THAT ANALYZED A PROJECT OF UP TO 215 MILLION
- 20 TONS. THE BOARD CERTIFIED THAT E.I.R. IN ORDER TO SUPPORT A
- 21 PROJECT THAT HAPPENED TO INCLUDE 90 MILLION TON, ROUGHLY 90
- 22 MILLION TONS CAPACITY.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: COULDN'T SOMEBODY, LOOKING FROM A DIFFERENT
- 25 POINT OF VIEW, SAY THEY CERTIFIED THE 90 MILLION TONS BECAUSE



- 1 THEY HAD DETERMINED THAT THE 250 MILLION TONS WAS NOT
- 2 SUPPORTABLE, THAT THEY WOULD NOT MITIGABLE OR, FOR A VARIETY
- 3 OF REASONS, DECIDED THAT WAS JUST NOT POSSIBLE SO THEY WENT
- 4 FOR THE 90 MILLION, A SMALLER PROJECT AS, IN PART, A
- 5 MITIGATION FOR THE IMPACTS OF ALL OF THIS? COULDN'T THAT BE
- 6 WHAT THEY WOULD HAVE ARGUE?

7

- 8 RICHARD WEISS: THAT IS POSSIBLE. I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THE
- 9 ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS ADOPTED BY THE BOARD INDICATED THAT NO
- 10 MORE THAN 90 MILLION TONS WOULD BE PERMISSIBLE, GIVEN THE
- 11 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT WERE THE FINDINGS THAT THE BOARD
- 14 MADE FOR THE 90 MILLION RATHER THAN GOING FOR A HIGHER NUMBER
- 15 AT THE TIME?

16

- 17 RICHARD WEISS: AND I CAN ASK DR. KOUTNIK, PERHAPS, TO SUPPORT
- 18 THIS. THE BOARD, AT THAT TIME, FELT THAT THAT WAS AN
- 19 APPROPRIATE SIZED PROJECT, GIVEN THE PRACTICALITIES OF A
- 20 COUNTY AND A CITY LANDFILL.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT WERE THE FINDINGS?



- 1 DR. DARYL KOUTNIK: I ACTUALLY DON'T RECALL THE FINDINGS. I
- 2 KNOW THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT WAS CERTIFIED FOR THE
- 3 LARGER...

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAVE A SNEAKING SUSPICION THAT THEY MAY
- 6 REMEMBER THE FINDINGS.

7

8 LINDA LYE: I HAVE SOME IN MY BRIEFCASE. CAN I GET THEM?

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OH, NO, NO. I'M SORRY, YOU'RE RIGHT.

11

- 12 DR. DARYL KOUTNIK: THE CERTIFICATION WAS FOR THE ORIGINAL
- 13 ANALYSIS OF THE LARGER LANDFILL, ALTHOUGH, AT THE TIME, THE
- 14 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DID NOT, AS A LAND USE DECISION, ASSUME
- 15 THAT THAT WAS A CORRECT DECISION FOR THE COUNTY TO MAKE, SO
- 16 THEY APPROVED A SMALLER PROJECT AS FAR AS COMBINED CITY/COUNTY
- 17 LANDFILL.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DID YOU SAY THEY CERTIFIED THE LARGER
- 20 PROJECT?

21

22 DR. DARYL KOUTNIK: YES.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT-- THAT'S NOT WHAT OUR LAWYER SAID.



- 1 RICHARD WEISS: THE BOARD CERTIFIES AN ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT.
- 2 THE BOARD THEN APPROVES A PROJECT, BASED ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 3 DOCUMENT. THE BOARD-- IN OTHER CONTEXTS, FOR EXAMPLE, AN
- 4 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT COULD BE PREPARED TO SUPPORT UP TO 500
- 5 HOMES IN A SUBDIVISION. THE BOARD MAY DECIDE ONLY TO APPROVE
- 6 300 HOMES ON DAY ONE. THE BOARD MAY CONSIDER REVISIONS TO THAT
- 7 PERMIT AT SOME SUBSEQUENT TIME TO APPROVE MORE HOMES WITHIN
- 8 THE ENVELOPE OF THAT ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT, WHICH HAD
- 9 ASSESSED A LARGER NUMBER. IT WOULD BE UP TO THE BOARD TO
- 10 DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT THAT WAS FULLY ADEQUATE.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'VE GOT TO TELL YOU, I'M NOT A LAWYER BUT
- 13 I'VE NEVER HEARD OF SUCH A THING. I MEAN, LET'S-- BECAUSE--
- 14 AND I APPRECIATE YOU USING THE HOME EXAMPLE BECAUSE IT'S THE
- 15 ONE WITH WHICH MOST OF US ARE MORE FAMILIAR. IF YOU HAD A
- 16 PROJECT OR AN APPLICATION FOR 500 HOMES AND A DECISION MAKING
- 17 BODY SAID IT'S GOING TO BE 300, THAT DOESN'T ENTITLE THE
- 18 DEVELOPER TO COME BACK 20 YEARS LATER, 10 YEARS LATER, RELYING
- 19 ON A PREVIOUS E.I.R. THAT WAS NOT CERTIFIED FOR 500 HOMES, AND
- 20 SAY, BY THE WAY THEY REVIEWED 500, SO I'D LIKE TO GO TO
- 21 ANOTHER 200. YOU HAVE TO GO THROUGH A WHOLE NEW PROCESS, DO
- 22 YOU NOT?

- 24 RICHARD WEISS: ABSOLUTELY. I'M NOT SUGGESTING IT'S A MATTER OF
- 25 RIGHT. I'M SUGGESTING THAT THAT ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT, WHICH



- 1 HAD ANALYZED A LARGER PROJECT, COULD STILL SUPPORT A
- 2 DISCRETIONARY DECISION TO ADD MORE CAPACITY.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT WE WOULD SUPERSEDE IT WITH THE
- 5 ACTION THAT WE HAD TAKEN BECAUSE THE ACTION THAT WE HAD TAKEN,
- 6 WE LIMITED IT TO 300 HOMES WITH THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF
- 7 MITIGATION THAT WE IMPLIED. I MEAN, WE JUST HAD A CASE HERE
- 8 WHERE A PERSON OR WE PUT ASIDE OPEN SPACE TRIED TO COME IN AND
- 9 BUILD HOUSING ON THAT AND WE SAID, NO, WE ALREADY CONDITIONED
- 10 THAT AS OPEN SPACE.

11

- 12 RICHARD WEISS: YES, MR. MAYOR, BUT MY POINT IS THAT
- 13 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT CREATES AN ENVELOPE AND, IF THE
- 14 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT, IT IS DONE CORRECTLY, THE BOARD IS
- 15 AUTHORIZED TO APPROVE A PROJECT THAT GOES TO THAT ENVELOPE. IF
- 16 THE BOARD DECIDES TO APPROVE A LESSER PROJECT, THAT DOES NOT
- 17 MEAN THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT COULD NOT BE USED FOR
- 18 SUBSEQUENT DECISIONS THAT WOULD BE DISCRETIONARY DECISIONS...

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. HOW LONG CAN AN ENVIRONMENTAL
- 21 DOCUMENT BE USED? 20 YEARS? 10 YEARS? 30 YEARS?

- 23 RICHARD WEISS: THERE IS NO SET TIME PERIOD. AN ENVIRONMENTAL
- 24 DOCUMENT CAN BE USED AS LONG AS IT STILL ACCURATELY REFLECTS
- 25 THE ENVIRONMENT.



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. IN THE LAST TEN YEARS, HAVE THERE BEEN

- 3 ANY MEANINGFUL CHANGES IN THE NORTH SAN FERNANDO VALLEY AREA,
- 4 THE RIM OF THE VALLEY WHERE-- THAT MIGHT REQUIRE AT LEAST A--
- 5 WHAT DO YOU CALL IT...

6

7 RICHARD WEISS: SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENT DOCUMENT.

8

SUP. MOLINA: ...SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT?

10

- 11 RICHARD WEISS: THAT CERTAINLY WAS THE QUESTION THAT WAS POSED
- 12 TO STAFF UNDER THE PLANNING COMMISSION WHEN THIS PROJECT WAS
- 13 FIRST PROPOSED. YOUR BOARD APPROVED AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
- 14 REPORT THAT WENT BACK TO 1993. THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES CREATED
- 15 A SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT THAT WAS CERTIFIED IN
- 16 1999 AND AN ANALYSIS WAS DONE BY STAFF AS TO WHETHER OR NOT
- 17 THOSE DOCUMENTS WERE STILL ACCURATE AND WHETHER OR NOT THEY
- 18 STILL FAIRLY DESCRIBED THE ENVIRONMENTAL CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER
- 19 WHICH THE PROJECT, AS PROPOSED NOW, EXISTS.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WE'RE RELYING ON A DOCUMENT THAT
- 22 DESCRIBES AN AREA 13 YEARS AGO, CORRECT?

23

24 RICHARD WEISS: WE ARE...



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S WHAT WE'RE BEING ASKED TO DO?

2

- 3 RICHARD WEISS: WE ARE RELYING, IN PART, ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 4 IMPACT REPORT THAT THE BOARD ADOPTED IN 1993, AS WELL AS THE
- 5 SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT RELIED UPON BY THE CITY
- 6 IN 1999. AND AN ANALYSIS WAS DONE TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT
- 7 INFORMATION WAS STILL ACCURATE. STAFF DETERMINED THAT, AFTER
- 8 DOING AN ANALYSIS, THAT, IN FACT, THAT CIRCUMSTANCES HAD NOT
- 9 SIGNIFICANTLY CHANGED, THAT THE PROJECT DID NOT CREATE ANY NEW
- 10 SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS OR SIGNIFICANTLY GREATER IMPACTS THAN WHAT
- 11 HAD BEEN ANALYZED IN THOSE TWO DOCUMENTS.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU KNOW, THERE WAS A CASE LIKE THIS, I
- 14 DON'T REMEMBER WHAT IT WAS, I'M LOSING MY MEMORY HERE BUT I
- 15 REMEMBER MY RESPONSE TO A SIMILAR ARGUMENT TO THIS WHICH IS,
- 16 IT'S LIKE SAYING TO SOMEBODY WHO HAS GONE TO A-- WHO WENT TO
- 17 THE DOCTOR IN 1993 AND GOT ONE OF THOSE THREE DIMENSIONAL
- 18 SCANS OF THEIR HEART AND IT SHOWED NO BLOCKAGES ANYWHERE, 13
- 19 YEARS LATER, THERE'S SOME PAIN IN THE HEART AND THE DOCTOR
- 20 SAYS, "WELL, WE'LL RELY ON THE 1993 SCAN," WITHOUT TO THE FACT
- 21 THAT YOUR... [APPLAUSE]

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ...13 YEARS, THAT THINGS HAVE CHANGED. I
- 24 MEAN, I'M NOT GOING TO ARGUE WITH YOU, RICK, BECAUSE YOU'RE A
- 25 GOOD LAWYER.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: JUST LOOK AT THE POPULATION AND THE
- 3 TRAFFIC.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MY INSTINCTS TELL ME THAT-- REASON TELLS ME
- 6 THAT THINGS HAVE CHANGED AND THAT YOU -- I'VE ALWAYS BEEN --
- 7 MAYBE I'M MISTAKEN BUT I'VE ALWAYS BEEN UNDER THE IMPRESSION
- 8 THAT YOU HAVE-- THAT THERE'S A LIMIT ON HOW LONG YOU CAN USE
- 9 AN ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT. MAYBE IT'S JUST A PRACTICAL LIMIT,
- 10 MAYBE THERE IS NO LEGAL LIMIT.

- 12 RICHARD WEISS: THERE IS NO SET TIME PERIOD IN WHICH AN
- 13 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT CAN BE USED. IN SOME CONTEXTS, IT MAY
- 14 NOT BE USEFUL FOR MORE THAN A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. IN OTHERS-
- 15 AND IF I MAY, AND I'M NOT TRYING TO ARGUE, EITHER, BUT GIVEN
- 16 YOUR ANALOGY, WHAT I'M TELLING YOU IS THAT, WHEN STAFF SET OUT
- 17 TO EVALUATE THIS PROJECT, THEY TOOK ANOTHER THREE DIMENSIONAL
- 18 PICTURE OF THE HEART TO MAKE SURE THAT THINGS HAD NOT
- 19 SUBSTANTIALLY CHANGED FROM WHAT THEY HAD ANALYZED BEFORE AND
- 20 THAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THE ANALYSIS HERE AND STAFF
- 21 DETERMINED, AND WE BELIEVE LEGALLY IT'S DEFENSIBLE, THAT,
- 22 GIVEN WHAT IS IN FRONT OF THE BOARD, THIS IS NOT-- IN ESSENCE,
- 23 THIS IS NOT AN INCREASE OF THE PROJECT. THIS IS TRYING TO
- 24 HARMONIZE TWO APPROVALS THAT THE BOARD AND THE CITY COUNCIL
- 25 HAVE GIVEN. THERE'S NO INCREASE IN THE SIZE OF THE LANDFILL,



- 1 THERE'S NO INCREASE IN THE AMOUNT OF DAILY TONNAGE CAPACITY
- 2 THAT, GIVEN THAT AND GIVEN THE FACT THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO
- 3 SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES, AND REASONABLE PEOPLE
- 4 CAN DISAGREE BUT THAT'S NONETHELESS WHAT WAS CONSIDERED, WE
- 5 BELIEVE THAT THE LEGAL CRITERIA FOR CREATION OF A-- OR FOR A
- 6 REQUIRED CREATION OF A SUBSEQUENT E.I.R. WAS NOT MET AND AN
- 7 ADDENDUM WAS PREPARED AND WE BELIEVE THAT'S LEGALLY ADEQUATE
- 8 IF YOUR BOARD CHOOSES TO APPROVE THIS PROPOSAL.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YOU HAD A-- YOU LOOKED FOR A PIECE OF
- 11 PAPER.

- 13 LINDA LYE: I JUST WANTED TO READ FROM THE FINDINGS ABOUT WHAT
- 14 WAS APPROVED. THIS IS THE DOCUMENT CALLED "ADDITIONAL
- 15 INFORMATION AND ANALYSIS REGARDING THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
- 16 REPORT FOR THE SUNSHINE LANDFILL EXTENSION DATED APRIL 1993."
- 17 ONE OF THE PRIMARY ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE COUNTY IN RESPONSE TO
- 18 CONCERNS RAISED DURING THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS WAS TO
- 19 APPROVE A 215-ACRE LANDFILL FILLING FOOTPRINT WITH AN
- 20 APPROXIMATE DISPOSAL CAPACITY OF 16.9 MILLION TONS, INSTEAD OF
- 21 OVER 500 ACRES WITHIN APPROXIMATELY DISPOSAL OF 70 MILLION
- 22 TONS, AS REQUESTED BY THE PROJECT APPLICANT. BY TAILORING THE
- 23 PROJECT APPROVALS TO THIS REDUCED LANDFILL FOOTPRINT, THE
- 24 COUNTY WILL RETAIN CONTROL OVER ANY SUBSEQUENT EXPANSION OF
- 25 THE LANDFILL. IF THE PROJECT APPLICANT SEEKS PERMISSION FROM



- 1 THE COUNTY FOR AN EXPANSION OF THE LANDFILL WITHIN THE
- 2 UNINCORPORATED AREA OF THE COUNTY, FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL
- 3 REVIEW WILL BE REQUIRED AS NECESSARY. SO THE ORIGINAL PROJECT
- 4 THAT WAS PROPOSED WAS...

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT HE'S SAYING IS THAT FURTHER
- 7 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW WAS DONE. IT MAY NOT BE TO YOUR
- 8 SATISFACTION BUT HE'S SAYING IT WAS DONE AND, AS HE SAID,
- 9 REASONABLE PEOPLE CAN DIFFER ABOUT WHETHER IT'S ADEQUATE, BUT
- 10 HE SAYS IT WAS DONE.

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

13

14 LINDA LYE: THANK YOU.

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

17

- 18 ARNOLD SACHS: GOOD AFTERNOON. ARNOLD SACHS. I'M JUST READING
- 19 THE SUMMARY...

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

- 23 ARNOLD SACHS: ARNOLD SACHS. I'M JUST READING THE SUMMARY OF
- 24 THE RECENTLY USED PERMIT CASE AND THEY WANT TO INCREASE DAILY
- 25 INTAKE FROM 6,000 TONS TO 12,100 AND A WEEKLY INCREASE-- TO



- 1 MAKE IT FROM A WEEKLY INCREASE FROM 36,000 TO 66,000. THE
- 2 NUMBERS JUST DON'T ADD UP. YOU HAVE 12,100 TONS DAILY TIMES
- 3 SIX, THAT'S 72,600. I JUST DON'T KNOW WHY NOBODY SUGGESTS THE
- 4 BASIC NUMBERS OF THE SUMMARY HERE. THAT'S IT.

5

- 6 FRANK MENESES: I BELIEVE I CAN ANSWER THAT. THE 11,000 TONS
- 7 PER DAY REFERS TO TYPICAL TRASH, WHEREAS THE 12,100 INCLUDES
- 8 EXEMPT MATERIALS SUCH AS DIRT AND MATERIALS THAT WOULD BE USED
- 9 FOR BENEFICIAL USES, SO THAT ADDITIONAL 1,100 WOULD INCLUDE
- 10 THE CITY'S ALLOWANCE FOR THAT AS WELL AS THE CITY.

11

- 12 ARNOLD SACHS: WELL, IT'S ACTUALLY-- IT'S AN ACTUAL 6,000 EXTRA
- 13 TONS, YOU'RE GOING FROM 6,000 TO 12,000, DOUBLING IT.

14

- 15 FRANK MENESES: THE 11,000 INCLUDES THE CITIES AND THE
- 16 COUNTIES, 6,000 AND 5,000. THAT'S 11,000.

17

- 18 ARNOLD SACHS: THERE'S NO 11,000 IN THIS SUMMARY. I'M JUST
- 19 READING THE NUMBERS RIGHT FROM THE SUMMARY HERE. INTAKE FROM
- 20 6,000 TONS PER DAY TO 12,100 TONS PER DAY. INCREASING THE
- 21 WEEKLY INTAKE FROM 36,000 TO 66,000.

- 23 FRANK MENESES: WELL, ON THE COUNTY SIDE, THERE'S ONLY 6,000
- 24 RIGHT NOW. BUT, ON THE CITY SIDE, THERE'S 5,000 AND WHAT THEY



- 1 WANT TO DO IS COMBINE THE TWO SO THAT THEY CAN HAVE BOTH
- 2 11,000 ON THE COUNTY OR THE CITY.

3

- 4 ARNOLD SACHS: AGAIN, I UNDERSTAND BUT THE NUMBERS THAT ARE IN
- 5 THE SUMMARY...

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: CAN YOU JUST EITHER AGREE WITH THEM? IS THAT WHAT
- 8 YOUR CONCERN IS, THAT THE MATH IS INCORRECT?

9

10 ARNOLD SACHS: EXACTLY. THE MATH IS INCORRECT.

11

12 SPEAKER: WE CAN EXPLAIN IT TO YOU LATER ON AND CLARIFY IT.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN YOU GET AN EXPLANATION TO HIM?

15

- 16 FRANK MENESES: I WAS TRYING TO. RIGHT NOW, ON THE COUNTY SIDE,
- 17 THEY'RE APPROVED FOR 6,000 TONS PER DAY. ON THE CITY SIDE,
- 18 THEY HAVE 5,000 TONS PER DAY. THIS PROPOSAL IS TO COMBINE
- 19 THOSE TWO, WHICH WOULD ADD UP TO 11,000, AND THEN THEY COULD
- 20 USE THAT 11,000 ON EITHER THE CITY OR THE COUNTY. WHEN YOU
- 21 REFER TO THE 12,100, THAT WOULD INCLUDE EXEMPT MATERIALS ON
- 22 BOTH THE COUNTY AND THE CITY SIDE, SO THE 11,000 IS JUST
- 23 TRASH. 12,100 INCLUDES TRASH AND EXEMPT MATERIALS.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU. 2 3 FRANK MENESES: YOU'RE WELCOME. 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: CHERIE MANN. 6 7 8 BECKY BENDIKSON: I'M BECKY BENDIKSON. SOMEBODY CALLED MY NAME. 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. YOU'RE UP. 10 11 BECKY BENDIKSON: OKAY. GOOD AFTERNOON, MAYOR ANTONOVICH AND 12 SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS BECKY BENDIKSON. I'M HONORED TO HAVE 13 BEEN ASKED BY MARY EDWARDS TO BE HER VOICE HERE TODAY. AND 14 15 THIS IS MARY'S TESTIMONY. FOR DECADES NOW, I HAVE COME BEFORE 16 YOU IN THE HOPE OF CHANGING THE APPROVALS THAT SO DEEPLY IMPACTED THE LIVES OF MY NEIGHBORS. I HAVE CANCER NOW AND THIS 17 18 IS THE LAST TIME MY VOICE WILL BE HEARD IN THIS CHAMBER. SO I 19 ASK YOUR INDULGENCE TO GIVE ME TIME TO SAY WHAT SO DESPERATELY NEEDS TO BE SAID. ... (VOICE WAVERING)... OF COURSE, INITIALLY, 20 21 I NAIVELY THOUGHT NO ONE WOULD CITE A GIANT LANDFILL NEXT TO 22 THE LARGEST WATER TREATMENT FACILITY IN THE UNITED STATES AND, IN THE PROCESS, DESTROY A DESIGNATED SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL 23

AREA, VALUABLE WETLANDS AND BRING DOWN THOUSANDS OF OAK TREES,

NOW OVER 3,000 AND COUNTING, TREES WHICH THE FORESTRY SERVICE



- 1 IDENTIFIED AS THE DENSEST HARDWOOD FOREST IN THE COUNTY. I
- 2 NEVER BELIEVED THAT A CORPORATION COULD INFLUENCE THOSE WHOM I
- 3 BELIEVE TO BE THE THOUGHTFUL AND GOOD ELECTED OFFICIALS THAT
- 4 CONTROL THE SYSTEM AND WOULD NEVER APPROVE THE PROJECT. I SOON
- 5 FOUND OUT THE BOTTOM LINE WAS CORPORATE PROFIT AND THAT THE
- 6 BOTTOM LINE WOULD BE AGGRESSIVELY AND RUTHLESSLY PURSUED AT
- 7 THE EXPENSE OF THE TRUTH AND THE HEALTH OF OUR COMMUNITY. NOW
- 8 BFI IS SAYING THAT OUR COMMUNITY IS HEALTHY, BASED ON AN
- 9 ENTIRELY INADEOUATE SURVEY, BUT WE KNOW THAT IT IS NOT. I AM
- 10 AN OLD WOMAN AND CANCER MIGHT BE EXPECTED IN MY AGE GROUP BUT
- 11 I HAVE WATCHED AS THIS SCOURGE HAS DEVASTATED OUR COMMUNITY.
- 12 OVER THE YEARS, I HAVE HELD TOO MANY HANDS AND BROUGHT TOO
- 13 MANY CUPS OF SOUP TO MY NEIGHBORS NOT TO KNOW THAT THIS WAS
- 14 MORE THAN CIRCUMSTANCE. NO ONE EVER WANTED TO BELIEVE THIS
- 15 UNTIL IT BECAME UNDENIABLE. THERE ARE SIX PROPERTIES THAT
- 16 ADJOIN MINE AND, OUT OF THAT SIX, THERE HAVE BEEN SEVEN CANCER
- 17 DEATHS TO PEOPLE IN THEIR 40S AND EARLY 50S. IN ALL BUT ONE
- 18 CASE, THE FAMILIES HAVE MOVED AWAY AND NO RECORD EXISTS OF
- 19 THEIR HAVING LIVED THERE. WE KNOW THAT REAL ESTATE AGENTS ARE
- 20 NOT REQUIRED TO REPORT CANCER AND NONE ARE GOING TO INFORM
- 21 BUYERS OF ITS EXISTENCE IN THE COMMUNITY. WITHIN JUST THE LAST
- 22 WEEKS, THERE HAVE BEEN TWO DEATHS IN THE STAFF OF THE SCHOOL
- 23 ACROSS THE STREET FROM US. THE TAYLORS HAVE JUST BROUGHT THEIR
- 24 SON HOME WITH ADVANCED CANCER. THERE HAVE BEEN A FEW SURVIVORS
- 25 AND LUCKILY TWO OF MY CHILDREN ARE AMONG THEM. MY CHILDREN NOW

17

19

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 LIVE IN THEIR OWN HOMES AND WOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN RECORDED AS
- 2 HAVING LIVED IN THE AREA. IF IT IS YOUR INTENTION TO SENTENCE
- 3 ANOTHER GENERATION TO WHAT THIS PROJECT PRODUCES, PLEASE THINK
- 4 OF US. AFTER ALMOST 50 YEARS OF OPERATION, PLEASE PUT IN PLACE
- 5 THE TIME RESTRICTIONS WE HAVE REQUESTED. PLEASE MOVE
- 6 VIGOROUSLY AND COURAGEOUSLY TOWARD REQUIRING THE CORRECT
- 7 CITING, ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGIES AND CONVERSION FACILITIES.
- 8 PLEASE REQUIRE THE AQMD TO SET THE HIGHEST STANDARDS AVAILABLE
- 9 FOR DIESEL VEHICLES. THIS MONTH, BFI IS PLANNING TO REQUEST A
- 10 VARIANCE AGAIN TO PUT OVER APPROXIMATELY 6.5 MORE POUNDS OF
- 11 REACTIVE ORGANIC GASES INTO OUR AIR. I AM CALLED TO
- 12 FORGIVENESS AND THAT I EXTEND WILLINGLY TO EVERYONE, INCLUDING
- 13 THOSE WITH WHOM I HAVE SO DEEPLY DIFFERED. I KNOW THAT THERE
- 14 IS GOOD IN EACH PERSON AND I ASK YOU TO EXAMINE YOUR HEARTS TO
- 15 FIND THAT PLACE. GIVE US A DATE CERTAIN TO CLOSE THIS LANDFILL
- 16 AND PROTECTION OF OUR HEALTH. THANK YOU.

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. YES, MA'AM. [APPLAUSE]

- 20 MARYANNA KIENHOLTZ: I'M MARYANNA KIENHOLTZ AND I'M A 38-YEAR
- 21 RESIDENT OF GRANADA HILLS. I LIVE IN THE AREA WHERE THE HEALTH
- 22 SURVEY WAS DONE. I WAS NOT INTERVIEWED. I HAVE ASTHMA AND I
- 23 HAD A 40-YEAR-OLD DAUGHTER WHO WAS DIAGNOSED WITH CANCER. BUT
- 24 I'M HERE TODAY TO SUPPORT THOSE THAT HAVE SPOKEN BEFORE ME TO
- 25 OPPOSE THIS ISSUANCE OF THE C.U.P. AND OF UTMOST IMPORTANCE IS



- 1 THAT WE HAVE A CLOSURE DATE. IT SEEMS THAT EVERYTHING THAT WE
- 2 DO IN RECYCLING OR TRYING TO GET RID OF THIS TRASH WE WILL BE
- 3 PENALIZED IF THERE IS NOT A CLOSING DATE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

6

- 7 CHERIE MANN: MY NAME IS CHERIE MANN. I LIVE NOT NEAR THE
- 8 LANDFILL, BUT SOUTH BUT THE ISSUE TO ME I FOUND INTERESTING
- 9 LISTENING TO THOSE WHO SUPPORT THE PERMIT PROPOSED TODAY.
- 10 THEY'RE BUSINESS PEOPLE AND, FROM AN ECONOMIC POINT OF VIEW,
- 11 IT MIGHT NOT BE WORTHY. HOWEVER, THE BUSINESS AND COMMERCIAL
- 12 PROPERTIES OF THE VERY PEOPLE WHO DO NOT RECYCLE, IT WOULD
- 13 MAKE A GREAT DEAL OF SENSE THAT BUSINESS NEVER WANTS CLOSURE.
- 14 I THINK THEY HAVE TO WORK MORE-- BUSINESS HAS TO REVISE THEIR
- 15 THINKING. OUALITY OF LIFE STILL IS IMPORTANT. BUSINESS IS
- 16 VITAL. CALIFORNIA, FORTUNATELY, IS DOING-- IS DOING WELL.
- 17 COMPARED TO THE COUNTRY, WE ARE IN A VERY FORTUNATE POSITION.
- 18 I DO NOT SUPPORT. WE NEED A CLOSURE DATE AND I DO NOT SUPPORT
- 19 THE PERMIT PROPOSED TO YOU TODAY. THANK YOU.

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. THAT CONCLUDES THE
- 22 TESTIMONY OF THE PROPONENTS AND OPPONENTS ON THIS PROVISION.
- 23 AS YOU KNOW, THE ISSUE HAD BEEN BEFORE THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 24 COMMISSION FIVE TIMES. DURING THE HEARINGS, THE COMMISSION
- 25 HEARD EXTENSIVE INFORMATION FROM PUBLIC -- FROM THE PUBLIC.



- 1 THEY REVIEWED VOLUMES OF INFORMATION THAT INCLUDED THAT THE
- 2 REQUESTED C.U.P. DID NOT MEET THE STATED CRITERIA IN THE
- 3 COUNTY'S GENERAL PLAN AND IT WAS VERY IMPORTANT. THE
- 4 COMMISSION, THEY HAD A UNANIMOUS VOTE AND THEY FOUND THAT THE
- 5 CRITERIA IN THIS PROPOSAL DID NOT MEET THE COUNTY'S GENERAL
- 6 PLAN. THEIR FINDINGS IDENTIFIED CONCERNS BOTH ABOUT THE LACK
- 7 OF A CLOSURE DATE AND THE TRAFFIC IMPACTS THAT HAD NOT BEEN
- 8 MITIGATED TO ACCEPTABLE LEVELS AND THEY CONCLUDED THAT THE
- 9 APPLICANT HAD NOT MET THE BURDEN OF PROOF. TODAY'S TESTIMONY
- 10 AGAIN PRESENTS-- DOES NOT PRESENT ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
- 11 DEMONSTRATING THAT THE BURDEN OF PROOF HAD BEEN MET, SO THE
- 12 MOTION I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE IS THAT WE MOVE THAT THE BOARD
- 13 CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND SIGNIFY OUR INTENT TO AFFIRM THE
- 14 DECISION, THE MAJORITY DECISION OF THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 15 COMMISSION, IN DENYING CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT 001945 AND
- 16 DIRECT COUNTY COUNSEL TO DRAFT FINDINGS FOR DENIAL.

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SECOND.

20 SUP. KNABE: YOUR MOTION IS WHAT, TO DENY?

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE MOTION IS TO ACCEPT THE
- 23 RECOMMENDATION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, WHICH WAS TO DENY
- 24 THE...

25

17

19



```
SUP. KNABE: ROLL CALL.
2
3
    SUP. MOLINA: HAS IT BEEN SECONDED?
4
5
    SUP. KNABE: ZEV SECONDED IT.
6
7
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: CALL THE ROLL.
8
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?
10
    SUP. MOLINA: NO.
11
12
13
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR BURKE?
14
    SUP. BURKE: NO.
15
16
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?
17
18
19
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AYE.
20
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR KNABE?
21
22
23
    SUP. KNABE: NO.
24
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?
25
```



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AYE. MOTION FAILS 2-3.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE: MAYOR, I HAVE A MOTION THAT I'D LIKE MY FOLKS TO
- 5 HAND OUT THAT WE CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND INDICATE OUR
- 6 INTENT TO APPROVE THE C.U.P. NUMBER 0-194-5, WHICH REPLACES
- 7 THE CURRENT C.U.P. NUMBER 86-312, BASED UPON STAFF'S
- 8 RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS, WITH THE FOLLOWING REVISED CLOSURE
- 9 LANGUAGE TO BE ADDED TO DRAFT CONDITION 13. ASSUMING THAT A
- 10 JOINT CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL HAS BECOME OPERATIONAL AND THE
- 11 APPLICANT HAS NOT OTHERWISE EXHAUSTED THE AVAILABLE LANDFILL
- 12 CAPACITY AS SET FORTH IN THE PERMIT, DURING THE YEAR FOLLOWING
- 13 THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THIS GRANT, THE BOARD SHALL AUTHORIZE
- 14 A STUDY TO DETERMINE THE REMAINING CAPACITY AUTHORIZED BY THIS
- 15 PERMIT FOR THE LANDFILL, PREMISED UPON THE STUDY'S FINDINGS
- 16 THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL ESTABLISH A DATE CERTAIN
- 17 FOR THE TERMINATION OF THE RECEIPT OF SOLID WASTE AT THE
- 18 LANDFILL. IN NO EVENT SHALL THAT DATE EXCEED 40 YEARS.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN?

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I ASK THE REPRESENTATIVES OF BFI TO COME
- 2 FORWARD? I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS ON THIS ISSUE, THE CLOSURE
- 3 DATE.

4

5 MR. EDWARDS: YES, SIR.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IN SPEAKING WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY
- 8 EARLY THIS AFTERNOON BEFORE THE MEETING STARTED, I WAS ADVISED
- 9 AND I WANT TO ASK YOU WHETHER YOU CONCUR THAT, AT SOME POINT,
- 10 I DON'T KNOW THE DATE, BUT SOME TIME AGO WHEN THIS ITEM WAS
- 11 BEFORE THE CITY, NOT THIS LAST TIME BUT EARLIER, THAT BFI
- 12 TESTIFIED BEFORE CITY AGENCIES, COMMITTEES IN THE CITY COUNCIL
- 13 OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, THAT YOU-- THAT A 20-YEAR CLOSURE
- 14 DATE-- I GUESS IT WAS AT THE LAST HEARING, I BELIEVE, IS WHAT
- 15 I'M REFERRING TO, THAT A 20-YEAR CLOSURE DATE WOULD BE
- 16 ACCEPTABLE TO BFI. IS THAT TRUE?

17

- 18 MR. EDWARDS: NO, SIR. OUR CURRENT APPROVALS ALLOW US TO FILL
- 19 TO LIMITS AND CONTOURS. WE'VE NEVER DISCUSSED A 20-YEAR LIMIT.
- 20 IN FACT, THE E.I.R.S THAT WERE DISCUSSED TODAY ESTABLISH AN
- 21 ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF TIME AND AN ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF TONNAGE,
- 22 ALL OF WHICH EXCEED 20 YEARS AND ARE MORE IN LINE WITH THE
- 23 MOTION THAT HAS BEEN PRESENTED TODAY, SO...



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN YOU-- DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA WHY A CITY
- 2 REPRESENTATIVE WOULD BE UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT BFI OR ITS
- 3 REPRESENTATIVES MADE SUCH A COMMITMENT OR STATEMENT?

4

5 MR. EDWARDS: I DON'T KNOW, SUPERVISOR.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THERE ANY EXPLANATION AS TO WHY THERE MAY
- 8 BE SOME CONFUSION ABOUT THAT?

9

10 MR. EDWARDS: I CAN'T ANSWER THAT, SIR. I DON'T KNOW.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU HAVE NEVER-- BFI HAS NEVER INDICATED OR
- 13 INTIMATED OR IMPLIED THAT A 20-YEAR CLOSURE DATE WOULD BE
- 14 ACCEPTABLE?

15

16 MR. EDWARDS: ABSOLUTELY NOT.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HAVE YOU EVER SAID ANYTHING ABOUT A CLOSURE
- 19 DATE IN TERMS OF THE YEARS, THE TIME LIMIT?

20

21 MR. EDWARDS: THERE-- NO. I MEAN, WHAT...

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS YOUR POSITION ON A CLOSURE DATE? DO
- 24 YOU BELIEVE THAT THERE SHOULDN'T BE ONE AT ALL?



- 1 MR. EDWARDS: WELL, OUR CURRENT APPROVALS DOES NOT HAVE A
- 2 CLOSURE DATE. WE THINK THAT, TO REALLY ACCOMMODATE THE
- 3 COMMUNITY AND ALSO TO WORK WITH THE STAFF WHO HAS BEEN TRYING
- 4 TO WORK TOWARDS UNIFORM CONDITIONS FOR LANDFILLS, WE'VE WORKED
- 5 WITH THEM TO ESTABLISH A DATE THAT IS REASONABLE FOR OUR
- 6 OPERATION AND CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVALS THAT WE RECEIVE,
- 7 BOTH FROM THE COUNTY AND FROM THE CITY.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DID THE CITY-- I'M NOT CLEAR ON THIS. WAS
- 10 THE CITY-- DID THE CITY PUT ANY KIND OF A RECOMMENDED CLOSURE
- 11 DATE ON IT OR WERE THEY IN A POSITION TO DO SO?

12

- 13 RICHARD WEISS: I THINK, SUPERVISOR, THAT THE CITY COULD HAVE
- 14 PUT IN A CLOSURE DATE BUT MY RECOLLECTION OF READING THE Q
- 15 CONDITIONS FROM THE 1999 DECISION IS CONSISTENT WITH MR.
- 16 EDWARDS THAT THERE WAS NO SUGGESTED CLOSURE DATE AND THAT THE
- 17 LANDFILL WOULD HAVE A USEFUL LIFE BASED ON CAPACITY,
- 18 HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME-- IS THERE A SECOND TO KNABE'S
- 21 MOTION?

22

23 SUP. BURKE: UH... I-- MR. CHAIRMAN?

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MS. BURKE.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: I WOULD FEEL MUCH MORE COMFORTABLE IF, INSTEAD OF
- 3 SAYING THAT THERE WOULD BE A STUDY ON THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY,
- 4 THAT WE WOULD SET AS A VERY DEFINITE DATE THAT THE TERMINATION
- 5 DATE WOULD BE 30 YEARS. I BELIEVE THAT IF WE'RE GOING TO HAVE
- 6 A STUDY, THAT IT SHOULD BE PRIOR TO THE 30 YEARS BUT WITH THE
- 7 30 YEARS...

8

9 SUP. KNABE: AT WHAT POINT? AT THE 20 OR 25TH YEAR.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: I WOULD BE MORE THAN WILLING TO SAY THAT THERE
- 12 COULD BE A STUDY AT THE 20TH YEAR OR THE 25TH YEAR AND THAT--
- 13 BUT THAT NO EVENT SHOULD IT EXCEED THE 30 YEARS. WITH 30 YEARS
- 14 AT 8,000 TONS DAILY, YOU REACH THE 90 MILLION CAPACITY. OF
- 15 COURSE, IF YOU HAD A LARGER TONNAGE PER YEAR, IT WOULD BE--
- 16 THE CAPACITY WOULD BE BEFORE THAT. NO, THIS IS ASSUMING YOU'RE
- 17 WORKING FROM THE 90,000. IF, AT ANY POINT, YOU GO UP TO A
- 18 LARGER CAPACITY THAN THE 90,000, THEN OF COURSE IT WOULD BE A
- 19 DIFFERENT...

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: BUT IT MAY BE TOO LATE.

- 23 SUP. BURKE: ...ESTIMATED TIMETABLE BUT I WOULD FEEL MUCH MORE
- 24 COMFORTABLE WITH THE 30 YEAR. FROM THE DATE OF GRANT. FROM THE
- 25 DATE OF GRANT.



2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR.-- CAN I JUST GET A CLARIFICATION ON
3 THIS?
4
5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

6

1

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU'RE SAYING THAT 30 YEARS-- I UNDERSTAND
- 8 THAT. IF THEY REACH THE, WHAT IS IT, 90 MILLION? IS THAT
- 9 THE...

10

- 11 RICHARD WEISS: THE CURRENT LIMITATION IS BASED UPON A VERTICAL
- 12 AND HORIZONTAL CONTOURS AND I'M ASSUMING THAT IT'S THE EARLIER
- 13 OF WHEN THEY...

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO FIND OUT EXACTLY, SO
- 16 THAT, IF THEY GET TO THAT POINT BEFORE 30 YEARS, IT SHUTS DOWN
- 17 BEFORE 30 YEARS. IF THEY DON'T REACH THAT POINT AT 30 YEARS,
- 18 IT WILL SHUT DOWN AT 30 YEARS. SO THE EARLIER OF THE TWO. IS
- 19 THAT YOUR INTENT?

20

21 **SUP. BURKE:** RIGHT.

22

- 23 SUP. KNABE: IN OTHER WORDS, YOU CHANGE MY MOTION. INSTEAD OF
- 24 30TH ANNIVERSARY, IT WOULD BE THE 25TH ANNIVERSARY...



1 SUP. BURKE: RIGHT, MM HM.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE: ...OR THE YEAR FOLLOWING AND THEN A CLOSURE DATE
- 4 OF 40-- I MEAN 30?

5

6 SUP. BURKE: 30, RIGHT.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT HAPPENS AT 25 YEARS?

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: WOULD BE A STUDY TO DETERMINE IF THE CONTOURS--
- 11 WHERE THEY WERE.

12

13 FRANK MENESES: MAYOR ANTONOVICH, IF I COULD INTERJECT HERE.

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES, SIR.

16

- 17 FRANK MENESES: WE HAVE TO KEEP IN MIND THAT 55 MILLION TONS OF
- 18 THIS IS IN THE CITY AND THIS TERMINATION DATE WOULD NOT APPLY
- 19 TO THE CITY, IT WOULD ONLY BE FOR THE 35 MILLION THAT'S IN THE
- 20 COUNTY, SO WE WOULDN'T-- THIS TERMINATION DATE WOULD NOT BE
- 21 BINDING ON THE CITY. HOWEVER, WITH THE JOINT AGREEMENT THAT
- 22 WE'RE ANTICIPATING, THIS IS SOMETHING WE CAN BRING FORWARD
- 23 AND, IF THE CITY IS WILLING TO COOPERATE WITH THAT TERMINATION
- 24 DATE, THAT MIGHT OCCUR AT THAT TIME.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU RAISE A VERY GOOD POINT. DID THE CITY
- 2 HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY, WHEN IT CONSIDERED THIS A FEW MONTHS AGO
- 3 OR EARLIER THIS YEAR, DID IT HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO PLACE THIS
- 4 LIMIT AT THAT TIME? COULD THEY HAVE PUT A TIME LIMIT AT THAT
- 5 TIME?

6

7 FRANK MENESES: I'M NOT SURE IF THEY COULD HAVE.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MAYBE RICK KNOWS.

10

- 11 RICHARD WEISS, COUNSEL: I'M NOT SURE THAT THE CITY CONSIDERED
- 12 THE PERMIT AND THE Q CONDITIONS, PER SE, THIS YEAR BUT, WHEN
- 13 THEY DID, I BELIEVE THEY COULD HAVE PUT IN A DATE CERTAIN FOR
- 14 THE CESSATION OF LANDFILLING OPERATIONS HAD THEY CHOSEN--
- 15 CHOSE TO DO SO BUT, AS I SAID EARLIER, THEY DID NOT.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. SO WHAT IS THE LEVERAGE THAT WE HAVE
- 18 TO ENSURE -- ESSENTIALLY, WHETHER IT'S MR. KNABE'S OR MS.
- 19 BURKE'S MOTION, IF THE CITY DOESN'T HAVE A COMPATIBLE CLOSURE
- 20 DATE PROVISION, THEN, WHILE IT'S GOOD, IT'S BETTER THAN
- 21 NOTHING, IT'S NOT MUCH THAN NOTHING BECAUSE YOU'RE GOING TO
- 22 HAVE A DUMP OPERATING ON THE CITY'S SIDE OF THE CITY/COUNTY
- 23 LINE, RIGHT? I MEAN, THAT'S WHY YOU'RE CALLING IT TO OUR
- 24 ATTENTION. HOW DO WE CONNECT THESE TWO?



1 FRANK MENESES: AS I MENTIONED, I THINK THROUGH THE JOINT

2 POWERS AGREEMENT THAT'S REQUIRED...

3

4 SUP. MOLINA: IT IS STILL TO BE NEGOTIATED.

5

- 6 FRANK MENESES: THAT'S CORRECT AND THAT HAS TO BE APPROVED BY
- 7 THE CITY BEFORE THEY CAN PROCEED TO PHASE II, WHICH PHASE II
- 8 IS ESSENTIALLY WHAT ALLOWS THEM TO GO INTO THE COMBINED
- 9 CITY/COUNTY...

10

11 SUP. BURKE: THE JOINT POWERS WILL COME BACK TO US, WON'T IT?

12

13 FRANK MENESES: YES, IT WILL.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: SO WE WOULD HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY AGAIN TO, IF IT
- 16 WAS AT A TIME THAT WAS MUCH MORE THAN WHAT WE HAD AGREED TO,
- 17 THAT WE COULD THEN, AT THAT POINT, NOT APPROVE THE JOINT
- 18 POWERS.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT WHAT IS THE JOINT-- IF THE JOINT POWERS
- 21 NEVER GETS APPROVED AND WE APPROVE THIS MOTION AND THIS PERMIT
- 22 TODAY, THEN WHAT HAPPENS?

23

24 FRANK MENESES: WELL...



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEY HAVE A DUMP, DON'T THEY? AND THEY
- 2 OPERATE IT FOR THE NEXT 30 YEARS OR THEREABOUTS.

3

- 4 FRANK MENESES: WELL, MY BELIEF IS THAT YOU WOULD HAVE TWO
- 5 SEPARATE LANDFILLS AND, ONCE THIS-- THE COUNTY LANDFILL
- 6 EXHAUSTS, THEN THAT WOULD BE IT BECAUSE THEY COULDN'T PROCEED
- 7 TO PHASE II IN THE CITY, WHICH IS THEIR RECOGNITION OF THE
- 8 COMBINED CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL WITHOUT A JOINT POWERS
- 9 AGREEMENT.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THAT IS BECAUSE WHY?

12

13 FRANK MENESES: THAT'S IN THE CITY'S OWN CONDITIONS.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THAT WERE APPROVED WHEN? IN '99?

16

17 FRANK MENESES: THAT'S CORRECT, YES.

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THEY OUGHT TO BE EXPLORING, IF YOU'RE
- 20 GOING TO HAVE A TIME LIMIT, IS A 20-YEAR TIME LIMIT, IF THAT
- 21 WENT INTO EXISTENCE, THAT OPERATION OF THE LANDFILL WOULD HAVE
- 22 BEEN IN EXISTENCE FOR 57 YEARS. SO IF YOU HAD A, YOU KNOW,
- 23 AMEND IT TO CHANGE TO A CLOSURE DATE 2026, WHICH IS 20 YEARS
- 24 AFTER THE FINAL BOARD ACTION, BUT I WOULD ALSO SUGGEST THAT WE
- 25 HAVE, AS A REQUIREMENT, A DOUBLE LINER FOR THE COUNTY'S SIDE



- 1 OF LANDFILL, WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH THE WATER QUALITY
- 2 CONTROL BOARD REQUIREMENTS AND ANY EXISTING REQUIREMENTS AS
- 3 WELL AS FUTURE REQUIREMENTS THAT MAY BE IMPOSED BY THE
- 4 REGIONAL WATER OUALITY CONTROL BOARD ON THE CITY'S SIDE OF THE
- 5 LANDFILL RELATIVE TO A LINER OR LINERS SHOULD BE IMPLEMENTED,
- 6 INSTALLED ON THE COUNTY'S SIDE OF THE LANDFILL. NOTHING IN THE
- 7 CONDITIONS SHOULD PRECLUDE A LINER OR LINERS THAT ARE MORE
- 8 PROTECTIVE THAN THAT REQUIRED FOR THE CITY PORTION OF THE
- 9 LANDFILL IF SO REQUIRED BY THE WATER QUALITY BOARD. ALSO, THE-
- 10 FOLLOWING UP ON THE DISCREPANCY WHERE THERE IS A DISCREPANCY
- 11 BETWEEN CONDITIONS -- USE PERMIT AND THE CITY'S ORDINANCE,
- 12 CONDITION THAT WOULD RESULT IN GREATER PROTECTION FOR THE
- 13 SURROUNDING COMMUNITY SHOULD APPLY IF, FOLLOWING APPROVAL THE
- 14 COUNTY LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY DETERMINES THAT THERE ARE
- 15 REMAINING DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN CITY AND COUNTY CONDITIONS
- 16 THAT WOULD CAUSE OPERATIONAL OVERSIGHT DIFFICULTIES, THOSE
- 17 DISCREPANCIES SHOULD BE RESOLVED TO THE REQUIRED J.P.A BETWEEN
- 18 THE CITY AND THE COUNTY. AND ALL DISCREPANCIES IDENTIFIED ANY
- 19 TIME DURING THE LIFE OF THE GRANT, INCLUDING ALL POST CLOSURE
- 20 ACTIVITIES, SHOULD BE RESOLVED IN FAVOR OF THE CONDITION THAT
- 21 THE COUNTY LEA DETERMINED OFFERS THE GREATEST PROTECTION TO
- 22 THE COMMUNITY. STAFF SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO INCORPORATE INTO
- 23 THE FINAL CONDITIONS SPECIFICALLY THE STRICTER CITY CONDITIONS
- 24 RELATIVE TO ALTERNATIVE FUEL REQUIREMENTS, HOURS OF OPERATION,
- 25 THE COMMUNITY PROTECTION PROGRAM RELATIVE TO PUBLIC NOTICE AND



- 1 EMERGENCY HOTLINES AND PROHIBITING INTAKE OF CERTAIN SPECIFIED
- 2 COVER MATERIALS. THERE SHOULD BE A REVISION OF ANY AND ALL
- 3 CONDITIONS, WHERE APPROPRIATE, TO CONFORM TO THE NEW
- 4 DEFINITION OF CLOSURE DATE, REVISE THE CONDITIONS TO PROHIBIT
- 5 THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS OR ANY OTHER COUNTY EMPLOYEE FROM
- 6 AUTHORIZING ANY ACTIVITY THAT WOULD IN ANY WAY CONSTITUTE AN
- 7 EXTENSION OF THE CLOSURE DATE AND REVISE A DEFINITION OF
- 8 LANDFILL TO CLARIFY THAT THE OPERATOR MAY NOT STOCKPILE DIRT
- 9 ABOVE FINAL ELEVATIONS. REVISE CONDITIONS 11 AND 12 TO REQUIRE
- 10 THAT THE OPERATOR CORRECT ALL VIOLATIONS AS RAPIDLY AS
- 11 POSSIBLE, IN A TIME AND MANNER DETERMINED BY THE DIRECTOR OF
- 12 PLANNING BUT IN NO INSTANCE LONGER THAN 30 DAYS. AND REVISE
- 13 CONDITION 17-C TO RESTRICT OVERAGES TO NO MORE THAN 313 DAYS
- 14 DURING THE TERM OF THE PERMIT. REVISE CONDITIONS 54, 56 AND 57
- 15 TO REOUIRE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE INSTALLED TO THE
- 16 SATISFACTION OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS. AND REVISE
- 17 CONDITIONS 62 TO INDICATE THAT FUNDS FOR PLANNING STUDIES AND
- 18 IMPLEMENTATIONS BE DETERMINED BY THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING IN
- 19 THE FIFTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT. AND ADD A NEW CONDITION
- 20 REQUIRING THE OPERATOR TO COMPLY WITH ALL FUTURE APPLICABLE
- 21 STATE LAWS CONCERNING POST CLOSURE OF LANDFILLS. AND A NEW
- 22 CONDITION: REQUIRE VIDEO MONITORING AT THE WORKING FACE AND AT
- 23 THE VEHICLE INSPECTIONS LOCATIONS TO MAINTAIN VIDEO RECORDS
- 24 FOR A PERIOD OF NOT MORE THAN-- LESS THAN ONE YEAR.



1 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. WHERE ARE WE?

2

- 3 SUP. BURKE: HE HAS AN AMENDMENT BUT I DON'T KNOW WHETHER
- 4 ANYONE SECONDED IT YET.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: NO. I WANTED TO SECOND YOUR MOTION, THAT'S THE 25
- 7 WITH THE STUDY, RIGHT?

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: RIGHT NOW, THE DISCUSSION IS ON A
- 10 CLOSURE DATE AND, AS SUPERVISOR BURKE IS SUGGESTING, A 30-
- 11 YEAR-- SUPERVISOR KNABE'S 40-YEAR AND I WAS SUGGESTING A 20-
- 12 YEAR CLOSING DATE.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: BUT MS. BURKE, YOU HAVE A MOTION.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I HAD A MOTION-- I HAD-- ACTUALLY, WHAT I
- 17 DID WAS I ASKED SUPERVISOR KNABE IF HE WOULD ACCEPT THE 30 AS
- 18 PART OF HIS MOTION.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE: I CAN ACCEPT THE 30 IF YOU DO THE 25, I MEAN, FOR
- 21 THE STUDY, 25TH YEAR.

- 23 SUP. BURKE: SO HIS MOTION, HE IS NOW ACCEPTING THE CHANGES
- 24 THAT I'M SUGGESTING, WHICH WOULD BE THE 25-YEAR STUDY AND THE
- 25 30-YEAR TERMINATION DATE.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SUP. KNABE: BUT WE STILL DON'T HAVE A SECOND TO MY MOTION. 3 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YOU'RE SECONDING HIS MOTION, THEN, WITH THAT 4 5 CHANGE. 6 7 SUP. KNABE: WITH THAT CHANGE. 8 SUP. BURKE: KNABE'S MOTION WITH THOSE CHANGES. 9 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND I WOULD LIKE TO AMEND THAT TO BE A 11 20-YEAR CUTOFF AT 2026. THAT WOULD BE MY AMENDMENT TO THE... 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, BUT YOUR AMENDMENT IS A LOT MORE THAN 14 15 THAT. 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL, I'M JUST WRITING IT-- WE'RE JUST 17 18 TALKING ABOUT RIGHT NOW, CLOSURE, WE'RE DOING AMENDMENTS IN... 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU WANT TO AMEND KNABE'S MOTION TO 20 20 21 YEARS? 22 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: TO THE 20 YEAR. WE CAN DISCUSS THE OTHERS POINT BY POINT. 24

> The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'LL SECOND IT BUT I WANT TO MAKE A
- 2 SUGGESTION MAYBE BECAUSE I DON'T THINK YOU'RE GOING TO GET
- 3 THREE VOTES FOR 20 BUT MAYBE YOU CAN GET THREE VOTES FOR A
- 4 STUDY AT 20 AND A CLOSURE AT 25.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR KNABE?

7

8 **SUP. KNABE:** I'M 25 AND 30.

9

10 **SUP. BURKE:** I'M A 25/30.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEN I'LL SECOND YOUR MOTION, MR.
- 13 ANTONOVICH'S MOTION.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ON-- THE MOTION WOULD BE A 20-YEAR
- 16 CLOSURE DATE TO 2026.

17

18 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: OKAY. SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: NO.

21

22 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR BURKE?

23

24 SUP. BURKE: NO.



CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES. 4 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR KNABE? 6 7 SUP. KNABE: NO. 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AYE. MOTION FAILS 2-TO-3. 11 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M GOING TO GIVE IT A TRY, ANYWAY, MR. CHAIRMAN. I'M GOING TO MOVE THAT MR. KNABE'S MOTION BE AMENDED 14 FOR A STUDY AT 20 YEARS AND A CLOSURE AT 25 YEARS WITH THE 15 16 SAME CONDITIONS THAT WE SAID IF THEY REACHED THE APPROVED CAPACITY IN ADVANCE OF THAT, SO WHICHEVER COMES FIRST. 17 18 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I'LL SECOND THAT. CALL THE ROLL. 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA? 21 22 23 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: NO. 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR BURKE? 25



1 SUP. BURKE: NO. 2 3 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES. 6 7 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR KNABE? 9 SUP. KNABE: NO. 10 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? 12 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AYE. THE MOTION FAILS 2-TO-3. DO YOU WANT 26 14 15 YEARS? 16 SUP. BURKE: WE'RE SUPPORTING MR. KNABE'S MOTION. 17 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BEFORE YOU DO, CAN I JUST MAKE SURE WE'RE 19 20 CLEAR ON WHAT IT DOES? WHO IS GOING TO WRITE THE CONDITION? YOU ARE? 21 22 23 RICHARD WEISS: WE WILL DO IT IN CONJUNCTION WITH REGIONAL PLANNING. 24 25

> The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE YOU CLEAR THAT IT IS 30 YEARS OR
- 2 WHENEVER THEY REACH THE 90 MILLION TONS IN THE CONTOUR,
- 3 WHICHEVER COMES FIRST?

4

- 5 RICHARD WEISS: HOW I WOULD UNDERSTAND IT, AS PRESENTLY STATED,
- 6 IS THAT THE MOTION WOULD BE THAT THERE WOULD BE CLOSURE, WHICH
- 7 IS, IN ESSENCE, THE STOPPING OF RECEIPT OF WASTE AND OF
- 8 BENEFICIAL MATERIAL BECAUSE THERE WILL STILL BE POST-CLOSURE
- 9 ACTIVITY THAT GOES ON LONG AFTER THAT BUT IT WOULD BE THE
- 10 STOPPING...

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT POST-CLOSURE. I'M JUST
- 13 TALKING LIKE A LAYMAN HERE.

14

- 15 RICHARD WEISS: WELL, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE LANDFILL
- 16 WOULD CLOSE ON THE EARLIER OF ACHIEVING THE EXISTING FILL
- 17 DESIGN THAT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE COUNTY OR, IF THAT HASN'T
- 18 BEEN REACHED IN 25-- 25 YEARS, THERE WILL BE A STUDY TO
- 19 DETERMINE THE REMAINING CAPACITY AND THEN THE BOARD WILL
- 20 DETERMINE A CLOSURE DATE WHICH, IN NO EVENT, WILL BE LATER
- 21 THAN 30 YEARS AFTER THAT. HOWEVER, IF THE...

22

23 SUP. BURKE: 30 YEARS FROM TODAY.



- 1 RICHARD WEISS: 30 FROM TODAY. FIVE YEARS-- YES, I'M SORRY.
- 2 THAT'S WHAT I MEANT. I'M SORRY. BUT, IN ANY EVENT...

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU ALMOST GOT A SECOND TO THAT. [LAUGHTER
- 5]

6

- 7 RICHARD WEISS: BUT, IN ANY EVENT, THAT, IF YOU REACHED THE
- 8 VOLUME LIMITATIONS, THAT IT WOULD TERMINATE THEN IF THAT
- 9 OCCURRED FIRST.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I JUST ASK A SILLY QUESTION? WHY DO YOU
- 12 NEED A STUDY AT 25 YEARS? WHAT DOES THE STUDY ACCOMPLISH?
- 13 WITHOUT A STUDY, WON'T YOU KNOW YOU'VE REACHED-- WHAT IF YOU
- 14 REACH CAPACITY AT 19 YEARS? IF YOU DON'T DO A STUDY, ARE YOU
- 15 SAYING YOU WON'T KNOW OR...?

16

- 17 RICHARD WEISS, COUNSEL: NO. IF WE DETERMINED THAT YOU'VE
- 18 REACHED THE CAPACITY BASED ON THE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL
- 19 LANDFILL DESIGN AND ELEVATIONS, THEN THE PERMIT WOULD
- 20 TERMINATE AT THAT POINT.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT'S THE PURPOSE OF THE STUDY AT 25
- 23 YEARS?



- 1 RICHARD WEISS: I BELIEVE THE PURPOSE OF THE STUDY IS TO
- 2 DETERMINE HOW MUCH CAPACITY DOES EXIST AT THAT POINT GIVEN THE
- 3 ENVELOPE AND THEN THE BOARD MAKES A DECISION HOW MUCH MORE IT
- 4 WANTS TO GO, HOW MUCH FURTHER IT WANTS TO GO BUT IN NO EVENT
- 5 30 YEARS BEYOND THE BOARD'S APPROVAL AS THE FINAL CLOSURE.

6

7 SUP. BURKE: AND THEY HAVE NOT REACHED THE ENVELOPE.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WOULD THERE BE AN OBJECTION TO MOVING THAT
- 10 STUDY UP SO THAT-- WELL, LET ME ASK THE QUESTION. IF YOU HAVE
- 11 A 30-YEAR CLOSURE DATE AND YOU HAD THE STUDY AT 20 YEARS, WHAT
- 12 WOULD BE THE HARM?

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE: WELL, THERE'S NO HARM BUT THE ONLY THING IS THAT
- 15 YOU'VE ALREADY SAID THAT WHEN-- IF IT FILLS UP, IN OTHER
- 16 WORDS, IF YOU MET THAT, IT'S GOING TO CLOSE WHENEVER THAT
- 17 HAPPENS. HOWEVER, IF IT HASN'T HAPPENED AT 10, 15, 20 YEARS,
- 18 AT 25 YEARS, YOU'RE GOING TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT YOU WANT
- 19 TO THEN MAYBE CLOSE IT EARLIER THAN THE 30 YEARS. THAT'S WHAT
- 20 THE STUDY DOES. IT GIVES IT A FIVE-YEAR POTENTIAL OF CLOSING
- 21 EARLIER BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, YOU HAVE A DEFINITE TIME YOU
- 22 KNOW THAT IT'S NOT GOING TO BE OPEN PAST THAT DATE.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THE STUDY AT 25 IS ONLY TO DETERMINE
- 2 WHETHER THEY'VE REACHED THEIR CAPACITY. IT'S NOT TO GIVE THE
- 3 BOARD THE DISCRETION TO CLOSE THE LANDFILL AT 26 YEARS.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: THE WAY HIS WAS INITIALLY, IT DID. IT DID APPLY TO
- 6 THAT.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, HOW DO YOU UNDERSTAND IT? DOES THIS
- 9 GIVE THE BOARD FULL DISCRETION?

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: IT SAYS NOT OTHERWISE EXHAUSTED THE AVAILABLE
- 12 LANDFILL AS SET FORTH IN THE PERMIT.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE: THERE MAY BE CAPACITY ISSUES, THERE MAY BE DAILY
- 15 TONNAGE ISSUES, WHATEVER IT MAY BE.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE: YEAH. IF IT'S OTHERWISE EXHAUSTED, THEN IT HAPPENS
- 18 BEFORE, ACCORDING TO HIS MOTION.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE: WELL, WE CAN JUST ELIMINATE THE STUDY AND GO TO 30
- 21 YEARS.

- 23 RICHARD WEISS: IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT-- AND THE REASON
- 24 THAT LANGUAGE IS THERE TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT YOU DON'T GET TO
- 25 GO BEYOND THE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL LIMITATIONS THAT HAVE



- 1 BEEN PUT THERE. THAT IS THE OUTSIDE LIMIT. THIS WAS INTENDED
- 2 TO HAVE THE BOARD TAKE ANOTHER DISCRETIONARY LOOK AT IT BY
- 3 CONDUCTING A STUDY, AT THIS POINT, AT AN EARLIER TIME AND THEN
- 4 DETERMINE, GIVEN HOW MUCH CAPACITY REMAINS WITHIN THE
- 5 ENVELOPE, AS TO WHEN THE BOARD DECIDES TO PUT THAT HARD
- 6 TERMINATION DATE...

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. IS THE 90-- ASIDE FROM THE
- 9 VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL CONTOURS, IS THE 90 MILLION TONS ALSO
- 10 PART OF THAT LIMIT?

11

12 RICHARD WEISS: NO.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY NOT?

15

- 16 RICHARD WEISS: WELL, IT COULD BE BUT 90 MILLION IS NOWHERE IN
- 17 THE PERMIT RIGHT NOW OR IN THE PROPOSED PERMIT AS AN OUTRIGHT
- 18 LIMITATION. AGAIN, THE LIMITATION IS NOT BASED NOT ON TOTAL
- 19 NUMBER OF TONS THAT ARE ACCEPTED BUT RATHER WHEN THE ELEVATION
- 20 AND-- HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ELEVATIONS HAVE BEEN REACHED.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I ASK THE ATTORNEY FOR THE NORTH VALLEY
- 23 COALITION TO-- IS IT MISS BROWN? FOR THE NORTH VALLEY
- 24 COALITION, NOT FOR THE BFI. I WANT TO HEAR WHAT THEY HAVE TO
- 25 SAY TO THIS 90 MILLION TON ISSUE, OKAY? I DON'T KNOW YOUR



- 1 NAME. I'M SORRY. IF YOU COULD IDENTIFY YOURSELF. I SHOULD KNOW
- 2 IT BUT I DON'T.

3

- 4 LINDA LYE: LINDA LYE ON BEHALF OF THE NORTH VALLEY COALITION
- 5 AND OTHER GROUPS AS WELL. OUR POSITION IS THAT IT MUST BE TIED
- 6 TO TONNAGE OR DATE TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE S.E.I.R. THIS IS
- 7 BECAUSE THE S.E.I.R. REPRESENTED THAT THE TOTAL FACILITY WOULD
- 8 HAVE A 90 MILLION TON CAPACITY AND CALCULATED A SIGHT LIFE
- 9 BASED ON THE INTAKE RATE.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHERE WAS IT REPRESENTED?

- 13 LINDA LYE: IT WAS IN-- ALL OVER THE S.E.I.R. DOCUMENTATION IN
- 14 DESCRIBING THE PROJECT. THE THING THAT'S VERY TROUBLING IS
- 15 THAT THE PERMIT ITSELF IS FRAMED IN TERMS OF AIR SPACE. NOW,
- 16 WE HAVE SUBMITTED EVIDENCE THAT INDICATES THAT TECHNOLOGIES
- 17 HAVE CHANGED AND COMPACTION RATES HAVE INCREASED SO THAT THE
- 18 AIR SPACE, BACK WHEN THIS S.E.I.R. WAS CONDUCTED, THAT, AT
- 19 THAT TIME, HAD A PROJECTED CAPACITY OF 90 MILLION TONS NOW CAN
- 20 ACCOMMODATE SOMETHING LIKE 147 MILLION TONS. NOW, IF YOU HAVE
- 21 147 MILLION TONS GOING INTO THIS FACILITY AND THERE'S NO
- 22 CLOSURE DATE, THAT MEANS THE FACILITY WILL BE OPEN FOR
- 23 SIGNIFICANTLY LONGER, TRUCKS WILL BE DRAGGING TRASH THROUGH
- 24 THAT COMMUNITY FOR SIGNIFICANTLY LONGER, THE COMMUNITY WILL BE
- 25 LIVING WITH ALL OF THE IMPACTS AND THE AMOUNT OF WASTE PLACED



- 1 IN THAT SAME ENVELOPE IS SIGNIFICANTLY GREATER THAN WHAT WAS
- 2 ANALYZED IN THE S.E.I.R. WE BELIEVE THIS WOULD CONSTITUTE A
- 3 SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE IN THE PROJECT, TRIGGERING THE REQUIREMENT
- 4 FOR AN S.E.I.R. BECAUSE THE PROJECT, AS IT IS APPROVED, IS
- 5 VERY DIFFERENT FROM WHAT WAS DESCRIBED. THAT'S THE C.E.Q.A.
- 6 ARGUMENT AS A BASIC PUBLIC POLICY FAIRNESS ARGUMENT...

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. YOU MADE YOUR POINT. DOES BFI HAVE AN
- 9 OBJECTION TO INCLUDING THE 90 MILLION TONS AS PART OF THE
- 10 THRESHOLD?

11

12 MR. EDWARD: YES, WE WOULD, AND...

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY?

- 16 MR. EDWARD: THE SIMPLE ANSWER IS THAT, IF YOU HAVE A TIME
- 17 REQUIREMENT-- LET'S ASSUME IT'S 20-- 30 YEARS TOTAL. THEN THAT
- 18 BECOMES AN OUTSIDE FIGURE, UNLESS YOU HAVE REACHED THE
- 19 CONTOUR. THE LANDFILL WAS DEFINED ORIGINALLY OR DESCRIBED BY
- 20 CONTOURS, VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL. THE ESTIMATE ON THE COUNTY
- 21 SIDE, FOR EXAMPLE, JUST FOR THE CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL, WAS A
- 22 HUNDRED MILLION TONS IN 1993 OUT OF THAT ENVELOPE THAT MR.
- 23 WEISS TALKED ABOUT. THE CITY ESTIMATE AT THE TIME THAT THE
- 24 E.I.R. WAS CERTIFIED, THE S.E.I.R. IN 1999 WAS 90 MILLION
- 25 TONS. IN FACT, BASED UPON THE TECHNOLOGY, IF THE 90 MILLION IS



- 1 EXCEEDED BUT WE HAVE NOT REACHED THE CONTOURS, THEN OPERATIONS
- 2 SHOULD CONTINUE WITH THE OUTSIDE CONSTRAINT THEN BEING THE
- 3 YEARS, WHETHER YOU SET IT AT 30 YEARS OR BEYOND. SO, YES, WE
- 4 WOULD NOT WANT TO ARTIFICIALLY LIMIT ULTIMATELY THE CAPACITY,
- 5 IF YOU WILL, IN TERMS OF TIME AND THE USE OF THAT LANDFILL BY
- 6 SAYING THAT THE 90 MILLION IS AN ABSOLUTE...

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: GIVE ME AN EXAMPLE, JUST AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT
- 9 KIND OF TECHNOLOGY CHANGES MIGHT OCCUR THAT WOULD DO THAT,
- 10 THAT WOULD GET YOU THERE. WELL-- GO AHEAD.

11

12 DAVE EDWARDS: WELL, IN RESPONSE TO MISS LYE'S...

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, NO, ANSWER THE QUESTION THAT I JUST
- 15 ASKED. GIVE ME AN EXAMPLE OF A TECHNOLOGY THAT MAY ARISE IN
- 16 THE NEXT 25 YEARS...

17

18 SUP. BURKE: IF HE KNOWS.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN YOU LET ME ANSWER-- ASK MY QUESTIONS.
- 21 PLEASE!

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE: NO, I'M SAYING IF HE KNOWS. I MEAN, GOOD GRIEF, WE
- 24 KNOW NEW OIL TECHNOLOGY EVERY DAY.



SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YVONNE, LET, LET-- LET ME...

2

- 3 SUP. BURKE: IF HE CAN TELL US EVERY TECHNOLOGY THAT'S
- 4 AVAILABLE IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS, HE'S REALLY VERY, VERY SMART
- 5 BUT, I MEAN, YOU KNOW, YOU CAN ASK YOUR QUESTION BUT...

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY THANK YOU VERY MUCH! I'M SO HONORED TO
- 8 BE ABLE TO ASK MY QUESTION! THAT WAS WHAT YESTERDAY WAS ALL
- 9 ABOUT, MY RIGHT TO ASK THAT QUESTION.

10

11 **SUP. BURKE:** ABSOLUTELY.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO COULD YOU PLEASE DO YOUR BEST?

- 15 DAVE EDWARDS: I'VE BEEN IN THE BUSINESS FOR A LONG TIME. I DO
- 16 NOT FORESEE A SUBSTANTIAL BETTERMENT IN TECHNOLOGY THAT IS
- 17 GOING TO RESULT IN A SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE. THERE WILL BE SOME
- 18 INCREASE BECAUSE OF NEW EQUIPMENT BUT, SUBSTANTIAL, I MEAN,
- 19 LIKE MS. BURKE SAID, I WOULD BE GUESSING AT ANY ADVANCED
- 20 TECHNOLOGY THAT'S GOING TO GIVE A TREMENDOUS INCREASE IN
- 21 COMPACTION. BUT I CAN TELL YOU THAT OUR ORIGINAL APPROVALS,
- 22 AND I'LL GO BACK A LITTLE BIT, BUT OUR ORIGINAL APPROVALS DID
- 23 NOT HAVE-- I MEAN, EXCUSE ME, OUR APPROVALS-- OUR APPROVALS
- 24 WERE BASED UPON AN ESTIMATED TONNAGE OF 90 MILLION, OKAY? WITH
- 25 INCREASED COMPACTIONS, WHICH, AS A COUNTY CONDITION, WE ARE



- 1 REQUIRED TO MAXIMIZE CAPACITY AND MAXIMIZE COMPACTION IN ORDER
- 2 TO FULLY UTILIZE THE ASSET THAT WE HAVE, WHEN EVALUATING THAT,
- 3 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND THE CITY COUNCIL SAID THAT THE
- 4 BEST CONSTRAINTS TO PUT ON THIS LANDFILL ARE LIMITS IN
- 5 CONTOURS.

6

7 SUP. KNABE: MR. MAYOR, I CALL FOR THE QUESTION.

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE'RE TALKING ON THE...

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** THE 25 AND 30.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ON THE LENGTH OF CLOSURE, NOT ON THE
- 14 MOTION-- JUST ON THE AMENDMENT. SO, ON THAT AMENDMENT, CALL
- 15 THE ROLL.

16

17 SUP. BURKE: ON THE MOTION?

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO. ON THE AMENDMENT. I HAVE OTHER
- 20 AMENDMENTS I WANT TO BRING FORWARD. SO, ON THE AMENDMENT OF
- 21 THE CLOSURE, IT WOULD BE SUPERVISOR BURKE'S AMENDMENT TO
- 22 SUPERVISOR KNABE'S MOTION.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND, FOR THE RECORD, COULD I JUST READ THIS
- 25 JUST FOR CLARIFICATION TO MAKE SURE THAT I HAVE IT CORRECT. IT



- 1 WOULD BE ASSUMING THE LANDFILL HAS BECOME OPERATIONAL DURING
- 2 THE YEAR FOLLOWING THE 25TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE GRANT THAT THE
- 3 BOARD SO AUTHORIZED THE STUDY TO DETERMINE REMAINING CAPACITY
- 4 AUTHORIZED BY THE PERMIT FOR THE LANDFILL. THE LANDFILL WOULD
- 5 CLOSE UPON EITHER IT REACHING THE 90 MILLION TONS OR UPON THE
- 6 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE DATE OF THE GRANT.
- 8 SUP. KNABE: NO, THAT'S NOT IT.
- 10 SUP. BURKE: WELL, WASN'T HIS FIRST PARAGRAPH...
- 12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: HE JUST WANTS TO LEAVE...
- 14 SUP. BURKE: YOU DON'T WANT THE FIRST PARAGRAPH?
- 16 SUP. KNABE: I WANT TO LEAVE IT EXACTLY-- I WANT TO REPLACE
- 17 30TH WITH 25TH AND AGREE TO REPLACE 40TH WITH 30. THAT'S ALL I
- 18 WANT TO DO TO MY MOTION.
- 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SO HE JUST WANTS TO LEAVE IT WITH THE 25TH
- 21 AND THEN CLOSING AT THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE GRANT.
- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO, ON THAT PARTICULAR MOTION, CALL
- 24 THE ROLL.

25

7

9

11

13

15

19



CLERK SACHI HAMAI: OKAY, SUPERVISOR MOLINA? 2 3 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: AYE. 4 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR BURKE? 6 7 SUP. BURKE: AYE. 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO. 11 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: HE SAID "NO". 13 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: I'M SORRY. SUPERVISOR KNABE? 15 16 SUP. KNABE: AYE. 17 18 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO. THAT CARRIES 3-TO-2. I WOULD LIKE TO 21 22 AMEND THE MOTION TO ALSO READ THAT THE MODIFIED C.U.P. INCLUDE 23 A PROVISION THAT WOULD REQUIRE THE OPERATION OF ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCKS AT THE ENTIRE LANDFILL CONSISTENT WITH ALTERNATIVE 24 FUEL TRUCK OPERATION REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE APPLICABLE TO THE 25



- 1 CITY'S SIDE OF THE LANDFILL AND THAT'S FROM THE SOUTH COAST
- 2 AIR MANAGEMENT DISTRICT.. THAT WOULD BE-- SUPERVISOR...

3

4 SUP. BURKE: THAT IS THE LETTER FROM...

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT'S FROM THE LETTER FROM BARRY
- 7 WALLERSTEIN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR...

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: BUT THERE WAS SOMETHING ELSE ABOUT THE LETTER...
- 10 (OFF-MIKE).

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE C.U.P. INCLUDE A PROVISION
- 13 REQUIRING OPERATION OF ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCKS AT THE ENTIRE
- 14 LANDFILL, CONSISTENT WITH THE ALTERNATIVE FUEL TRUCK OPERATION
- 15 REOUIREMENTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY APPLICABLE TO THE CITY'S SIDE
- 16 OF THE LANDFILL. SO WHAT WE'RE DOING IS INCLUDING WHAT THE
- 17 CITY HAS ON OUR SIDE.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT ARE YOU AMENDING?

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: INCLUDING THAT WE USE ALTERNATIVE FUEL
- 22 TRUCKS ON THE COUNTY SIDE AS THE CITY IS BEING...

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT ARE YOU AMENDING? IS THERE A MOTION
- 25 BEFORE US?

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YEAH. IT'S AN AMENDMENT. 2 3 SUP. BURKE: ARE YOU MOVING TO... 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO, NO, FIRST OF ALL, YOU HAVE A 6 7 MOTION-- I'M AMENDING... 8 9 SUP. MOLINA: YOU'RE ADDING A CONDITION. IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE DOING? 10 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT. 12 13 SUP. MOLINA: YOU'RE ADDING A CONDITION. OKAY. SO THIS IS ONE 14 15 MORE CONDITION. OKAY. 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT TO WHAT? YOU'RE ADDING A CONDITION TO 17 18 YOUR MOTION? 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO. TO THE MOTION THAT'S ON THE TABLE. 20 21 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DON'T RECALL ANY MOTION ON THE TABLE OTHER 23 THAN THE ONE ON THE 25/30 THING.

> The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. KNABE: RIGHT. BUT THE ORIGINAL FIRST PARAGRAPH OF MY
- 2 MOTION SAYS MOVE TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING, AND INDICATE OUR
- 3 INTENT TO APPROVE C.U.P. 00-194 WHICH REPLACES CURRENT C.U.P.
- 4 86-312, BASED UPON THE STAFF'S RECOMMENDED CONDITION WITH THE
- 5 FOLLOWING REVISED CLOSURE LANGUAGE AND THEN HE'S ADDING A
- 6 CONDITION TO THOSE CONDITIONS THAT ARE BEFORE US.
- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. I'LL SECOND IT.
- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: CALL THE ROLL.
- 12 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?
- 14 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: AYE.
- 16 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR BURKE?
- 18 **SUP. BURKE:** AYE.
- 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?
- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AYE.
- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR KNABE?

25

7

9

11

13

15

17

19

21



1 SUP. KNABE: UMM... AYE.

2

3 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AYE. I WOULD LIKE TO ALSO MOVE ANOTHER
- 6 CONDITION THAT THEY HAVE A LINER ON THE COUNTY SIDE, A DOUBLE
- 7 LINER ON THE COUNTY SIDE OF THE LANDFILL.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE: WE DO DOUBLE LINERS AT PUENTE HILLS? WE DON'T DO
- 10 DOUBLE LINERS THERE, DO WE?

11

- 12 RICHARD WEISS: SUPERVISOR, I WOULD DEFER TO PUBLIC WORKS. I
- 13 BELIEVE THEY MAY KNOW. IT'S THE SANITATION DISTRICT SO I'M NOT
- 14 SURE WE KNOW THE ANSWER. I DON'T.

15

16 SUP. BURKE: ARE THERE DOUBLE LINERS? NO?

17

- 18 RICHARD WEISS: SUPERVISOR, PUBLIC WORKS INDICATES, TO THEIR
- 19 KNOWLEDGE, THERE'S NOT A DOUBLE LINER AT PUENTE HILLS.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: AND HOW WOULD YOU DO IT AT THIS POINT IN TIME?

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE: YOU'D TAKE EVERYTHING OUT, I GUESS, AND START
- 24 OVER.



1 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SURE THE NEIGHBORS WOULD LOVE THAT, TOO.

2

3 SUP. BURKE: IT'S BEEN DONE.

4

- 5 DAVE EDWARDS: YES. I'M SORRY. WE HAVE AGREED TO A CONDITION IN
- 6 THE DRAFT CONDITIONS THAT ADDRESS THE REQUIREMENT FOR A LINER
- 7 OF EQUAL EFFECTIVENESS TO WHAT WAS APPROVED AS PART OF OUR
- 8 REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD APPROVAL FOR THE CITY
- 9 SIDE, WHICH IS A DOUBLE COMPOSITE LINER. SO WE ARE AGREEING,
- 10 FOR THE AREAS THAT ARE NOT DEVELOPED OR WOULD BE UNDER THIS
- 11 APPROVAL, TO COMMIT TO A LINER OF EQUAL EFFECTIVENESS TO THE
- 12 CITY SIDE.

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO YOU'D HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THAT.

15

16 DAVE EDWARDS: NO, SIR.

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: WAIT, WAIT. BUT YOURS IS DIFFERENT.

19

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO, NO.

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: BUT, MICHAEL, LET ME JUST CLARIFY. WHAT YOU'RE
- 23 SAYING IS THAT THEY COULD PUT A DOUBLE LINER ON THOSE AREAS
- 24 THAT HAVE NOT YET BEEN UTILIZED. YOUR MOTION CLEARLY SAYS IT'S



- 1 CONDITIONAL UPON THE USE OF A DOUBLE LINER. IT DOESN'T DEFINE
- 2 THE PARAMETERS OF IT.

3

- 4 DAVE EDWARDS: WE'RE IN AGREEMENT WITH THE DRAFT CONDITION THAT
- 5 REGIONAL PLANNING HAS PUT FORTH.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: WITHIN THE PARAMETERS THAT IT'S FOR THOSE AREAS
- 8 THAT ARE NOT YET UTILIZED, YOU COULD PUT A DOUBLE LINER?

9

10 DAVE EDWARDS: YES.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. SO, ON THE AREA NOT UTILIZED
- 13 YET, YOU WOULD COMMIT TO A DOUBLE LINER:

14

- 15 DAVE EDWARDS: RIGHT, CONSISTENT WITH THE DRAFT CONDITION THAT
- 16 WE WORK WITH REGIONAL PLANNING.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE: WITH CONDITION WITH THE APPROVAL THAT YOU GOT FROM
- 19 THE CITY.

20

21 DAVE EDWARDS: THAT'S CORRECT.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT IS OKAY THEN.

24

25 SUP. KNABE: WITH THE APPROVAL THEY GOT FROM THE CITY.



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: WAIT A MINUTE. IS THAT CONSISTENT WITH THE CITY?
- 3 I'M TOLD THAT THE CITY SAID "OR ANY OTHER TECHNOLOGY THAT IS
- 4 AVAILABLE."

5

- 6 DAVE EDWARDS: NO, IN THE CONDITION, WE DIDN'T WANT TO LIMIT
- 7 OURSELF TO SAYING EXACTLY LIKE THE CITY BECAUSE REGIONAL
- 8 PLANNING, PUBLIC WORKS FELT THAT, IF WE USED LANGUAGE OF EQUAL
- 9 PROTECTIVENESS AND APPROVED BY THE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY
- 10 CONTROL BOARD, THAT THAT COULD-- IT COULD BE THAT AND THEN WE
- 11 COULD IMPROVE UPON THAT IF TECHNOLOGY ALLOWED US TO.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: I SEE. ALL RIGHT. SO AS LONG AS THAT'S
- 14 UNDERSTOOD.

15

- 16 FRANK MENESES: MR. MAYOR, IF I COULD JUST INTERJECT HERE. THE
- 17 REASON WE DIDN'T SPECIFY A PARTICULAR TYPE OF LINER IS BECAUSE
- 18 THIS IS REALLY AN ISSUE FOR THE REGIONAL WATER OUALITY CONTROL
- 19 BOARD IN CONSIDERATION OF NEW TECHNOLOGY AND OTHER THINGS THAT
- 20 MIGHT COME ALONG.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: SO WHAT WOULD BE THE APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE?

- 24 FRANK MENESES: WELL, THE WAY WE CRAFTED THIS WAS THAT A LINER
- 25 OF EQUAL OR BETTER EFFECTIVENESS.



1
2 **SUP. MOLINA:** IS THAT ACCEPTABLE?

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT'S ACCEPTABLE, YES. THAT'S FINE.
- 5 AND ALSO, CONDITION TO REQUIRE THAT STRICTER CONDITIONS, CITY
- 6 OR COUNTY, SHALL APPLY. IF THE CITY HAS A STRICTER CONDITION,
- 7 IT WOULD APPLY. OR IF THE COUNTY HAD A STRICTER CONDITION,
- 8 THAT WOULD APPLY. SO THERE WOULD BE A UNIFORM...

9

10 SUP. KNABE: I MEAN, THAT'S FAIR.

11

12 DAVE EDWARDS: WELL, AS A COMBINED OPERATING LANDFILL...

13

14 SUP. KNABE: RIGHT, IF IT'S COMBINED.

15

- 16 DAVE EDWARDS: RIGHT. AS LONG AS-- WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IS
- 17 THAT REGIONAL PLANNING CONDUCT A FURTHER REVIEW PRIOR TO THE
- 18 DRAFTING OF CONDITIONS FOR APPROVALS AND FINDING, THAT THEY
- 19 TAKE A HARDER LOOK AT THAT AND COME BACK WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
- 20 WHERE, PER CHANCE, THE CITY COULD BE MORE RESTRICTIVE.

21

22 SUP. KNABE: THAT'S FAIR.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO YOU HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT.



1 DAVE EDWARDS: NO, SIR.

2

- 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: RIGHT NOW, YOU CURRENTLY REMOVE WIND-
- 4 BLOWN TRASH WITHIN A MILE RADIUS BUT, AS YOU KNOW, BECAUSE OF
- 5 THE GEOGRAPHY OF THE LOCATION, THE WINDS BLOW A LITTLE
- 6 STRONGER. WOULD YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO CLEANING WITHIN A
- 7 MILE-AND-A-HALF RADIUS?

8

- 9 DAVE EDWARDS: WELL, FIRST OF ALL, I'M NOT AWARE OF PROBLEMS
- 10 THAT WE HAVE AT ALL.

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I'LL TAKE YOU ON THE 5 SOMETIME.

13

- 14 DAVE EDWARDS: AS A CONCEPT OR AS A GENERAL IDEA, I'M NOT BUT I
- 15 DON'T KNOW WHERE THAT TAKES US AS FAR AS IF IT TAKES US...

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE: WOULD THAT BE PART OF THE FURTHER REVIEW BY
- 18 REGIONAL PLANNING...

19

20 DAVE EDWARDS: I BELIEVE THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE: REGIONAL PLANNING CAN LOOK AT HOW FAR THE TRASH IS
- 23 BLOWING.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT'S...



1

- 2 DAVE EDWARDS: WE'D BE OPEN TO HAVING REGIONAL PLANNING LOOK AT
- 3 THAT AND SEE IF THAT'S APPROPRIATE.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. THANK YOU.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: WITH THOSE CHANGES, I WOULD MOVE MY MOTION, CLOSE
- 8 THE PUBLIC HEARING AND MOVE APPROVAL WITH THOSE...

9

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOUR PREVIOUS MOTION THAT YOU MADE, I'M
- 13 SORRY, I WAS DISTRACTED, IS IT BASICALLY, WITH THE EXCEPTION
- 14 OF THE DEADLINE, IS IT INCORPORATED NOW INTO THE...

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IT'S BASICALLY INCORPORATING THOSE.
- 17 ONE POINT I HAD IN THERE WAS THAT THE OPERATOR NOT STOCKPILE
- 18 DIRT ABOVE FINAL ELEVATIONS.

19

- 20 DAVE EDWARDS: WE, RESPECTFULLY, YOU KNOW-- STOCKPILING IS JUST
- 21 AN INHERENT PART OF OUR OPERATION. WE HAVE TO BE ABLE TO
- 22 STOCKPILE ABOVE ELEVATIONS TO BE ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE THE DIRT
- 23 WE HAVE TO MOVE TO CREATE NEW DISPOSAL CELLS AT THE LANDFILL.



- 1 FRANK MENESES: MR. MAYOR, OUR CURRENT CONDITION IS THAT, PRIOR
- 2 TO THEM STOCKPILING, THEY WOULD HAVE TO GET AN APPROVAL FROM
- 3 THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND THAT STOCKPILING OVER THE
- 4 APPROVED ELEVATIONS WOULD REALLY ONLY OCCUR TOWARDS THE END AS
- 5 THEY'RE BUILDING UP, IF THERE WOULD BE A NEED TO STOCKPILE,
- 6 BECAUSE MUCH OF THE DIRT THAT COMES FROM THE COUNTY'S SIDE HAS
- 7 TO BE TRANSFERRED OVER TO THE CITY AND SO THEY NEED TO MOVE IT
- 8 AND I'M NOT AN ENGINEER BUT MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT, AT
- 9 TIMES, THERE IS A NEED TO STOCKPILE ON TOP OF THE LAND.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: HOW DO YOU-- HOW DO YOU ENSURE, WHEN
- 12 THAT PROCESS OCCURS, THAT IT'S NOT IMPACTING THE SURROUNDING
- 13 AREA? BECAUSE OF THE HIGH VOLUME VELOCITY OF THE WINDS AND
- 14 THAT TUNNEL...

15

- 16 FRANK MENESES: THAT'S WHY WE PUT THE CONDITION THAT THE
- 17 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS WOULD HAVE TO APPROVE THAT PROPOSED
- 18 STOCKPILING BEFORE THEY CAN DO IT.

19

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO IT'S CONDITIONED UPON PUBLIC WORKS.

21

- 22 DAVE EDWARDS: WE'RE ACCEPTING OF THAT AND ALSO JUST LIKE TO
- 23 NOTE THAT THE STOCKPILING IS TEMPORARY.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO IT'S A TEMPORARY APPROVAL ONLY
- 2 UNDER AN EXTREME CONDITION?

3

- 4 DAVE EDWARDS: NO, NOT THE APPROVAL BUT, YOU KNOW, STOCKPILING,
- 5 WE DON'T ENCOURAGE STOCKPILING. STOCKPILING IS A TEMPORARY
- 6 PART OF OUR OPERATION.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ON THE 313-DAY BUSINESS, WE WERE LOOKING AT
- 9 A SIMILAR THING AND THE ONLY DIFFERENCE I HAD WAS WE WANTED TO
- 10 MAKE SURE THAT, IN DECLARED DISASTERS, WHERE THERE'S A NEED TO
- 11 DO...

12

13 SUP. KNABE: DECLARED DISASTERS, A NATIONAL EMERGENCY.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NATIONAL EMERGENCY. DID YOU ALREADY COVER
- 16 THIS?

17

18 SUP. KNABE: NO.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DECLARED DISASTERS, NATIONAL EMERGENCIES,
- 21 THAT THAT WOULDN'T COUNT AGAINST THE 313 DAYS AND I THINK
- 22 THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH THE WAY WE PRETTY MUCH DO BUSINESS
- 23 EVERYWHERE, SO DO YOU HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT, MIKE?

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. WHY DON'T YOU ADD THAT IN THERE. I 2 3 DON'T KNOW IF YOU WERE WRITING THAT DOWN OR IF YOU WERE WRITING SOMETHING ELSE. 4 5 SUP. KNABE: JUST UNDER REVISED CONDITION 17(C) TO RESTRICT 6 OVERAGES... 7 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: PART OF THE CONFUSION IS THERE ARE TWO MOTIONS 9 FOR SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SO I THINK YOU NEED TO CLARIFY 10 11 WHICH MOTION YOU'RE WORKING FOR -- FROM, EXCUSE ME. 12 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: RIGHT NOW, WE'RE WORKING ON THE MOTION AMENDED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. 14 15 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, THEY'RE BOTH DATED TODAY. 17 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT YOU JUST CIRCULATED ONE SO I... 19

SUP. KNABE: ...SO IT'S RELATED TO ITEM 68, MOTION BY MAYOR

21 MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH.

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK WE'RE WORKING OFF...

24

20



- 1 SUP. KNABE: AND THE 1, 2, 3, 4TH REVISE ON CONDITION 17-C TO
- 2 RESTRICT OVERAGES TO NO MORE THAN...

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE'RE WORKING OFF OF HIS MOST RECENT ONE,
- 9 WHICH IS THE ONE THAT JUST HAS THE THEREFORE MOVE AND NOT ANY
- 10 PREAMBLES. I THINK THAT-- SO THAT WE'RE ALL WORKING OFF THE
- 11 SAME PAGE.

12

13 SUP. KNABE: AND WE REVISE THAT TO SAYING IN CASE OF EMERGENCY.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, OVERAGES, THE LANGUAGE THAT WE'RE
- 16 LOOKING AT HERE, THAT OVERAGES THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 17 FIND ARE NECESSARY AS A RESULT OF A DECLARED DISASTER OR
- 18 NATIONAL EMERGENCY SHALL NOT COUNT TOWARD THE 313-DAY LIMIT.
- 19 THAT WOULD BE ADDED TO THAT FOURTH CONDITION.

- 21 RICHARD WEISS: I'M SORRY, MR. MAYOR. IF WE'RE WORKING OFF THIS
- 22 DOCUMENT, CAN-- FOR THE PURPOSES OF MAKING SURE THE BOARD'S
- 23 WILL IS IMPLEMENTED, CAN WE GO BACK THEN TO THE FIRST BULLET.
- 24 THERE WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER A DOUBLE LINER SHOULD BE
- 25 OUTRIGHT REQUIRED, THEN THERE WAS A DISCUSSION WHERE STAFF



- 1 INDICATED THAT THEY HAD PROPOSED THE CONDITION THAT REQUIRED A
- 2 DOUBLE LINER OR SOMETHING OF EQUIVALENT...

3

4 SUP. BURKE: WE ALREADY AGREED ON THAT.

5

- 6 RICHARD WEISS: I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT WAS--
- 7 OKAY.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE: WELL, THAT WAS THE RELATIONSHIP, THE REVIEW BY
- 10 REGIONAL PLANNING OF THE VARIOUS CONDITIONS AS THEY RELATE TO
- 11 WHAT-- ON A JOINT USE AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY, RIGHT?

12

13 FRANK MENESES: FOR THE STRICTER CONDITIONS?

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE: FOR THE STRICTER CONDITIONS. SPECIFICALLY, THOUGH,
- 16 BFI ALREADY INDICATED THEIR SUPPORT OF THE DOUBLE LINER
- 17 BECAUSE, ON A DRAFT CONDITION WITH THE CITY, THEY'VE AGREED TO
- 18 THAT.

19

- 20 FRANK MENESES: IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT WE WOULD NOT CULL
- 21 OUT THE DOUBLE LINER BUT IT WOULD BE OF EQUAL OR BETTER
- 22 EFFECTIVENESS.

- 24 SUP. KNABE: WITH THOSE CHANGES, THEN I MOVE MY MOTION WITH THE
- 25 VARIOUS CONDITIONS AS WELL.



```
1
    SUP. BURKE: I'LL SECOND IT.
2
3
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE HAVE A MOTION BY KNABE, SECONDED BY
4
5
    BURKE, WITH ALL OF THE AMENDMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT
    HAVE BEEN PUT FORTH. CALL THE ROLL.
6
7
8
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?
9
    SUP. MOLINA: (OFF-MIKE). AYE.
10
11
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR BURKE?
12
13
    SUP. BURKE: AYE.
14
15
16
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?
17
18
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: (OFF-MIKE).
19
20
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR KNABE?
21
22
    SUP. KNABE: AYE.
23
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?
24
25
```



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO. SO ORDERED.

2

3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'LL BE RECORDED AS A "NO" VOTE AS WELL.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** 3-TO-2.

6

7 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** 3-TO-2, CORRECT.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE ONE REQUEST...

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RECONSIDER THE ITEM ON THE SYPHILIS MOTION
- 14 THAT I HAD EARLIER FOR THE PURPOSES OF INCORPORATING MS.
- 15 MOLINA'S MOTION AND ADDING HER AS A CO-SPONSOR OF THAT
- 16 RESOLUTION AT HER REQUEST.

17

18 SUP. KNABE: SECONDED.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION. WHAT
- 21 NUMBER WAS THAT? WHAT NUMBER WAS THAT?

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT NUMBER WAS THAT? IT WAS JUST A MOTION I
- 24 INTRODUCED FOR NEXT WEEK'S AGENDA.



1	SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OH, ON THE NEXT WEEK'S AGENDA MOTION.
2	OH.
3	
4	SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, I'M NOT GOING TO REREAD IT.
5	
6	SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ON NEXT WEEK'S MOTION, SUPERVISOR'S
7	MOTION INCLUDES SUPERVISOR MOLINA AS A CO-AUTHOR.
8	
9	SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S CORRECTED AND ADDED AND MS. MOLINA'S
10	NAME IS ADDED TO THE MOTION.
11	
12	SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
13	
14	SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ACTUALLY, I DON'T EVEN THINK WE NEEDED TO
15	RECONSIDER IT. ALL RIGHT. WHATEVER. DONE.
16	
17	SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANY PUBLIC COMMENT? PUBLIC COMMENT?
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of
3	California, do hereby certify:
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the
5	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors June 7, 2006,
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7	direction and supervision;
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as
9	archived in the office of the reporter and which
10	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of
11	Supervisors as certified by me.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to any party to the said action; nor
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 9th
16	day of June 2006 for the County records to be used only for
17	authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.
19	
20	JENNIFER A. HINES
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
22	